



Adobe Acrobat Reader

Finding Words

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

To find a word using the Find command:

- 1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
- 2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
- 3. Select search options if necessary: Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.

Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.

Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.

4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

To find the next occurrence of the word, Do one of the following:

Choose Edit > Find Again
Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again.
(The word must already be in the Find text box.)

Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

Note: If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.



To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool T, and do one of the following: To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.

To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.

2. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard

In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



1	[The Board of Supervisors did not meet in
2	closed session Tuesday, October 21, 2008.]
3	
4	
5	
6	SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THIS MORNING THE INVOCATION WILL BE BY
7	CHAPLAIN DAVID LEMLEY FROM PEPPERDINE UNIVERSITY, THE THIRD
8	DISTRICT. THE PLEDGE WILL BE BY WILLIAM J. HOSTON, FORMER
9	CAPTAIN, UNITED STATES AIR FORCE FROM THE SECOND DISTRICT.
10	
11	DAVID LEMLEY: OUR PRAYER THIS MORNING WILL BEGIN WITH THE
12	WORDS OF POET AND HYMNAL WRITER ISAAC WATTS. OUR GOD, OUR HELP
13	IN AGES PAST, OUR HOPE FOR YEARS TO COME, OUR SHELTER FROM THE
14	STORMY BLAST AND OUR ETERNAL HOME. UNDER THE SHADOW OF THY
15	THRONE THY SAINTS HAVE DWELT SECURE. SUFFICIENT IS THINE ARM
16	ALONE AND OUR DEFENSE IS SURE. BEFORE THE HILLS IN ORDER STOOD
17	WHERE EARTH RECEIVED HER FRAME, FROM EVERLASTING THOU ART GOD
18	TO ENDLESS YEARS THE SAME. THY WORD COMMANDS OUR FLESH TO
19	DUST, RETURN YE SONS OF MEN. ALL NATIONS ROSE FROM EARTH AT
20	FIRST AND TURN TO EARTH AGAIN. A THOUSAND AGES IN THY SIGHT
21	ARE LIKE AN EVENING GONE, SHORT AS THE WATCH THAT ENDS THE
22	NIGHT BEFORE THE RISING SUN. OH GOD, OUR HELP IN AGES PAST,
23	OUR HOPE FOR YEARS TO COME. BE THOU OUR GUARD WHILE TROUBLES
24	LAST AND OUR ETERNAL HOME. OH GOD, MERCIFUL AND GRACIOUS, SLOW
25	TO ANGER AND ABOUNDING IN STEADFAST LOVE AND FAITHFULNESS, BE



- 1 GRACIOUS TO US. WE PRAY YOUR BLESSING UPON THIS MEETING OF THE
- 2 COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, FOR EACH WHO ARE SEEKING TO
- 3 DISCERN, TO DECIDE, TO RESPOND IN A WAY THAT IS MERCIFUL AND
- 4 GRACIOUS, IN A WAY THAT IS REFLECTIVE OF YOUR GRACE AND
- 5 GOODNESS. WE PRAY FOR SPECIAL ATTENTIVENESS TO YOUR PRESENCE
- 6 AMONG US THAT WE MAY BE RESPONSIVE TO WHO YOU ARE AND WHO YOU
- 7 INTEND FOR US TO BE. WE ASK YOUR BLESSING ON THE TIME AHEAD,
- 8 ON THE VOICES THAT WILL SPEAK, ON THE EARS THAT WILL HEAR, ON
- 9 THE MINDS THAT WILL CONSIDER, ON THE HEARTS WHO WILL BE
- 10 COMPASSIONATE. WE PRAY THIS IN YOUR NAME AND FOR YOUR SAKE,
- 11 AMEN.

12

13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

14

- 15 WILLIAM J. HOSTON: ARE YOU READY? PUT YOUR HAND OVER YOUR
- 16 HEART. LET'S THINK VERY DEEPLY WHERE WE ARE TODAY. [PLEDGE OF
- 17 ALLEGIANCE RECITED.] THANK YOU. THANK YOU, MRS. BURKE.

18

19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MADAME CHAIR, WE WERE LED IN INVOCATION BY
- 22 CHAPLAIN DAVID LEMLEY OF PEPPERDINE UNIVERSITY IN MALIBU. HE
- 23 HAS SERVED AS PEPPERDINE'S UNIVERSITY'S CHAPLAIN SINCE 2007.
- 24 HE RECEIVED HIS BACHELOR OF ARTS IN RELIGION FROM PEPPERDINE
- 25 AND MASTER OF ARTS IN HISTORY AND THEOLOGY FROM ABILINE

7

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY. PASTOR LEMLEY RECEIVED HIS MASTERS OF
- 2 DIVINITY AT FULLER THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY AND IS CURRENTLY A
- 3 PH.D. CANDIDATE IN WORSHIP AND CULTURE AT FULLER. HE LIVES ON
- 4 THE CAMPUS OF PEPPERDINE, LUCKY YOU, WITH HIS WIFE AND TWO
- 5 SONS. WE THANK YOU FOR LEADING US IN THE INVOCATION THIS
- 6 MORNING AND FOR ALL YOU DO FOR OUR COMMUNITY. [APPLAUSE.]

8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WILLIAM HOSTON, OUR PLEDGE VETERAN, WAS IN

- 9 THE MILITARY FROM 1951 TO '53 AND '60 TO '63. HE WAS A CAPTAIN
- 10 IN THE U.S. AIR FORCE, FIFTH AIR FORCE. HE WAS IN KOREA AND
- 11 VIETNAM AND HE RECEIVED A DISTINGUISHED FLYING CROSS, PURPLE
- 12 HEART, AIR FORCE COMMENDATION MEDAL, MEDAL FOR HUMANE ACTION,
- 13 KOREAN SERVICE MEDAL, VIETNAM SERVICE MEDAL, REPUBLIC OF
- 14 VIETNAM CAMPAIGN MEDAL, REPUBLIC OF KOREA WAR SERVICE MEDAL
- 15 AND AIR FORCE EXPEDITIONARY SERVICE MEDAL. HE HAS BEEN THE
- 16 CHAIR OF THE EMPLOYER SUPPORT OF THE GUARD AND RESERVE, AND HE
- 17 IS FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN IN ANN ARBOR. HE'S LIVED IN
- 18 THE DISTRICT FOR 25 YEARS. HE RECEIVED ALSO EXCEPTIONAL PUBLIC
- 19 SERVICE MEDAL FROM THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE. THANK YOU FOR
- 20 BEING WITH US. [APPLAUSE.] THANK YOU. WELL THIS IS VERY NICE.
- 21 OLD FRIEND. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE'LL TAKE A PICTURE WITH THE
- 22 FLOWERS, TOO.

- 24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: GOOD MORNING, MADAME CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE
- 25 BOARD, WE WILL BEGIN TODAY'S AGENDA ON PAGE 3, AGENDA FOR THE



- 1 MEETING OF THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION, ITEMS 1-D AND
- 2 2-D.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY MOLINA. SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY.
- 5 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

6

- 7 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE HOUSING
- 8 AUTHORITY, ITEM 1-H.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY YAROSLAVSKY, SECONDED BY
- 11 ANTONOVICH. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

12

- 13 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ITEMS 1 THROUGH 6. ON
- 14 ITEM NUMBER 3, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC
- 15 TO HOLD THIS ITEM.

16

17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE'LL HOLD 3.

18

19 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THE REMAINING ITEMS ARE BEFORE YOU.

20

- 21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH. SECONDED BY MOLINA.
- 22 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON PAGE 6, CONSENT CALENDAR, ITEMS 7
- 25 THROUGH 51. ON ITEM NUMBER 8, THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER



- 1 REQUESTS THAT THE EL MONTE COURTHOUSE BE EXCLUDED FROM THE
- 2 RECOMMENDATION AND REFERRED BACK TO HIS OFFICE. AND ALSO ON
- 3 THIS ITEM, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO
- 4 HOLD IT.

5

6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE'LL HOLD IT AND--

7

- 8 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: WE'LL EXCLUDE EL MONTE FROM THE
- 9 RECOMMENDATION.

10

11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: RIGHT.

12

- 13 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NUMBER 10 THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A
- 14 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM. ON ITEM NUMBER 11,
- 15 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH AND A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC REQUEST THAT
- 16 THIS ITEM BE HELD. ON ITEM NUMBER 12, SUPERVISOR MOLINA
- 17 REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. ON ITEM NUMBER 13, AS
- 18 INDICATED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE
- 19 OFFICER REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO
- 20 OCTOBER 28TH, 2008.

21

22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WITHOUT OBJECTION.

- 24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NUMBER 16, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM
- 25 MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM. ON ITEM NUMBER 23,



- 1 THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS
- 2 ITEM. ON ITEM NUMBER 24, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF
- 3 THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM. ON ITEM NUMBER 25, AS INDICATED
- 4 ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH
- 5 REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED TO WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER
- 6 5TH, 2008.

7

9

14

16

8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WITHOUT OBJECTION.

- 10 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NUMBER 29, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A
- 11 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM. ON ITEM NUMBER 32, THE
- 12 ACTING DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE
- 13 REFERRED BACK TO HIS DEPARTMENT.
- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WITHOUT OBJECTION.
- 17 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NUMBER 40, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A
- 18 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM. 40. ON ITEM NUMBER 50,
- 19 AS INDICATED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE
- 20 OFFICER REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED TO WEDNESDAY,
- 21 NOVEMBER 5TH, 2008.
- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WITHOUT OBJECTION.

24



- 1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NUMBER 51, COUNTY COUNSEL REQUESTS
- 2 THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO OCTOBER 28TH, 2008.

3

4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WITHOUT OBJECTION.

5

- 6 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NUMBER 52, AS INDICATED ON THE
- 7 SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER REQUESTS THAT
- 8 THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO OCTOBER 28TH, 2008.

9

10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WITHOUT OBJECTION.

11

- 12 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: DISCUSSION ITEMS, ITEMS 53 THROUGH 59, ON
- 13 ITEM NUMBER 53, WE WILL HOLD THIS FOR A DISCUSSION. ON ITEM
- 14 NUMBER 54, AS INDICATED ON THE POSTED AGENDA, THE CHIEF
- 15 EXECUTIVE OFFICER REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED TO
- 16 JANUARY 27TH, 2009.

17

- 18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WERE THERE ANY ITEMS ON CONSENT THAT WE
- 19 SHOULD APPROVE?

20

21 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: I'M SORRY. I APOLOGIZE. YES.

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY MOLINA. SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY.
- 24 ALL OF THOSE REMAINING ITEMS BE APPROVED. AND WITHOUT
- 25 OBJECTION, THEY'RE APPROVED.



1

- 2 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THANK YOU. GOING BACK TO ITEM 54, THE CHIEF
- 3 EXECUTIVE OFFICER REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED TO
- 4 JANUARY 27TH, 2009. OKAY. SO WE WILL HOLD ITEM 54. ITEMS 55,
- 5 56 AND 57, THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER REQUESTS THAT THESE
- 6 ITEMS BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO OCTOBER 28TH, 2008

7

8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WITHOUT OBJECTION.

9

10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WITHOUT OBJECTION.

11

- 12 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PUBLIC HEARING. ON ITEM-- YES. ALL THREE OF
- 13 THEM. 55, 56 AND 57, YES, ONE WEEK. ON THE PUBLIC HEARING
- 14 ITEMS, ITEMS 58 AND 59, WE WILL HOLD THOSE FOR A PUBLIC
- 15 HEARING. ON PAGE 25, MISCELLANEOUS ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA
- 16 WHICH WERE POSTED MORE THAN 72 HOURS IN ADVANCE OF THE
- 17 MEETING, AS INDICATED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, ITEM 60-A?

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MADAME CHAIR, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A
- 20 SUBSTITUTE MOTION JUST TO UPDATE IT. SO IF YOU COULD HOLD IT
- 21 FOR THAT PURPOSE AND THEN MAYBE WE COULD JUST APPROVE IT. IT'S
- 22 COMING DOWN RIGHT NOW.

- 24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY. WE WILL HOLD IT. BUT WE WILL COME
- 25 BACK TO IT.

24

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 60-A WE'LL HOLD. ON 60-B? 2 3 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH. SECONDED BY 4 5 YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. 6 7 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 60-C. 8 9 MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY MOLINA. WITHOUT OBJECTION, 10 SO ORDERED. 11 >>CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AND 60-D. 12 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY 14 15 YAROSLAVSKY; WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. 16 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THAT COMPLETES THE READING OF THE AGENDA. 17 18 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SPECIAL ITEMS BEGIN WITH SUPERVISORIAL 19 DISTRICT NUMBER 3. 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I HAVE ONE ITEM, CHAIR ITEM. THE COUNTY OF 21 22 LOS ANGELES IS THE PROUD RECIPIENT OF SEVEN AWARDS THIS YEAR 23 FROM THE CALIFORNIA STATE ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES. ALL OF THE

PROJECTS MEET THE C.S.A.C. CRITERIA FOR INNOVATION AND

CREATIVITY. JOINING ME TODAY TO PRESENT THE AWARDS IS PAUL



- 1 MACINTOSH, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, C.S.A.C., EXECUTIVE SERVICES.
- 2 HE WILL TELL YOU ABOUT THE C.S.A.C. AWARDS. COME FORWARD. YOU
- 3 CAN COME RIGHT HERE. COME UP HERE.

4

5 PAUL MACINTOSH: GOOD MORNING.

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: GOOD MORNING. TELL US A LITTLE BIT ABOUT
- 8 THE AWARDS AND THEN I'LL INTRODUCE THE PEOPLE RECEIVING IT
- 9 FROM THE DEPARTMENTS.

- 11 PAUL MACINTOSH: THANK YOU, MADAME CHAIR. IT'S A PRIVILEGE TO
- 12 BE HERE TO RECOGNIZE THE MEN AND WOMEN WORKING FOR LOS ANGELES
- 13 COUNTY. I'M THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE CALIFORNIA STATE
- 14 ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES. MY NAME IS PAUL MACINTOSH. C.S.A.C.
- 15 BEGAN THIS AWARD IN 1984 TO RECOGNIZE INNOVATIVE AND CREATIVE
- 16 PROGRAMS AND HELP SPREAD THESE INNOVATIONS THROUGHOUT THE
- 17 STATE OF CALIFORNIA. MANY PREVIOUS PROGRAMS HAVE NOW BEEN
- 18 RECOGNIZED ON A NATIONAL LEVEL AND ARE ACTIVE IN MANY STATES.
- 19 AT THIS TIME, GIVEN THE CHALLENGES FACED BY ALL CALIFORNIA
- 20 COUNTIES, INNOVATION, HARD WORK AND CREATIVITY TAKES ON AN
- 21 INCREASINGLY HARD ROLE. THIS CHALLENGE AWARD PROGRAM FOCUSES
- 22 ON THIS INNOVATION AND DEMONSTRATES THAT CALIFORNIA COUNTIES
- 23 ARE CONSTANTLY LOOKING FOR BETTER WAYS TO DO THINGS. THIS YEAR
- 24 WE HAD ONE OF THE HIGHEST PARTICIPATION RATES IN THE HISTORY
- 25 OF THE PROGRAM. THERE WERE 258 ENTRIES FROM 38 COUNTIES. THESE



- 1 ENTRIES WERE JUDGED BY AN INDEPENDENT PANEL OF JUDGES CLOSELY
- 2 AFFILIATED WITH COUNTIES AND THE PROGRAMS THEY ADMINISTER. OF
- 3 THOSE 255 ENTRIES, C.S.A.C. IS AWARDING 34 MERIT AWARDS, 10
- 4 CHALLENGE AWARDS AND 3 INNOVATION AWARDS. THE INNOVATION
- 5 AWARDS ARE TO RECOGNIZE THOSE PROGRAMS THAT ARE IMMEDIATELY
- 6 TRANSFERABLE TO OTHER COUNTIES AND WILL MAKE A BIG IMPACT
- 7 AROUND THE STATE. CHALLENGE AWARDS ARE TO RECOGNIZE INNOVATIVE
- 8 PROGRAMS THAT COULD BE REPLICATED IN CALIFORNIA COUNTIES. AND
- 9 MERIT AWARDS ARE TO RECOGNIZE THOSE PROGRAMS THAT DEMONSTRATE
- 10 CREATIVITY AND INNOVATION. THIS WILL BE THE FIFTH YEAR IN A
- 11 ROW THAT LOS ANGELES COUNTY IS RECOGNIZED WITH A CHALLENGE
- 12 AWARD. AND MY HEARTY CONGRATULATIONS TO YOU FOR THAT. NOT ONLY
- 13 ARE WE PRESENTING THESE AWARDS AT BOARD MEETINGS AROUND THE
- 14 STATE, BUT RECIPIENT COUNTIES ARE ALL GOING TO BE RECOGNIZED
- 15 IN OUR ANNUAL MEETING IN SAN DIEGO DECEMBER 1ST THROUGH 4TH,
- 16 AND WE'VE PUBLISHED THIS DIRECTORY TO PROVIDE FURTHER DETAILS
- 17 AND CONTACT INFORMATION FOR EACH PROGRAM. I HAVE A COPY,
- 18 MADAME, FOR EACH BOARD MEMBER AND FOR THE C.E.O. I'D BE HAPPY
- 19 TO ASSIST YOU IN PRESENTING THESE AWARDS.

- 21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WELL OUR FIRST RECIPIENT THE
- 22 PUBLIC DEFENDER WHO IS RECEIVING THE PRESTIGIOUS INNOVATIVE
- 23 AWARD FOR ASSISTANCE TO PRISONERS WITH CHILD SUPPORT ORDERS
- 24 PROJECT. HERE TO ACCEPT, I DON'T SEE MICHAEL JUDGE. PUBLIC
- 25 DEFENDER STANLEY SHIMOTO. AND DIVISION CHIEF ANN MARIE BRACCO.



- 1 CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE.] CONGRATULATIONS. THE PARK AND
- 2 RECREATION DEPARTMENT RECEIVED THE COVETED CHALLENGE AWARD FOR
- 3 ITS SOUTH COUNTY C.S.I TEEN CAMP. DIRECTOR RUSS GUINEY AND
- 4 BRIAN SKRAG., RECREATION SERVICE MANAGER, ARE RECEIVING THE
- 5 AWARD. [APPLAUSE.] NOW WE WILL PRESENT THE FIVE MERIT AWARDS
- 6 TO COUNTY DEPARTMENTS. MERIT AWARDS, INTERNAL SERVICES
- 7 DEPARTMENT, ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY PRODUCT PURCHASING
- 8 POLICY. DIRECTOR TOM KENDALL AND JOE SANDOVAL, PROJECT
- 9 MANAGER. CONGRATULATIONS. PUBLIC LIBRARY. LIBRARY CARDS FOR
- 10 PROBATION YOUTH COUNTY LIBRARIAN MARGARET DONNELLAN TODD AND
- 11 PAMELA BREWSARD, PROJECT MANAGERS. [APPLAUSE.] SHERIFF'S
- 12 DEPARTMENT, PICO RIVERA INDIVIDUAL DEVELOPMENT IN ETHICS
- 13 PROGRAM. SERGEANT STEVE SANCHEZ, PROJECT MANAGER. [APPLAUSE.]
- 14 I DON'T SEE STEVE YET. WE WILL GIVE IT TO HIM LATER. TWO
- 15 PROGRAMS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH EARNED MERIT
- 16 AWARDS. PRESERVING ACCESS TO MEDICATION FOR MORE PEOPLE, AND
- 17 THE INNOVATIVE NAVIGATOR PROJECT LED BY KAREN GOLDBERG. WE
- 18 HAVE DOCTOR SOUTHARD HERE. [APPLAUSE.] LET'S HAVE A ROUND OF
- 19 APPLAUSE. THANK YOU, PAUL. WE RECOGNIZE THE HARD WORK OF THESE
- 20 COUNTY DEPARTMENTS AND PROJECT MANAGERS. [APPLAUSE.]
- 21 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, I BELIEVE YOU'RE UP FIRST TODAY.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THANK YOU, MADAME CHAIR. I'D LIKE TO ASK
- 24 PHIL BROWNING, OUR DIRECTOR OF DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICES,
- 25 TO COME FORWARD. WE WANT TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY THIS MORNING



- 1 TO PRESENT D.P.S.S. AND ITS GENERAL MANAGER, PHIL BROWNING,
- 2 WITH THIS PROCLAMATION SIGNED BY ALL FIVE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD
- 3 TO SALUTE THE DEPARTMENT AND RECOGNIZE THEM FOR ADVANCING THE
- 4 CAUSE OF EMPLOYEE HEALTH AND WELL-BEING BY LAUNCHING A
- 5 COMPREHENSIVE WELLNESS PROGRAM AIMED AT REDUCING LIFE-
- 6 THREATENING RISKS, ENHANCING AWARENESS AND EDUCATION ON
- 7 DIABETES, CANCER, HYPERTENSION AND OBESITY AND DESIGNATING
- 8 OFFICE WELLNESS COORDINATORS IN ALL 60 D.P.S.S. LOCATIONS.
- 9 D.P.S.S. LAUNCHED AN EIGHT-WEEK BIGGEST LOSER CAMPAIGN
- 10 ENGAGING OVER 1,200 EMPLOYEES INTO 131 TEAMS TO COMPETE IN A
- 11 WEIGHT LOSS CONTEST. THE IMPRESSIVE RESULTS INCLUDED A
- 12 COMBINED WEIGHT LOSS WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF OVER 1.6 TONS.
- 13 CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE.] PHIL REPRESENTED POINT 5 OF THOSE
- 14 TONS. HE IS NOW A TOOTHPICK. AND WITH TEAM LOSSES OF 63.8
- 15 POUNDS, WITH INDIVIDUAL WEIGHT LOSS. D.P.S.S. SET IN MOTION A
- 16 WALKING WORKS CAMPAIGN WHERE 429 EMPLOYEES WALKED FOR A
- 17 COMBINED TOTAL OF 11,620 MILES OVER AN EIGHT-WEEK PERIOD.
- 18 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, FOR THIS AND ALL THE OTHER REASONS CITED
- 19 IN THIS PROCLAMATION, I WANTED TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO
- 20 CONGRATULATE THE DEPARTMENT FOR ITS COMMITMENT TO IMPROVING
- 21 EMPLOYEE HEALTH, RECOGNIZING THE LINK BETWEEN HEALTHY
- 22 EMPLOYEES AND A PRODUCTIVE WORKFORCE, AND BY DEMONSTRATING
- 23 THAT OUR GREATEST RESOURCE, WHICH IS THE COUNTY WORKFORCE, IS
- 24 DESERVING OF THESE POSITIVE LIFESTYLE CHANGES THAT ARE
- 25 INHERENT IN SUCCESSFUL WELLNESS PROGRAMS. NOW, THIS ISN'T JUST



- 1 SOME BUREAUCRATIC PROGRAM THAT PHIL AND HIS TEAM HAS
- 2 UNDERTAKEN. HE EMAILS ME ALL THE TIME. HE ASKS ME EVERY TIME
- 3 HE SEES ME WHETHER I'VE RUN THIS MORNING. WHENEVER I RUN IN
- 4 THE MORNING, WHICH IS USUALLY, I ALWAYS SAY "I HOPE BROWNING
- 5 SEES ME TODAY SO I CAN TELL HIM YES, I DID RUN THIS MORNING."
- 6 [LAUGHTER.] HE'S KIND OF THE PHYSICAL FITNESS POLICE AROUND
- 7 HERE. BUT HE SENDS ME AN EMAIL EVERY NOW AND THEN. HE SENT ME
- 8 ONE LAST NIGHT. I WON'T MENTION THE NAME OF THE EMPLOYEE THAT
- 9 HE FORWARDED THE EMAIL FROM, BUT I THINK IT SAYS MORE THAN THE
- 10 PROCLAMATION CAN SAY. IT'S FROM A WOMAN WHO WORKS FOR D.P.S.S.
- 11 AND SHE SAID, "MY 14-YEAR-OLD SON PLAYS FOOTBALL AND HE IS
- 12 INTO BEING HEALTHY. ONE DAY HE SAID, 'MOM, SODA IS NOT HEALTHY
- 13 FOR YOU AND FROM THIS DAY FORWARD I'M GIVING UP SODA.' AND HE
- 14 DID. AND MANY OF MY CO-WORKERS--" THIS IS FROM THE D.P.S.S.
- 15 EMPLOYEE NOW-- "--WERE SO EXCITED ABOUT THE WELLNESS PROGRAM
- 16 THAT I BECAME MOTIVATED BY MY SON AND MY CO-WORKERS THAT I
- 17 DECIDED TO GIVE IT A TRY. I CUT OUT ALL FATTY FOODS. AND MY
- 18 SON WOULD EXERCISE WITH ME AT HOME UNTIL I GOT THE COURAGE TO
- 19 DO IT AT WORK WITH THE OTHERS. WHEN I BEGAN THE WELLNESS
- 20 PROGRAM I WAS WEARING A SIZE 24. AND IT WAS TIGHT. I COULDN'T
- 21 EVEN TAKE THE STAIRS AT WORK. I BEGAN WALKING AT WORK A LITTLE
- 22 AT A TIME. I WOULD WALK MYSELF BECAUSE I KNEW I WOULDN'T BE
- 23 ABLE TO KEEP UP WITH THE OTHERS. MY CLOTHES WERE GETTING SO
- 24 LARGE AFTER THIS EXERCISE AND DIET REGIME THAT I WENT LOOKING
- 25 FOR A NEW DRESS. I TRIED ON A SUIT AND IT WAS A SIZE 12. I



- 1 TRIED TO REMEMBER: DID I EVER WEAR THAT SIZE? I MUST HAVE BEEN
- 2 A CHILD. I'M PROUD TO SAY THAT I WEAR A SIZE 12. MY
- 3 CHOLESTEROL IS FINE. MY FEET DON'T HURT. NOT ONLY CAN I WALK
- 4 THREE MILES BUT I RUN TWO MILES. I FEEL GREAT. AND NOW I'M A
- 5 SIZE 12." I THINK WHEN YOU CAN MAKE A DIFFERENCE IN ONE
- 6 PERSON'S LIFE, ONE PERSON AT A TIME, IT ADDS UP TO 1.6 TONS.
- 7 BUT IT'S ONE THING TO TALK ABOUT 1.6 TONS AND 1,200 EMPLOYEES,
- 8 IT'S ANOTHER THING TO FOCUS ON ONE INDIVIDUAL AND HOW YOU
- 9 CHANGED HER LIFE. THAT'S THE KIND OF GOING THE EXTRA MILE THAT
- 10 WE HAVE COME TO APPRECIATE ABOUT PHIL BROWNING. HE NOT ONLY
- 11 DOES A GREAT JOB AT D.P.S.S., BUT HE CARES ABOUT THE PEOPLE ON
- 12 HIS TEAM. AND IT IS A TEAM. AND WE WANTED TO SALUTE YOU, PHIL,
- 13 FOR DOING THIS. HOPEFULLY OTHER DEPARTMENTS WILL TAKE UP YOUR
- 14 CHALLENGE. HEALTH DEPARTMENT COULD STAND TO LOSE 1.6 TONS.
- 15 [LAUGHTER.] SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT IS PROBABLY IN A LITTLE BIT
- 16 BETTER SHAPE. BUT, SERIOUSLY, YOU GUYS HAVE DONE-- YOU'VE SET
- 17 THE BAR FOR THE COUNTY WORKFORCE. AND I WANTED TO PRESENT YOU
- 18 WITH THIS PROCLAMATION. COME ON UP HERE. SAY A COUPLE WORDS.
- 19 [APPLAUSE.]

- 21 PHIL BROWNING: I WANTED TO REALLY SAY THAT THE SUPERVISOR IS A
- 22 GREAT MODEL. SOME OF YOU PROBABLY SAW A MONTH OR TWO AGO HE
- 23 WAS IN THE L.A. TIMES WITH HIS U.C.L.A. T-SHIRT ON. AND HE WAS
- 24 RUNNING. AND WE'VE COPIED THAT TO ALL OF OUR STAFF. I DID
- 25 BRING ANOTHER T-SHIRT IN CASE HIS GETS OLD. THIS IS ONE FOR



- 1 ALL OUR DEPARTMENT. IT SAYS D.P.S.S. WELLNESS PROGRAM. BEHIND
- 2 ME I HAVE SOME OF THE KEY STAFF WHO HAVE HELPED MAKE THIS
- 3 PROGRAM SUCH A SUCCESS. AND THIS IS ONLY THE START. THIS IS A
- 4 LIFE CHANGE. IT'S NOT A DIET. DIETS ARE TEMPORARY. THIS IS A
- 5 LIFESTYLE CHANGE THAT WE'RE HOPING TO IMPLEMENT. AND I REALLY
- 6 DO WANT TO THANK THE SUPERVISOR FOR ALL OF HIS LEADERSHIP IN
- 7 THIS AREA. THANK YOU, SIR. [APPLAUSE.]

8

9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S ALL I HAVE, YVONNE.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, YOU'RE UP
- 12 NEXT. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: TODAY WE WANT TO RECOGNIZE SOME OF L.A.
- 15 COUNTY'S FINEST, AND WE'D LIKE TO HAVE CHIEF FREEMAN AND CHIEF
- 16 GERALD OSBY OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT. WE HAVE
- 17 55 MEN AND WOMEN WHO HAVE DONE AN INCREDIBLE JOB. LET'S SEE IF
- 18 WE CAN BRING THEM UP, AS WELL, ALL OF THEM, AT THIS TIME
- 19 BECAUSE WE WANT TO RECOGNIZE THEM FOR THEIR HISTORIC AND
- 20 COURAGEOUS EFFORTS IN SAVING LIFE AND RESPONDING TO ONE OF THE
- 21 WORST TRAIN WRECKS IN THE HISTORY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. IF
- 22 NOT THE NATION, WHEN A METROLINK AND UNION PACIFIC TRAIN HIT
- 23 HEAD ON, WHICH RESULTED IN 135 INJURED AND 25 FATALITIES. THE
- 24 SITUATION COULD NOT HAVE BEEN MUCH-- AND WOULD HAVE BEEN MUCH
- 25 WORSE HAD THESE MEN AND WOMEN NOT RESPONDED AS QUICKLY AS THEY



- 1 HAD, LATER TO BE BACKED UP BY THE LOS ANGELES CITY FIRE
- 2 DEPARTMENT, THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, THE
- 3 LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT, ALONG WITH THE CALIFORNIA
- 4 HIGHWAY PATROL, AND FROM VENTURA COUNTY, THEIR FIRST
- 5 RESPONDERS, AS WELL. ENGINE COMPANY 75 RESPONDED IMMEDIATELY
- 6 TO THE SCENE, CARRIED OUT THEIR ASSIGNED DUTIES OF SETTING UP
- 7 THE LANDING ZONE FROM WHICH AN UNPRECEDENTED 40 AIR TRANSPORTS
- 8 WOULD BE MADE. 40 AIR TRANSPORTS WOULD BE MADE. URBAN SEARCH
- 9 AND RESCUE UNITS 103 AND 130 WERE DISPATCHED IN ADDITION TO
- 10 DEPUTY CHIEF JOHN TRIPP, BATTALION 5, BATTALION 6, BATTALION
- 11 45, FOUR HELICOPTERS, DOZERS, HEALTH, HAZMAT AND CANINE
- 12 HANDLERS AND CAPTAIN WILLIAM MONAHAN. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY
- 13 AND I WERE ALSO AT THE SCENE TO WITNESS A COURAGEOUS
- 14 DETERMINATION OF OUR FIREFIGHTERS AS THEY WORKED TIRELESSLY
- 15 THROUGHOUT THE NIGHT IN THEIR RESCUE AND RECOVERY EFFORTS.
- 16 LAST WEEK, ONCE AGAIN OUR FIRE DEPARTMENT WAS TESTED BY A
- 17 DEVASTATING FIRE IN THE MERRICK AND SENSEN FIRES, WHICH BURNED
- 18 NEARLY 20,000 ACRES, KILLED TWO INDIVIDUALS, DESTROYED 53
- 19 HOMES AND LED TO THE FORCED EVACUATION OF THOUSANDS OF OUR
- 20 RESIDENTS. OUR FIREFIGHTERS ONCE AGAIN WERE ON THE FRONT LINE,
- 21 PROTECTING LIFE AND PROPERTY EVEN THOUGH MANY OF THEIR OWN
- 22 HOMES AND PROPERTY WERE BEING ENDANGERED AT THE TIME THEY WERE
- 23 FIGHTING TO SAVE OTHERS. THE TYPE OF PROFESSIONALISM MAKES OUR
- 24 COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT SECOND TO NONE. THE FACT THAT WE HAVE
- 25 AN AIRBORNE UNIT, OUR HELICOPTERS AND OUR SUPER SCOOPERS ON



- 1 LOAN FROM CANADA ARE ABLE TO RESPOND IMMEDIATELY AND HAVE. AND
- 2 BY THAT QUICK RESPONSE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO SAVE LIFE AND
- 3 PROPERTY. SO ON BEHALF OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF
- 4 SUPERVISORS, WE HAVE GIVEN EACH OF THE MEMBERS A PROCLAMATION
- 5 TO THANK THEM FOR THEIR DEDICATION AND THEIR SERVICE. AND AT
- 6 THIS TIME WE WOULD LIKE TO CALL UP CHIEF MICHAEL FREEMAN TO
- 7 SAY A FEW REMARKS ABOUT THE FINE MEN AND WOMEN THAT HE IS
- 8 PRIVILEGED TO OVERSEE AS THEIR CHIEF AND THAT WE'RE VERY
- 9 PLEASED TO HAVE AS THE CHIEF OF FIRE FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS
- 10 ANGELES, CHIEF MICHAEL FRIEDMAN. [APPLAUSE.]

- 12 CHIEF MICHAEL FREEMAN: MADAME CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, ON
- 13 BEHALF OF THE TREMENDOUSLY COURAGEOUS AND HEROIC INDIVIDUALS
- 14 HERE TODAY, WE THANK YOU FOR YOUR RECOGNITION. THESE
- 15 INDIVIDUALS, ALONG WITH MANY OTHERS, HAVE GONE OVER, AND ABOVE
- 16 AND BEYOND, WHAT IS NORMALLY REQUIRED OF FIREFIGHTERS TO
- 17 RECEIVE SPECIAL TRAINING SO THAT THEY HAVE THE SKILL AND THE
- 18 ABILITY TO RESPOND NOT ONLY HERE WITHIN LOS ANGELES COUNTY,
- 19 BUT THROUGHOUT OUR NATION AND IN FACT INTERNATIONALLY, TO
- 20 THOSE TYPES OF DISASTERS WHERE HUMAN LIFE IS IN PERIL. THESE
- 21 INDIVIDUALS RESPONDED ALONG WITH MANY OTHER FIRST RESPONDERS
- 22 TO THE TRAGIC METROLINK TRAIN CRASH. THEY PERFORMED ADMIRABLY
- 23 AND UNFLINCHINGLY IN VERY TRYING AND DIFFICULT CIRCUMSTANCES.
- 24 THEY'VE TRAINED AND PREPARED TO BE THAT DIFFERENCE BETWEEN
- 25 LIFE AND DEATH FOR MILLIONS OF PEOPLE. AND SO WE PROUDLY, AND



- 1 I CERTAINLY PROUDLY JOIN WITH YOU, THE MEMBERS OF OUR BOARD,
- 2 IN RECOGNIZING THESE INDIVIDUALS. AND I KNOW ON BEHALF OF THEM
- 3 AND THEIR FAMILIES, ALL OF US EXTEND OUR CONDOLENCES AND
- 4 SYMPATHIES TO THE FAMILIES AND THE VICTIMS OF THAT TRAGIC
- 5 CRASH, KNOWING THAT ALL THAT WAS HUMANLY POSSIBLE WAS DONE BY
- 6 MEN AND WOMEN JUST AS THESE WHO ARE TRULY PROUD PROTECTORS OF
- 7 LIFE AND PROPERTY. SO THANK YOU, SUPERVISORS, FOR YOUR
- 8 RECOGNITION. AND THANKS FOR THESE. [APPLAUSE.]

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LET ME JUST ADD A WORD TO WHAT BOTH MIKES
- 11 HAVE SAID. THAT NIGHT IS A NIGHT THAT NEITHER OF US WILL EVER
- 12 FORGET. WE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO TALK TO SOME OF THE PEOPLE,
- 13 SOME OF THE MEN AND WOMEN OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, SHERIFF'S
- 14 DEPARTMENT, WHO ENTERED THAT METROLINK TRAIN AT FIRST. WE TALK
- 15 ABOUT PEOPLE WHO PUT THEIR LIVES ON THE LINE, THIS WAS IT BIG
- 16 TIME. BECAUSE THAT ENGINE WAS ON FIRE. THERE WAS NO TELLING
- 17 WHETHER THAT ENGINE WAS GOING TO BLOW UP OR THE CARS WERE
- 18 GOING TO BLOW UP. THE FIREFIGHTERS INSIDE TRYING TO DISLODGE
- 19 PEOPLE WHO WERE STILL ALIVE UNDER THE MOST TRYING
- 20 CIRCUMSTANCES. IT REALLY IS WHAT WE HAVE COME TO TAKE FOR
- 21 GRANTED IN THIS COUNTY, THE INCREDIBLE LEVEL OF EXCELLENCE OF
- 22 OUR FIRST RESPONDERS, ESPECIALLY THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS OF LOS
- 23 ANGELES COUNTY AND THE OTHERS THAT MAKE UP THE COUNTY. THE
- 24 PUBLIC, THE PEOPLE OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHOULD ALWAYS--
- 25 SHOULD NEVER TAKE IT FOR GRANTED. WE CERTAINLY DON'T. WE ARE



- 1 BLESSED THAT WE HAVE THE BEST-- THE BEST FIRE DEPARTMENT IN
- 2 THE WORLD TO RESPOND TO ALMOST ANY KIND OF DISASTER, ANY KIND
- 3 OF EMERGENCY. THIS IS THE MOST VERSATILE ORGANIZATION OF ITS
- 4 KIND AND PROUD TO BE PART OF YOUR TEAM. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE.]

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: GLORIA? LET'S GET IN THE PICTURE. HAVE A
- 7 GROUP PICTURE. [APPLAUSE.]

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: TO PROCLAIM THE MONTH OF NOVEMBER 8TH AND 9TH
- 10 FOR DAYS OF REMEMBRANCE FOR THE UKRAINIAN GENOCIDE OF 1932-
- 11 1933 THROUGH LOS ANGELES COUNTY, THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HAS
- 12 PROCLAIMED THOSE DAYS AS THE DAY OF REMEMBRANCE. JOINING US
- 13 RIGHT NOW IS ALEXANDER RIVNY, LUBA KESSIK, WALTER KESSIK,
- 14 PATRICIA BERKSTRASSE, PASTOR VASEL SALSER, AND PASTOR VASIL
- 15 SHADRICHELLEN [PH?] RECENTLY K.G.B. ARCHIVED DOCUMENTS HAVE
- 16 REVEALED THAT UNDER THE LEADERSHIP OF SOVIET LEADER JOSEPH
- 17 STALIN 75 YEARS AGO, THE SOVIET UNION DEVELOPED A POLICY
- 18 DESIGNED TO PUNISH UKRAINIANS FOR THEIR SIMPLE RESISTANCE AND
- 19 OPPOSITION IN FIGHTING FOR POLITICAL FREEDOM AND ECONOMIC
- 20 OPPORTUNITIES. THE U.S.S.R., USING FOOD AS A WEAPON TO COMMIT
- 21 AN ACT OF GENOCIDE AGAINST THE UKRAINIAN NATION, LED TO THE
- 22 DEATHS OF UP TO 10 MILLION PEOPLE. THAT WOULD BE LIKE KILLING
- 23 EVERYBODY IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY, ALL 88 CITIES AND ALL OF OUR
- 24 134 COMMUNITIES. EVEN THOUGH THE SOVIET UNION DENIED THE
- 25 EXISTENCE OF THIS FAMINE AND GENOCIDE AS IT CLAIMED THE LIVES



- 1 OF 25,000 VICTIMS EVERY DAY AND REJECTED ALL OFFERS OF
- 2 EXTERNAL AID OF PROVIDING FOOD FOR THESE PEOPLE, HISTORY NOW
- 3 FINDS THAT THEY LIED AND THAT THESE PEOPLE DID DIE THROUGH A
- 4 DELIBERATE POLICY OF THE COMMUNIST GOVERNMENT. IN 1988, THE
- 5 UNITED STATES CONGRESSIONAL COMMISSION ON THE UKRAINE FAMINE
- 6 CONCLUDED THAT JOSEPH STALIN AND THOSE AROUND HIM COMMITTED
- 7 GENOCIDE AGAINST THE UKRAINIANS BETWEEN 1932 AND 1933. ON
- 8 NOVEMBER 28, 2006, THE UKRAINE PARLIAMENT ADOPTED A BILL
- 9 RECOGNIZING THE SOVIET ERA FORCED FAMINE KNOWN AS "THE DEATH
- 10 BY STARVATION" AS AN ACT OF GENOCIDE AGAINST THE UKRAINIAN
- 11 PEOPLE, RESULTING IN THE LOSS OF ALMOST 1/3 OF THEIR ENTIRE
- 12 POPULATION. GOVERNMENTAL BODIES, DOZENS OF COUNTRIES IN
- 13 OFFICIAL DOCUMENTS AND RESOLUTIONS HAVE FOUND IT NECESSARY TO
- 14 RECOGNIZE AND ACKNOWLEDGE THE UKRAINE FAMINE AS GENOCIDE SO
- 15 THAT THIS CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY WILL BE REMEMBERED AND
- 16 HOPEFULLY PREVENT SIMILAR TRAGEDIES IN THE FUTURE. LOS ANGELES
- 17 COUNTY IS VERY PLEASED AND PROUD TO HAVE A PLAQUE IN OUR L.A.
- 18 COUNTY COURT OF FLAGS THAT COMMEMORATES THIS HOLY TERROR AND
- 19 DEATH AND GENOCIDE THAT OCCURRED TO THE UKRAINIAN PEOPLE. AND
- 20 SO ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD, WE'D LIKE TO PRESENT THIS
- 21 PROCLAMATION PROCLAIMING NOVEMBER 8TH THROUGH 9TH RECOGNIZING
- 22 THE TERRIBLE GENOCIDE THAT OCCURRED AND OUR PRAYERS THAT
- 23 GENOCIDES WILL END FOR OTHER PEOPLES THROUGHOUT THE WORLD
- 24 AGAINST THIS TYPE OF TYRANNY. [APPLAUSE.]



- 1 SPEAKER: THANK YOU, MR. ANTONOVICH. MADAME CHAIRMAN, HONORABLE
- 2 SUPERVISORS, HONORED MEMBERS AND GUESTS. IT IS INDEED AN HONOR
- 3 AND A PRIVILEGE TO APPEAR BEFORE YOU. ON BEHALF OF THE
- 4 UKRAINIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY, I WOULD LIKE TO EXPRESS OUR
- 5 APPRECIATION TO ALL OF YOU FOR SUPPORTING US IN THE PAST AND
- 6 PRESENT. WE SINCERELY HOPE THAT THIS CORDIAL RELATIONSHIP WILL
- 7 CONTINUE LONG INTO THE FUTURE. THE UKRAINIAN AMERICAN
- 8 COMMUNITY IS DEEPLY INDEBTED TO YOU FOR ALLOWING US TO BUILD A
- 9 MONUMENT IN MEMORY OF THE 7 TO 10 MILLION VICTIMS WHO PERISHED
- 10 IN THE GENOCIDE OF 1932-33, WHICH WAS PERPETRATED BY COMMUNIST
- 11 RUSSIA, HEADED BY STALIN, THEN THE LEADER OF THE COMMUNIST
- 12 PARTY. THESE PEOPLE DID NOT HAVE A CHANCE TO HAVE THEIR VOICES
- 13 HEARD. THE 3 MILLION CHILDREN OF THE 10 NEVER GREW UP TO SEE
- 14 THEIR HOMELAND. THEY NEVER GREW UP TO SEE A FREE AND
- 15 INDEPENDENT UKRAINE. LET US HEAR OUR VOICES, LET US BE THEIR
- 16 VOICES. AND I INVITE ALL OF YOU TO PARTICIPATE THIS YEAR WITH
- 17 THE UKRAINIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY HERE IN LOS ANGELES ON
- 18 NOVEMBER 9TH AT 3:30 AT THE CATHEDRAL OF OUR LADY OF THE
- 19 ANGELS WHERE WE WILL HAVE AN INTERFAITH MEMORIAL SERVICE TO
- 20 COMMEMORATE THE DEATH, THE NEEDLESS DEATH AND SUFFERING, OF 10
- 21 MILLION UKRAINIANS. WE PRAY THAT A GENOCIDE LIKE THIS WILL
- 22 NEVER OCCUR, NOT ONLY IN UKRAINE, BUT IN ANY PART OF THE
- 23 WORLD. PLEASE COME AND JOIN US IN SUPPORT. SUPPORT US IN
- 24 PRAYER AND JUST BY BEING THERE. THIS WILL BE FOLLOWED BY A
- 25 PROCESSION TO THE MEMORIAL HERE WHERE WE WILL LAY A WREATH TO



- 1 HONOR THESE VICTIMS. AND WE WILL CONCLUDE WITH A BRIEF PRAYER.
- 2 AND WE HOPE THAT MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILL
- 3 ATTEND AND SPEAK TO ALL THE ATTENDANTS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH
- 4 FOR YOUR ATTENTION AND THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR HONORING US. GOD
- 5 BLESS YOU. [APPLAUSE.]

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THEN WE HAVE A LITTLE EIGHT-WEEK OLD
- 8 LITTLE GIRL, BOXER MIX LITTLE DAISY WITH A LITTLE WHITE NOSE.
- 9 ANYBODY LIKE TO ADOPT DAISY? DAISY IS LOOKING FOR A HOME. YOU
- 10 CAN CALL THE TELEPHONE NUMBER AT THE BOTTOM OF YOUR SCREEN,
- 11 562-728-4644. OR ANYBODY IN THE AUDIENCE WHO WOULD LIKE TO
- 12 ADOPT LITTLE DAISY. THIS LITTLE DAISY. INTERESTED?

- 14 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU, MADAME CHAIR. IT IS MY HONOR THIS
- 15 MORNING TO WELCOME RAY GONZALEZ FOR A VERY SPECIAL OCCASION.
- 16 HE IS JOINED BY VICKY PROTHER WHO IS THE COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
- 17 MANAGER. COME ON UP, RAY. VERY SPECIAL FRIEND, GOOD GUY. TODAY
- 18 WE'RE HONORED TO HAVE HIM HERE. FOR 30 YEARS, RAY HAS SERVED
- 19 AS THE DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS FOR K.T.L.A. CHANNEL 5.
- 20 HE THOUGHTFULLY ADDRESSES THE CONCERNS OF THE COMMUNITY THAT
- 21 K.T.L.A. SERVES AND HE DEVELOPS STRATEGIES, RELATIONSHIPS AND
- 22 PARTNERSHIPS WITH ORGANIZATIONS AND INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE IN
- 23 SOME TYPE OF NEED. AS MANY OF YOU KNOW, RAY HAS BEEN THE
- 24 EXECUTIVE PRODUCER AND THE HOST OF "PACE SETTERS" A WEEKLY
- 25 SERIES OF CHANNEL 5 DESIGNED, WHICH ADDRESSES THE CULTURAL AND



- 1 INFORMATIONAL NEEDS OF THE COMMUNITY. "PACESETTERS" HAS AIRED
- 2 FOR 34 YEARS. THAT'S IMPRESSIVE, RAY, IT TRULY IS. AND IT
- 3 CONTINUES TO PRESENT RELEVANT LOCAL AND NATIONAL CONCERNS AS
- 4 WELL AS DEBATES ON POLITICS, EDUCATION, COMMUNITY AS WELL AS
- 5 ALL GOVERNMENT AND CIVIC ISSUES. RAY IS ALSO K.T.L.A.'S
- 6 CHARITIES COORDINATOR. HE OVERSEES THE DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS
- 7 OF NUMEROUS WORTHY ORGANIZATIONS, SUCH AS L.A.'S BEST, SECOND
- 8 HARVEST FOOD BANK, THE SHELTER PARTNERSHIP AS WELL AS MANY
- 9 OTHERS. WE WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE RAY'S IMPRESSIVE CIVIC
- 10 CONTRIBUTIONS, WHICH INCLUDE TEACHING AT U.C.L.A., SERVING AS
- 11 A MOTIVATIONAL SPEAKER THROUGHOUT OUR PUBLIC SCHOOLS, GIVING
- 12 HIS NAME-- AND I'VE BEEN THERE PRETTY REGULARLY WHEN HE'S
- 13 THEIR MASTER OF CEREMONIES AT VARIOUS DINNER THROUGHOUT THE
- 14 COMMUNITY-- AS WELL AS ALL KINDS OF ASSISTANCE TO VARIOUS
- 15 ORGANIZATIONS. WE REALLY HAVE ADMIRED HIS 30 SOME YEARS AT
- 16 K.T.L.A. AND THE WORK THAT HE'S DONE. AND THE "PACE SETTERS"
- 17 HAS BEEN A SHOW THAT'S INFORMATIVE. IT'S AN OPPORTUNITY FOR
- 18 MANY OF US IN THE COMMUNITY TO SHOW CASE SOME OF OUR ISSUES,
- 19 TO TALK ABOUT THE ADVOCACY IN OUR COMMUNITY. AND SO WE REALLY
- 20 APPRECIATE THE KIND OF CONTRIBUTION THAT YOU'VE MADE TO THE
- 21 KIND OF CIVIC WELLNESS OF OUR REGION AND OUR COMMUNITY. AND
- 22 IT'S A SHAME YOU'RE RETIRING. BUT I'M SURE YOU'RE NOT GOING
- 23 FAR AWAY. HE WILL BE INVOLVED IN ALL KINDS OF CIVIC ISSUES.
- 24 BUT, RAY, AS A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ALONG WITH
- 25 MY COLLEAGUES HERE, WE ARE SO HONORED TO HONOR YOU AS YOU



- 1 RETIRE AND CONGRATULATE YOU FOR ALL THE SERVICE YOU PROVIDED.
- 2 CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE.]

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: RAY, I'D JUST LIKE TO JOIN IN SAYING IT'S
- 5 BEEN SUCH A PLEASURE TO WORK WITH YOU ALL THESE YEARS. THANK
- 6 YOU FOR ALLOWING SOME OF US TO BE PART OF YOUR PROGRAM AND TO
- 7 GET OUR WORD OUT AND HAVE A WONDERFUL RETIREMENT. REALLY
- 8 ADMIRE YOU.

9

10 RAY GONZALEZ: THANK YOU.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME ALSO ECHO THAT, AS WELL. YOU'RE ONE OF
- 13 THE ICONS OF CHANNEL 5 AND TELEVISION. AND WE APPRECIATED YOUR
- 14 SERVICE IN THE PAST AND HOPE YOU'LL STILL STAY INVOLVED IN THE
- 15 FUTURE.

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MADAME CHAIR, I JUST ADD MY THANKS TO RAY
- 18 FOR HIS SERVICE TO INFORMING THE LOS ANGELES MEDIA MARKET.
- 19 I'VE BEEN ON HIS PROGRAMS FROM VIRTUALLY THE TIME I WAS FIRST
- 20 ELECTED. YOU LOOK PRETTY GOOD FOR ALL THAT TIME. BUT THE GREAT
- 21 THING ABOUT YOU, RAY, IS THAT YOU STUDY THE ISSUES BEFORE YOU
- 22 HAD US ON YOUR PROGRAM. YOU USED THAT HALF HOUR TO REALLY
- 23 INFORM THE PUBLIC AND GAVE US AN OPPORTUNITY TO SHARE OUR
- 24 CRAZY IDEAS WITH THE PUBLIC, AS WELL. AND, REALLY, YOU WILL BE



- 1 MISSED. BUT THANK YOU FOR WHAT YOU'VE DONE FOR LOS ANGELES.
- 2 [APPLAUSE.]

- 4 RAY GONZALEZ: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, SUPERVISORS. I APPRECIATE
- 5 YOUR NICE COMMENTS AND I ACKNOWLEDGE THE FACT THAT I'VE
- 6 ENJOYED DOING MANY OF THE PROGRAMS THAT YOU FOLKS REPRESENT OF
- 7 THE ISSUES THAT AFFECT OUR COUNTY. AND I LOVE LIVING IN LOS
- 8 ANGELES COUNTY. I LOVE LIVING WITHIN THE PART OF THE L.A.
- 9 CITY. I LOVE THIS COMMUNITY. I LOVE THE DIVERSITY. I LOVE THE
- 10 PARKS. I LOVE EVEN THE BUSY HIGHWAYS AND THE FREEWAYS AND THE
- 11 STREETS. BECAUSE WE HAVE BEAUTIFUL PEOPLE WHO LIVE IN OUR
- 12 COMMUNITY. JUST YOU ACKNOWLEDGE OUR FIRE FOLKS HERE, THAT'S
- 13 BEAUTIFUL, THE FACT THAT WE HAVE THE NUMBER ONE, SECOND TO
- 14 NONE, OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS THROUGHOUT THE NATION AND THE
- 15 WORLD. SO I SURELY APPRECIATE THIS AWARD. I REMEMBER GOING
- 16 BACK MANY, MANY YEARS AGO WHEN SUPERVISOR MOLINA WAS WORKING
- 17 FOR PRESIDENT CARTER. I HAD HER ON THE SHOW WHEN SHE TOOK TIME
- 18 FROM WASHINGTON, AS A CITY COUNCIL PERSON. I HAD MANY OF YOU
- 19 ON NOT TOO LONG AGO WITHIN THE LAST YEAR OR SO. I STILL WILL
- 20 BE DOING THE SHOW FOR AT LEAST UNTIL DECEMBER. I WILL STILL BE
- 21 INVOLVED WITH LOS ANGELES COUNTY LIBRARY FOUNDATION. I'LL
- 22 STILL BE THERE. AND THIS COMING THURSDAY, THE LOS ANGELES
- 23 HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION, THEY'LL BE HOLDING THEIR ANNUAL
- 24 LUNCHEON AT LUMINATAS RESTAURANT, AND GUESS WHO'S GOING TO BE
- 25 EMCEEING? I WILL BE THERE TO EMCEE THE EVENT. LADIES AND



- 1 GENTLEMEN, THANK YOU SO MUCH. SUPERVISORS, I APPRECIATE YOUR
- 2 HONOR AND YOUR AWARD. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE.]

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THAT CONCLUDES THE PRESENTATIONS.
- 5 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, YOU'RE UP FIRST.

6

7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU DON'T HAVE ANY PRESENTATIONS?

- 9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES, I HAVE PRESENTATIONS. WHAT AM I
- 10 SAYING? I HAVEN'T GIVEN ALL OF MINE. I HAVE ANOTHER TWO HERE.
- 11 I'D LIKE TO CALL FORWARD CARSON STATION CAPTAIN TODD ROGERS.
- 12 THE CARSON STATION IS UNDER HIS COMMAND. AND HE WAS SELECTED
- 13 AS THE RECIPIENT OF THE 2008 JAMES Q. WILSON AWARD FOR
- 14 EXCELLENCE IN COMMUNITY POLICING. THE AWARD IS SPONSORED BY
- 15 THE REGIONAL COMMUNITIES POLICING INSTITUTE, CALIFORNIA
- 16 R.C.P.I., IN COLLABORATION WITH THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY
- 17 GENERAL AND CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE. THE AWARD
- 18 RECOGNIZES CALIFORNIA LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES THAT
- 19 SUCCESSFULLY IMPLEMENT A COMMUNITY POLICING PHILOSOPHY. CARSON
- 20 STATION'S COMMITMENT TO COMMUNITY POLICING HAS BEEN
- 21 INSTRUMENTAL IN PRODUCING LOWER CRIME RATES, SOLVING STANDING
- 22 PROBLEMS AND CREATING A GREAT AMOUNT OF SUPPORT WITHIN THE
- 23 COMMUNITY. CAPTAIN ROGERS HAS DONE AN OUTSTANDING JOB AND WAS
- 24 RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CREATION OF THIS AWARD 10 YEARS AGO WHEN
- 25 HE WAS ASSIGNED TO R.C.P.I. CAPTAIN ROGERS WAS PRESENTED THIS



- 1 AWARD LAST MONTH AT THE CALIFORNIA CRIME PREVENTION OFFICERS'
- 2 ASSOCIATION'S ANNUAL CONFERENCE IN SACRAMENTO. IT IS CERTAINLY
- 3 MY PLEASURE TO RECOGNIZE YOU AS YOU HAVE BEEN RECEIVING THE
- 4 AWARD AND COMMUNITY POLICING IS SO IMPORTANT TO ALL OF US. AND
- 5 MAKING IT WORK, IT DOES WORK. CONGRATULATIONS.

6

7 TODD ROGERS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE.]

8

- 9 TODD ROGERS: THANK YOU, MADAME CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD.
- 10 THE JAMES O. WILSON AWARD FOR EXCELLENCE IN COMMUNITY POLICING
- 11 IS A TESTAMENT TO THE HARD WORK AND DEDICATION OF THE 225 MEN
- 12 AND WOMEN ASSIGNED TO CARSON STATION. THAT'S WHY I BROUGHT
- 13 SOME HERE WITH ME THIS MORNING. IT'S ALSO A TESTAMENT TO THE
- 14 INCREDIBLE PARTNERSHIP THAT WE HAVE WITH THE CITY OF CARSON
- 15 AND THE UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITIES THAT WE SERVE. AND I
- 16 CERTAINLY APPRECIATE THE BOARD RECOGNIZING THEIR COLLECTIVE
- 17 EFFORTS THIS MORNING. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

- 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND YOU KNOW WE'D LIKE TO CALL FORWARD THE
- 20 REGISTRAR-RECORDER DIRECTOR, DEAN LOGAN. AND REGISTRAR-
- 21 RECORDER OUTSTANDING ELECTION VOLUNTEERS, SYLVIA LEVIN,
- 22 FRANCES CARRIE, MARY GUERRERO, ROSA SORIANO, VELMA MOVI, ROXY
- 23 KATENJENIAN, MARJORIE AUSTIN, ELLA MARIE BAILEY, MATTIE HEART,
- 24 MARY COIN WAGNER, GERALDINE COOPER, EMILY DURAN, SUE EVA
- 25 KEROU, BLANCHE CLAY AND VIRGINIA HERTADO. WELL, POLL WORKERS



- 1 SERVE ON THE FRONTLINE OF DEMOCRACY, MAKING SURE VOTES ARE
- 2 CAST. THEY SERVE ELECTION AFTER ELECTION AND ARE COMMITTED TO
- 3 THE DEMOCRATIC PROCESS AND SERVING THEIR COMMUNITIES. WE HAVE
- 4 AN EXCEPTIONAL GROUP OF VOLUNTEERS HERE TODAY. AND TOGETHER,
- 5 TODAY'S HONOREES HAVE SERVED UPWARD OF 849 ELECTIONS SPANNING
- 6 MORE THAN 50 YEARS. THEY REPRESENT ALL FACETS OF THE ELECTION
- 7 PROCESS. ONE OF OUR HONOREES HAS REGISTERED TENS OF THOUSANDS
- 8 OF VOTERS AND ANOTHER HAS SERVED HER COMMUNITY AS A
- 9 MULTILINGUAL VOLUNTEER FOR DECADES. I'D LIKE TO BRIEFLY TELL
- 10 YOU THE SIGNIFICANT HONOR OF EACH OF OUR VOLUNTEERS HERE
- 11 TODAY. SYLVIA LEVIN HAS REGISTERED THOUSANDS OF VOTERS. WHERE
- 12 ARE YOU? SHE'S RIGHT HERE. MS. LEVIN, COME FORWARD HERE.
- 13 [APPLAUSE.] FRANCES CARRIE, WHERE ARE YOU? HAS SERVED 79
- 14 ELECTIONS. [APPLAUSE.] MARY GUERRERO, WHERE ARE YOU, MARY?
- 15 RIGHT HERE. 61 ELECTIONS. [APPLAUSE.] ROSE SORIANO HAS SERVED
- 16 IN EACH ELECTION SINCE THE PROGRAM'S INCEPTION ELECTION IN
- 17 1998. ROSE, RIGHT OVER THERE. VELMA MOVI HAS SERVED IN 73
- 18 ELECTIONS. THANK YOU. ROXIE KATENJEMIAN, IS THAT THE RIGHT
- 19 PRONUNCIATION, HAS SERVED IN 72 ELECTIONS. [APPLAUSE.]
- 20 MARJORIE AUSTIN HAS SERVED IN 72 ELECTIONS. RIGHT THERE,
- 21 MARJORIE, RAISE YOUR HAND SO WE CAN RECOGNIZE YOU. ELLA MARIE
- 22 BAILEY HAS SERVED IN 64 ELECTIONS. SHE'S OVER THERE AT THE
- 23 END. THANK YOU. MATTIE HART HAS SERVED IN 62 ELECTIONS. THANK
- 24 YOU VERY MUCH. MARY COIN WAGENER HAS SERVED IN 62 ELECTIONS.
- 25 SOME OF THESE LADIES, GERALDINE COOPER HAS SERVED IN 61



- 1 ELECTIONS, RIGHT HERE. THANK YOU MS. COOPER. EMILY DURAN HAS
- 2 SERVED IN 58 ELECTIONS. SUE EVA CURIE HAS SERVED IN 57
- 3 ELECTIONS. IS SHE HERE TODAY? BLANCHE CLAY HAS SERVED IN 56
- 4 ELECTIONS. AND VIRGINIA HERTADO SERVED IN 55. IT'S WITH GREAT
- 5 PLEASURE THAT I SAY THANK YOU. AND I KNOW SOME OF YOU FOLKS
- 6 OUT THERE, YOU'RE GOING TO BECOME POLL WORKERS. YEAH, WE NEED
- 7 YOU. AND WE NEED YOU AS REGISTRARS THIS LAST DAY, YESTERDAY TO
- 8 REGISTER TO VOTE, BUT FOR POLL WORKERS AND TO HELP US AND
- 9 VOLUNTEER BECAUSE WE CERTAINLY APPRECIATE ALL YOUR WORK. LOOK
- 10 AT ALL THE YEARS THEY SERVED. MY MOTHER DID IT ALL OF MY LIFE
- 11 AND ALL OF HER LIFE. AND SO I REALLY KNOW HOW MUCH WORK IT IS.
- 12 AND WE DEFINITELY APPRECIATE IT. WHY DON'T YOU SAY A WORD? OUR
- 13 REGISTRAR-RECORDER.

- 15 DEAN LOGAN: MADAME CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, I WANT TO
- 16 THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO HONOR THESE DEDICATED
- 17 VOLUNTEERS AND CITIZENS TODAY. THE PEOPLE BEFORE YOU HAVE
- 18 SERVED IN ELECTIONS DATING BACK TO 1952 IN THE EISENHOWER
- 19 ADMINISTRATION. AND THEY REALLY ARE AN EXAMPLE FOR ALL OF US
- 20 OF CIVIC ENGAGEMENT AND OF THE DEMOCRATIC PROCESS. THESE FOLKS
- 21 ARE THE GATEKEEPERS OF DEMOCRACY ON ELECTION DAY. WE COULD NOT
- 22 FUNCTION WITHOUT OUR POLL WORKERS. AND SO WHAT YOU SEE BEFORE
- 23 YOU IS A REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLE OF THE OVER 25,000 PEOPLE THAT
- 24 WILL SERVE US ON ELECTION DAY ON NOVEMBER 4TH, WHICH INCLUDES
- 4,000 PLUS COUNTY EMPLOYEE POLL WORKERS, 4,000 PLUS HIGH



- 1 SCHOOL STUDENT POLL WORKERS AND OVER 17,000 COMMUNITY
- 2 VOLUNTEERS LIKE THE WONDERFUL PEOPLE BEHIND ME. JUST A QUICK
- 3 REMINDER, AN INTERESTING HISTORIC FACT. THESE FOLKS DATE BACK
- 4 TO THE 1952 ELECTION. THAT WAS ALSO THE LAST PRESIDENTIAL
- 5 ELECTION WE HAD IN THIS COUNTRY WHERE THERE WAS NOT A SITTING
- 6 PRESIDENT OR SITTING VICE PRESIDENT ON THE TICKET AS WE HAVE
- 7 WITH THIS PARTICULAR ELECTION. YESTERDAY WAS THE VOTER
- 8 REGISTRATION CUTOFF FOR THE ELECTION. WE ARE SEEING PHENOMENAL
- 9 REGISTRATION NUMBERS FOR THIS ELECTION AND WE WILL EXPECT TO
- 10 SEE PHENOMENAL TURNOUT ON NOVEMBER 4TH. PLEASE BE KIND TO YOUR
- 11 POLL WORKERS. THERE'S LIKELY TO BE LINES AT THE POLLS. AND
- 12 THESE FOLKS ARE THE PEOPLE THAT ARE GOING TO MAKE SURE THAT
- 13 YOUR VOTES ARE COUNTED. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IF YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEMS CALL US. BUT IF
- 16 YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEMS, CALL US, AND WE'LL MAKE SURE WE GET
- 17 SOMEONE OUT THERE. I'M GOING TO ASK. HOW MANY PEOPLE IN THE
- 18 AUDIENCE HAVE BEEN POLL WORKERS? LET'S GIVE THEM A HAND.
- 19 [APPLAUSE.] THANK YOU. WE'RE GOING TO GET A PICTURE TOGETHER.
- 20 THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE.] I'D LIKE TO FINALLY CALL
- 21 FORWARD HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION DIRECTOR, ROBIN TOMA,
- 22 PHILIPPE AGRENDANO, PRESIDENT OF HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION
- 23 ELLEN LENSLEY, COFOUNDER OF CONNECT L.A. I'D LIKE TO CALL
- 24 FORWARD CARL COOPER AND ANITA MEACHAM OF THE L.A. CITY
- 25 DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATIONS, CLASS PARK PROGRAM AND



- 1 CARL DONOHUE, PARTNERSHIP AND MENTORING. JACQUELINE PARKER OF
- 2 SOUTH YOUTH SERVICES. JOSE CORREO OF EAST YOUTH SERVICES. JOHN
- 3 WICKER OF L.A. COUNTY PARKS AND RECREATION AND L.A.U.S.D.
- 4 REPRESENTATIVE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
- 5 IS COMMITTED TO INCREASING EFFORTS TO INVOLVE YOUTH VOLUNTEERS
- 6 AND COMMUNITY SERVICE IN COMMUNITIES. IT'S HIGHLY IMPORTANT
- 7 THAT THE CREATION OF POSITIVE OPPORTUNITIES FOR ALL RESIDENT
- 8 IS MADE AVAILABLE IN EFFORTS TO INCREASE THE UNDERSTANDING AND
- 9 APPRECIATION OF OTHER PEOPLE'S RACES, RELIGIONS, COMMUNITIES
- 10 AND CULTURE. "TEENS MAKE A DIFFERENCE DAY" SUPPORTS YOUTH-
- 11 INITIATED EFFORTS TO DEVELOP LEADERSHIP SKILLS, CIVIC PRIDE
- 12 AND CULTURAL AWARENESS BY ENCOURAGING THEM TO MAKE A
- 13 DIFFERENCE IN THEIR COMMUNITY AS VOLUNTEERS AND PROJECT
- 14 LEADERS. THEY ARE ENCOURAGED TO COLLABORATE WITH THEIR PEERS
- 15 FROM DIFFERENT SCHOOLS, COMMUNITIES, ETHNIC GROUPS AND RACES
- 16 OR COUNTRIES OF ORIGIN AS A WAY TO BUILD AND STRENGTHEN
- 17 INTERRACIAL RELATIONS. TEENS MAKE A DIFFERENCE DAY 2008,
- 18 COORDINATED BY THE L.A. COUNTY COMMISSION ON HUMAN RELATIONS
- 19 AND CO-SPONSORED BY THE L.A. COUNTY OF DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND
- 20 RECREATION, CONNECT L.A. S.G.S. PRODUCE, LOS ANGELES CITY
- 21 DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION, CLASS PARKS PROGRAM, LOS
- 22 ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICTS HEALTH EDUCATION PROGRAMS,
- 23 L.A.U.S.D. BEYOND THE BRANCH, L.A. CONSERVATION CORPS, CLEAN
- 24 AND GREEN PROGRAM, AND POSEIDON SCHOOL HAS INVOLVED 3,000
- 25 TEENS SINCE 2006. "TEENS MAKE A DIFFERENCE" 2007 WAS ALSO



- 1 SELECTED AS ONE OF THE 10 NATIONAL AWARD RECIPIENTS BY U.S.A.
- 2 WEEKEND MAGAZINE AND NEWMAN'S OWN FOUNDATION. IT'S WITH GREAT
- 3 PLEASURE THAT I PRESENT THIS SCROLL, L.A. COUNTY HUMAN
- 4 RELATIONS COMMISSION DIRECTOR. I DON'T SEE HIM HERE. BUT
- 5 YOU'RE TAKING HIS PLACE.

6

7 RICHARD VERCHES: YES, I AM.

8

- 9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND WE WANT TO SAY WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A
- 10 GREAT 2008 DAY. WOULD YOU LIKE TO SAY A WORD?

11

- 12 RICHARD VERCHES: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR BURKE AND ALL THE
- 13 SUPERVISORS. "TEENS MAKE A DIFFERENCE DAY" IS A SPECIAL
- 14 PROGRAM THAT THE L.A. COUNTY COMMISSION ON HUMAN RELATIONS IS
- 15 PROUD TO BE IN COLLABORATION WITH MANY GREAT PARTNERS, AS
- 16 YOU'VE HEARD, TO BRING TEENS TOGETHER TO DEVELOP CIVIC PRIDE,
- 17 TO ENGAGE IN SELF-INITIATED COMMUNITY SERVICE PROJECTS ACROSS
- 18 COMMUNITIES, ACROSS RELIGIOUS IDENTITIES AND FAITHS, ACROSS
- 19 ETHNIC GROUPS, ACROSS NEIGHBORHOODS IN ORDER TO STRENGTHEN THE
- 20 FABRIC OF OUR COUNTY. AND ONCE AGAIN, WE ARE PROUD TO BE A
- 21 COORDINATOR OF THIS PROJECT AND ENGAGE YOUTH IN VERY POSITIVE
- 22 ACTIVITIES TO IMPROVE HUMAN RELATIONS THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY OF
- 23 LOS ANGELES.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: TELL US WHAT YOUR POSITION IS HERE WITH
- 2 HUMAN RELATIONS?

3

- 4 RICHARD VERCHES: I'M RICHARD VERCHES, THE CHIEF DEPUTY
- 5 DIRECTOR OF THE COMMITTEE ON HUMAN RELATIONS.

6

7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND WE HAVE HERE WITH US?

8

- 9 RICHARD VERCHES: LAURIE VALANT, L.A.U.S.D. OFFICE OF HEALTH
- 10 EDUCATION PROGRAMS. CARL COOPER, L.A. CITY PARKS, AND
- 11 RECREATION AND PARKS. AND JACQUELINE PARKER.

12

13 JACQUELINE PARKER: BEYOND THE BELL BRANCH, L.A.U.S.D.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: CONGRATULATIONS AND MANY THANKS. WE'RE
- 16 GOING TO TAKE A PICTURE WITH ALL OF US TOGETHER. [APPLAUSE.]

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THANK YOU, MADAME CHAIR. QUICK ONES. FIRST
- 19 OF ALL, LET ME ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF RICHARD
- 20 BLACKWELL, OTHERWISE KNOWN AS MR. BLACKWELL, WHO PASSED AWAY
- 21 SEVERAL DAYS AGO. FORMER ACTOR, MODEL AND FASHION DESIGNER
- 22 KNOWN FOR HIS WORST DRESSED CELEBRITIES LIST PASSED AWAY AT
- 23 THE AGE OF 86. MR. BLACKWELL WAS BORN IN 1922, BROOKLYN, NEW
- 24 YORK. GREW UP AS A SON OF THE GREAT DEPRESSION AND BECAME VERY
- 25 SUCCESSFUL IN HIS CHOSEN FIELD. HE LIVED IN OUR NEIGHBORHOOD.



- 1 HE WAS A GOOD FRIEND OF BARBARA'S AND MINE. HE'S SURVIVED BY
- 2 HIS LIFETIME COMPANION OF OVER 60 YEARS, ROBERT SPENCER AND
- 3 ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN HIS MEMORY. ALSO I THINK HE'LL PROBABLY
- 4 DO IT, BUT JACK TENNER. JUDGE JACK TENNER PASSED AWAY.

5

6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE'LL ALL JOIN IN THAT.

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: JACK WAS A GREAT FRIEND OF OURS, AS WELL.
- 9 AND I THINK OF ANYBODY WHO KNEW HIM. I FIRST GOT TO KNOW HIM
- 10 WHEN HE RAN THE CAMPAIGN OFFICE FOR MY OPPONENT IN MY FIRST
- 11 ELECTION. I GOT TO KNOW HIM. HE WAS VERY PUGNACIOUS AND WE
- 12 BECAME GREAT FRIENDS. HE WAS A GREAT JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR
- 13 COURT HERE FOR MANY YEARS AND A GREAT CITIZEN OF OUR COMMUNITY
- 14 AND OF OUR COUNTRY. HE PASSED AWAY AFTER A LONG ILLNESS ON
- 15 OCTOBER 13TH. SO THOSE ARE MY TWO ADJOURNING MOTIONS, MADAME
- 16 CHAIR. THOSE ARE MY TWO ADJOURNING MOTIONS. MADAME CHAIR, I
- 17 HAD A MOTION-- MR. ANTONOVICH AND I HAD A MOTION. I HAVE
- 18 SUBMITTED. IF I CAN JUST ASK THE STAFF TO CIRCULATE THE
- 19 RESUBMITTED VERSION. THAT WAS THE GREEN SHEET ITEM 60- A. IT
- 20 JUST CLARIFIED SOME THINGS THAT WE DID NOT HAVE COMPLETELY--
- 21 ENTIRELY ACCURATE. SO WE MADE THAT MODIFICATION TO INCLUDE NOT
- 22 JUST TRANSMISSION LINES, BUT DISTRIBUTION LINES. AND WE ALSO
- 23 ASK THAT THE COUNTY COUNSEL AND THE C.E.O. BE DIRECTED TO
- 24 REPORT TO THE BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS ON WHETHER IT WOULD BE
- 25 APPROPRIATE FOR THE COUNTY TO FILE ITS OWN PETITION SEEKING A



- 1 RULE MAKING REGARDING DISASTER PREPAREDNESS AND MANAGEMENT OF
- 2 OVERHEAD POWER LINES. THIS IS SOMETHING WE PREVIOUSLY ASKED
- 3 THE P.U.C. TO DO. THEY REJECTED IT BUT WE DIDN'T DO IT AS THE
- 4 COUNTY. SO IT'S A TWO-PRONGED ATTACK. IT'S THE BRUSH CLEARANCE
- 5 UNDER POWER LINES AND POWER POLES AS WELL AS PETITIONING THE
- 6 P.U.C. ON THE RULE- MAKING ISSUE. I WOULD JUST SAY THAT THE
- 7 SUBSTANCE OF THIS, WE'VE HAD TOO MANY FIRES IN THIS COUNTY
- 8 START IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS AS A RESULT OF DOWNED POWER
- 9 LINES THAT ARE DOWNED BY WINDS. WE NEED TO DO EVERYTHING WE
- 10 POSSIBLY CAN TO MAKE SURE THAT BRUSH, WEED, CHAPARRAL,
- 11 WHATEVER IT IS, THAT IS WITHIN THE LINE OF FIRE, SO TO SPEAK,
- 12 IS CLEARED. THIS WOULD DO THAT. SO I WOULD ASK FOR AN AYE
- 13 VOTE.

14

15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THANK YOU. MADAME CHAIR, CAN I ASK YOU IF WE
- 18 CAN JUST TAKE UP A FEW OF THE PUBLIC ITEMS?

19

- 20 SURE. YAROSLAVSKY, YEAH, THAT'S WHAT I WAS THINKING OF. MR.
- 21 SACHS, ARE YOU HERE? ARNOLD SACHS? IS HE NOT HERE? ARNOLD
- 22 **SACHS. >ITEM 11?**

- 24 >>SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YEAH, WE COULD TAKE UP ITEM 11, WHICH IS
- 25 GRAND AVENUE. WE HAVE A LOT OF PEOPLE HERE WHO MIGHT-- WE



- 1 COULD HEAR FROM. IT SHOULDN'T TAKE A VERY LONG TIME. AND BY
- 2 THAT TIME MAYBE WE'LL HAVE ARNOLD SACHS UP HERE.

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HE'S ASKED TO SPEAK ON THAT ONE, AS WELL.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY. GRAND AVENUE IS COMING UP FIRST.
- 7 THEY'RE WALKING UP. THEY'RE COMING UP. YES. THEY'RE WALKING
- 8 UP. THEY'RE AT THE DOOR. WE'RE GOING TO LET THEM IN. YES,
- 9 PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.

10

- 11 MARTHA WELBORNE: MY NAME IS MARTHA WELBORNE. I'M THE MANAGING
- 12 DIRECTOR OF THE GRAND AVENUE COMMITTEE.

13

- 14 PAUL RUTTER: PAUL RUTTER, I'M COUNSEL FOR THE GRAND AVENUE
- 15 COMMITTEE.

16

- 17 CALVIN HOLLIS: CAL HOLLIS WITH KAISER- MARSTON, ADVISER TO THE
- 18 GRAND AVENUE COMMITTEE.

19

- 20 WILLIAM WITTE: BILL WITTE, PARTNER WITH THE RELATED COMPANIES,
- 21 THE DEVELOPER.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THERE SOMEBODY WHO WILL GIVE A BRIEF
- 24 OVERVIEW OF THIS? IS IT MAYBE COUNTY STAFF WOULD BE A GOOD
- 25 START? IS THERE ANYBODY FROM COUNTY STAFF THAT'S HERE? BILL,



- 1 DOES ANYBODY ON YOUR STAFF HAVE ANY-- WE'LL GET TO YOU IN A
- 2 SECOND. I WANT TO MAKE SURE I HAVE ALL THE PLAYERS HERE,
- 3 THAT'S ALL. SO WHY DON'T YOU SIT OVER THERE? THANK YOU.

- 5 MARTHA WELBORNE: SUPERVISORS, WE'RE HERE TODAY TO BRING
- 6 FORWARD-- THIS IS GOOD NEWS-- A NEW INVESTMENT PARTNER IN THE
- 7 GRAND AVENUE PROJECT. THIS WAS ANTICIPATED THE LAST TIME THE
- 8 PARTNERSHIP RESTRUCTURING WAS BEFORE YOU LAST SPRING. IT WAS
- 9 KNOWN THEN THE RELATED COMPANIES HAD ANTICIPATED TO BRING IN
- 10 YET ANOTHER PARTNER. THEY HADN'T IDENTIFIED THEM AT THE TIME.
- 11 THEY HAVE SUBSEQUENTLY. AND THE DISPOSITION DEVELOPMENT
- 12 AGREEMENT THAT WAS SIGNED BY THE JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY WITH
- 13 RELATED SOMETIME AGO REQUIRES THAT WE PROCEED WITH THE
- 14 GOVERNING ENTITIES, THE C.R.A. AND THE COUNTY BEFORE WE GO TO
- 15 THE AUTHORITY BOARD. THE C.R.A. CONSIDERED THE MATTER LAST
- 16 THURSDAY, MARCH 16TH, AND APPROVED IT. AND WE ARE HERE BEFORE
- 17 YOU TODAY. JUST AN OVERVIEW, WE'RE HAPPY TO GET INTO ANY
- 18 OUESTIONS YOU HAVE. AN OVERVIEW IS THAT THERE ARE THREE
- 19 INSURANCE COMPANIES THAT COME FROM SOUTH KOREA THAT HAVE
- 20 CHOSEN TO INVEST IN THE PROJECT, AN AMOUNT AROUND \$100
- 21 MILLION. I'M NOT SURE THE EXACT FIGURE. MR. WITTE CAN TELL
- 22 YOU. IT'S AN AMOUNT THAT IS EQUIVALENT TO THE LAST
- 23 RESTRUCTURING. AND IT PROVIDES EQUITY FUNDING INTO THE PROJECT
- 24 AND IS A BIG BOOST AND A BIG STATEMENT OF SUPPORT FOR THE
- 25 PROJECT. SO WITHOUT GETTING INTO THE DETAILS OF WHO THEY ALL



- 1 ARE, WE'D BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU MIGHT
- 2 HAVE.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THERE A QUESTION WHY YOU DIDN'T MENTION
- 5 WHO THEY ARE?

6

- 7 MARTHA WELBORNE: NO, NO. CAL, CAN YOU ANSWER THAT? I'D LIKE
- 8 MR. HOLLIS OR BILL, EITHER ONE, CAN RUN THROUGH.

9

- 10 CALVIN HOLLIS: MR. YAROSLAVSKY, THE THREE INSURANCE COMPANIES,
- 11 DUNG BU INSURANCE COMPANY, LTD., DUNG BU LIFE INSURANCE
- 12 COMPANY AND KUM HO LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY. THESE ARE LARGE
- 13 INSURANCE COMPANIES OPERATING IN SOUTH KOREA.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND THE COUNTY STAFF HAS REVIEWED THIS AND
- 16 YOU'VE ENDORSED AND SUPPORTED THIS INFUSION?

17

18 CALVIN HOLLIS: THAT'S CORRECT, SUPERVISOR.

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN I JUST ASK ONE QUESTION? I'M JUST A
- 21 COUNTRY BOY FROM BEVERLY FAIRFAX NEIGHBORHOOD. BUT IN THE LAST
- 22 FOUR TO SIX WEEKS WHEN I HEAR ABOUT INSURANCE COMPANIES, I GET
- 23 NERVOUS. SO HERE'S MY QUESTION: IF THIS HAD BEEN 10 OR 12
- 24 WEEKS AGO AND THIS WAS NOT A SOUTH KOREAN INSURANCE COMPANY
- 25 BUT HAD IT BEEN A.I.G., AND THEN WHAT HAPPENED TO A.I.G.



- 1 HAPPENED, WOULD THAT HAVE AFFECTED THIS PROJECT OR OUR
- 2 EXPOSURE OR IN ANY WAY HAVE MANIFEST ANY CONSEQUENCES TO THIS
- 3 PROJECT?

4

- 5 BILL WITTE: SUPERVISOR, WHAT HAPPENED TO A.I.G., MY
- 6 UNDERSTANDING, WAS NOT ON THE INSURANCE SIDE. BUT WHEN THEY
- 7 ELECTED TO INVEST IN , I'LL CALL IT NONINSURANCE INVESTMENTS.
- 8 TO THE BEST OF OUR KNOWLEDGE, NONE OF THAT BEHAVIOR HAS
- 9 MANIFESTED ITSELF, CERTAINLY IN THE INSURANCE INDUSTRY IN
- 10 GENERAL, BUT CERTAINLY IN SOUTH KOREA. SO IRRESPECTIVE OF THE
- 11 EVENTS OF THE PAST 10 TO 12 WEEKS, AS FAR AS HANUA GOES, THERE
- 12 WOULD BE NO DIFFERENCE BECAUSE THEY HAVE BEEN INSURANCE
- 13 COMPANIES. AND THEY'VE STUCK TO THEIR BUSINESS.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT THIS IS A NON INSURANCE-RELATED
- 16 INVESTMENT THAT THEY'RE MAKING.

17

- 18 BILL WITTE: CORRECT. BUT THEIR UNDERLYING BUSINESS HAS BEEN
- 19 UNAFFECTED, I GUESS.

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT SO HAS--

- 23 SUP. MOLINA: THE QUESTION THAT YOU'RE ASKING, WHICH I ASKED
- 24 YESTERDAY IS THE ISSUE OF LIABILITY OR RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE
- 25 PROJECT. AND AS I UNDERSTAND, ALL OF THAT ASSUMPTION IS



1 COMPLETELY WITHIN RELATED. IF ANYTHING GOES WRONG, RELATED IS

2 THE ONE THAT HAS TO COME UP.

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I UNDERSTAND THAT. BUT WHAT I'M TRYING TO

5 JUST GET OUT IN THE OPEN HERE AND UNDERSTAND IT IS, WE WANT

6 THIS PROJECT. AND WE WANT YOU TO DO WELL, TOO. BUT WE WANT

7 THIS-- OUR MOTIVE IS, WE WANT THIS PROJECT. IF THIS INSURANCE

8 COMPANY, OR THESE THREE INSURANCE COMPANIES, FOR SOME REASON,

9 GET TAKEN OVER BY THE KOREAN GOVERNMENT OR THEY GO BANKRUPT OR

10 SOMETHING, OBVIOUSLY RELATED CAN'T HANDLE THIS ALONE. THAT'S

11 WHY YOU SOUGHT OUTSIDE PARTNERS, WHICH IS FINE. WHAT WOULD

12 HAPPEN IF ONE OR ALL OF THESE THREE COMPANIES HAD THE SAME

13 THING HAPPEN TO THEM THAT HAPPENED TO A.I.G.? WHAT WOULD BE

14 THE CHAIN REACTION AND HOW WOULD IT AFFECT US? OR YOU?

15

16 BILL WITTE: WELL, TWO THINGS, SUPERVISOR. AS SUPERVISOR MOLINA

17 INDICATED, AND AS YOU ACKNOWLEDGED, TECHNICALLY THAT'S OUR

18 PROBLEM AND NOT YOURS. BUT TO THE MORE SPECIFIC QUESTION, PER

19 THE TERMS OF THE PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT, THEY ARE REQUIRED TO

20 PROCEED. I'M NOT SURE I CAN ANSWER THE QUESTION ABOUT IF THERE

21 WERE A GOVERNMENT TAKEOVER IN BANKRUPTCIES. I MEAN THE MONEY

22 WOULD ALREADY HAVE BEEN PUT UP. I'M NOT SURE I'M KNOWLEDGEABLE

23 ENOUGH TO ANSWER THAT QUESTION.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THEY WILL PUT UP ALL OF THE MONEY UP
- 2 FRONT, RIGHT NOW OR AS SOON AS THIS IS RATIFIED BY THE TWO.

3

- 4 BILL WITTE: WELL, ONCE WE START. NOT NECESSARILY TOMORROW.
- 5 BUT, YES.

6

7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND WHEN IS THAT? NEXT YEAR?

8

- 9 BILL WITTE: WELL, WE HOPE THAT WILL BE IN 2009. THAT'S A
- 10 FUNCTION OF THE DEBT MARKETS. THIS OF COURSE IS THE EOUITY
- 11 SIDE. WE ARE CERTAINLY PROCEEDING ON PACE TO BE ABLE TO START
- 12 NEXT YEAR.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND IF SOMETHING SHOULD HAPPEN BETWEEN NOW
- 15 AND THAT POINT IN TIME WHEN YOU START THAT CAUSES SOME
- 16 DISTRESS IN THE SOUTH KOREAN INSURANCE INDUSTRY AND THEY ARE
- 17 NOT ABLE TO MAKE THIS TRANSFER, THIS PAYMENT TO YOU, THEN WHAT
- 18 HAPPENS?

19

- 20 **BILL WITTE:** WE ARE, AS WE HAVE BEEN SINCE WE PAID THE 50
- 21 MILLION, TO PAY FOR THE PREPAID GROUND RAN HERE, IT'S ALWAYS
- 22 BEEN RELATED'S RESPONSIBILITY TO EITHER FUND, WHICH WE HAVE
- 23 DONE TO DATE, OR COME UP FROM AN ALTERNATIVE SOURCE WITH THE
- 24 CAPITAL REQUIRED. SO WE'VE ASSUMED THAT RESPONSIBILITY.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOUR MIC. ISN'T ON. I'M SORRY, CAN YOU--?

2

- 3 PAUL RUTTER: -- RELATED WOULD BE BACK IN THE POSITION THEY'RE
- 4 IN TODAY, WHICH IS, THEIR EQUITY WOULD BE BACK TO WHERE IT IS
- 5 AS OPPOSED TO HAVING DISTRIBUTED PART OF THAT EQUITY TO THE
- 6 THREE INSURANCE COMPANIES. SO THE WORST POSSIBLE CASE FROM MY
- 7 PERSPECTIVE ON BEHALF OF THE J.P.A. AND THE GRAND AVENUE IS
- 8 THAT WE BE BACK WITH RELATED WHERE THEY ARE TODAY AS FAR AS
- 9 THE INVESTMENT IN THE PROJECT.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHICH COULD MEAN THAT THE PROJECT MIGHT NOT
- 12 GO FORWARD, DEPENDING UPON WHAT'S GOING ON WITH RELATED AND
- 13 THE ECONOMY, AND THE CREDIT.

14

- 15 PAUL RUTTER: IT'S CLEARLY BETTER FOR THE PROJECT TO HAVE THIS
- 16 ADDITIONAL INFUSION OF EQUITY, THAT'S CLEAR.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I WAS GOING TO SUGGEST THAT WE WOULD BE IN
- 19 NO WORSE SHAPE IF THAT HAPPENED THAN WE ARE TODAY. BUT THE
- 20 ODDS ARE WE WOULD BE IN A WORSE SHAPE BECAUSE WE WOULD BE
- 21 FOUR, SIX MONTHS DOWN THE LINE AND NO PROGRESS WILL HAVE BEEN
- 22 MADE. AND GOD KNOWS WHAT THE ECONOMIC SITUATION WOULD BE AT
- 23 THAT POINT IN TIME.



- 1 PAUL RUTTER: LET ME REMIND THE SUPERVISORS THAT WE WERE VERY
- 2 CAREFUL TO MAKE SURE THAT WE GOT SIGNIFICANT INVESTMENT BY
- 3 RELATED AS PART OF THE LAST ARRANGEMENT WITH THEM, WHICH
- 4 INCLUDED THE EXTENSION AGREEMENT TO FEBRUARY OF NEXT YEAR FOR
- 5 THE COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION WITH THE RIGHT FOR RELATED TO
- 6 BUY MONTHLY EXTENSIONS FOR UP TO TWO YEARS. THAT INVESTMENT IS
- 7 NOW GOING TO AMOUNT TO OVER \$130 MILLION FROM RELATED AND
- 8 ISTOMAR, SO THAT'S ONE OF THE THINGS WE'RE RELYING ON.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO FROM THE COUNTY'S POINT OF VIEW, THE
- 11 WORST CASE SCENARIO IS WHAT? IS IT THAT THE PROJECT DOESN'T
- 12 GET BUILT? THAT THE COUNTY ISN'T GOING TO BE OUT OF POCKET?
- 13 YOU'VE TRANSFERRED THE 50 MILLION ALREADY?

14

15 SUP. MOLINA: YES.

16

17 **BILL WITTE:** LAST YEAR.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LAST YEAR. SO FROM THAT POINT OF VIEW, THE
- 20 ONLY JEOPARDY THE COUNTY HAS IS THAT THE PROJECT WE'VE ALL
- 21 BEEN WORKING ON, THAT'S ALSO YOUR JEOPARDY, WOULD NOT
- 22 MATERIALIZE.

- 24 CALVIN HOLLIS: THAT IS CORRECT. AND THE \$50 MILLION IS A NON
- 25 REFUNDABLE PAYMENT. SO THOSE MONIES STAY WITH THE JOINT POWERS



- 1 AUTHORITY AND WOULD BE CONTINUED TO BE USED FOR THE PARK OR
- 2 SOMETHING.

3

- 4 MARTHA WELBORNE: I MIGHT SAY TO ADD TO WHAT PAUL SAID, THAT
- 5 EVERY MONTH I SEE THE DRAW-DOWNS OF CASH. THAT WAS ONE OF THE
- 6 TERMS OF THE EXTENSION THAT WAS GRANTED IN JULY. AND ALSO
- 7 THEY'RE MAKING ALL THE PROGRESS MILESTONES SUBMISSIONS ON ALL
- 8 THE DRAWINGS. SO THEY'RE CONTINUING TO WORK. THERE'S REALLY NO
- 9 DELAY. IT'S JUST COMPLETING THE DRAWINGS AS A MATTER OF
- 10 GETTING THE CONSTRUCTION FINANCING WHEN THE TIME IS RIGHT.

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DRAWINGS ON THE BUILDINGS.

13

- 14 MARTHA WELBORNE: ON THE BUILDINGS, YES. WE'RE ALSO WORKING ON
- 15 THE PARK, OF COURSE. THE DRAWINGS ON THE BUILDINGS. THE 50
- 16 PERCENT CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENT SET WAS SUBMITTED TO ME ON
- 17 SEPTEMBER 5TH. THE 80 PERCENT SET, WHICH IS THE FINAL SET THEY
- 18 WILL GO OUT TO CONTRACTORS WITH, IS DUE TO ME ON DECEMBER
- 19 15TH.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHERE ARE YOU ON THE DRAWINGS AND THE PARK
- 22 BY THE WAY?

- 24 MARTHA WELBORNE: SCHEMATIC DESIGN WAS JUST SUBMITTED LAST
- 25 FRIDAY. WE ARE NOW UNDERTAKING A COST ESTIMATING EXERCISE



- 1 BEFORE WE COME BACK. THOSE SCHEMATIC DRAWINGS, ONCE THEY HAVE
- 2 BEEN-- I GUESS THEY WILL GO TO BE VALUE ENGINEERED, I DON'T
- 3 KNOW FOR SURE-- ONCE THEY'RE FINALIZED, WE WILL COME BACK TO
- 4 YOU FOR APPROVAL, I EXPECT THAT TO BE JANUARY/FEBRUARY.

5

6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND THEY COME TO US FOR DISCUSSION?

7

- 8 MARTHA WELBORNE: FOR SCHEMATIC DESIGN APPROVAL, BELIEVE IT OR
- 9 NOT.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN YOU BRIEF US ALONG THE WAY, IF THAT'S
- 12 POSSIBLE, ON WHERE YOU ARE ON THAT?

13

- 14 MARTHA WELBORNE: SURE. YEAH, WE DID HAVE A LOT OF THE DEPUTIES
- 15 OUT TO SEE THE--

16

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WAS MY DEPUTY THERE?

18

19 MARTHA WELBORNE: YES.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND SHE DIDN'T TELL ME ABOUT IT. THAT'S
- 22 SHOCKING. [LAUGHTER.] ALL RIGHT.

23

24 MARTHA WELBORNE: THEY LIKED WHAT THEY SAW.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, IN THAT CASE, I NEED TO REALLY TAKE A
- 2 PERSONAL LOOK AT IT. I APPRECIATE IT. THANK YOU FOR THAT
- 3 EXPLANATION.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ARE THERE OTHER QUESTIONS? YES, SUPERVISOR
- 6 ANTONOVICH.

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: (OFF MIC.) -- FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THESE
- 9 THREE INSURANCE COMPANIES AND THEIR ABILITY TO BE WELL
- 10 CAPITALIZED?

11

- 12 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE'RE FAMILIAR WITH THE ASSETS OF THESE
- 13 COMPANIES. I WANTED TO MAKE THAT POINT EARLIER WHEN SUPERVISOR
- 14 YAROSLAVSKY HAD HIS QUESTIONS. THESE INDIVIDUALS, THESE THREE
- 15 COMPANIES DESPITE I'LL CHARACTERIZE AS THE ECONOMIC PROBLEMS
- 16 ON A GLOBAL BASIS, WE'VE DETERMINED THEY HAVE SIGNIFICANT
- 17 ASSETS AND THEY'RE STILL FULLY CAPABLE OF ASSUMING THIS
- 18 PARTNERSHIP ROLE. THIS IS SOMETHING THAT HAS BEEN FULLY VETTED
- 19 BY STAFF, HAS THE PROPER DUE DILIGENCE, HAS BEEN DONE. EVEN
- 20 WHAT'S HAPPENED IN THE PAST FEW WEEKS, WE STILL FEEL THEY HAVE
- 21 MORE THAN THE ADEQUATE CAPITALIZATION OR FINANCES TO BE A
- 22 VIABLE PARTNER IN THIS PROJECT.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: DO YOU EXPECT ANY ADDITIONAL CHANGES IN
- 25 OWNERSHIP IN THE FORESEEABLE FUTURE?



1

- 2 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I'M NOT AWARE OF ANY FURTHER CHANGES. I THINK
- 3 THAT QUESTION SHOULD BE POSED TO BILL HERE, IF HE KNOWS OF
- 4 ANY.

5

6 BILL WITTE: SUPERVISOR, NOT AT THE MOMENT WE DO NOT.

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE CHANGES TO THE PROJECT THAT WE'VE BEEN
- 9 EXPERIENCING, ARE THEY APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OR
- 10 BY THE GRAND AVENUE COMMITTEE?

11

- 12 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YOU'D HAVE TO HELP ME WITH THAT. WHEN YOU SAY
- 13 CHANGES?

14

15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: TO THE SCHEDULE.

16

- 17 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IN TERMS OF THE SCHEDULE. RIGHT NOW IT FALLS
- 18 UNDER THE PURVIEW OF THE J.P.A., RIGHT?

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT ABOUT SIGNIFICANT CHANGES IN OWNERSHIP?
- 21 ARE THEY APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OR BY THE GRAND
- 22 AVENUE?

23

24 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WELL TODAY-- WHAT?



- 1 MARTHA WELBORNE: I'M SPEAKING FOR THE LAWYER HERE, BUT
- 2 ANYTHING OVER 25 PERCENT CHANGE COMES BACK TO ALL BOARDS.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IF BOTH OWNERSHIP AND SCHEDULE CHANGES ARE
- 5 EQUALLY IMPORTANT, THEN WHY IS ONE APPROVED BY THE COMMITTEE
- 6 AND THE OTHER HAS TO BE APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS?

7

- 8 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IT'S PROBABLY CONSISTENT WITH HOW THE J.P.A.
- 9 WAS STRUCTURED. I'D HAVE TO HAVE OUR ATTORNEY SPEAK TO THAT,
- 10 BUT IT'S BEEN MY PAST EXPERIENCE THAT THE POWERS AND
- 11 RESPONSIBILITY IS GIVEN TO J.P.A. ARE ESTABLISHED UP FRONT. IT
- 12 PROBABLY SPEAKS TO THE SCHEDULE BUT NOT THE OWNERSHIP ISSUE.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IF THIS ACTION IS APPROVED TODAY, IT'S TRUE
- 15 THAT RELATED MUST OBTAIN \$800 MILLION CONSTRUCTION LOAN.

16

17 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IS THAT THE DOLLAR AMOUNT?

18

19 BILL WITTE: APPROXIMATELY, YES.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: APPROXIMATELY 800. AND ARE YOU AWARE OF MANY
- 22 \$800 MILLION APPROXIMATELY CONSTRUCTION LOANS BEING RENDERED
- 23 AT THIS TIME WITHOUT BAILOUT MONEY?



- 1 BILL WITTE: NOT AT THIS TIME. BUT I CAN TELL YOU THAT WHEN WE
- 2 FINANCED THE TIME WARNER CENTER PROJECT IN NEW YORK SEVEN OR
- 3 EIGHT YEARS AGO, THAT WAS A BILLION TWO CONSTRUCTION LOAN. I
- 4 SAY THAT NOT BECAUSE -- IT'S OBVIOUSLY A DIFFERENT TIME, BUT
- 5 WE'VE DONE THIS BEFORE. OBVIOUSLY THIS IS A DIFFERENT TIME.
- 6 AND AS WE SIT HERE TODAY, YOU KNOW, THE DEBT MARKETS ARE STILL
- 7 VOLATILE.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: RIGHT. AND RIGHT NOW IF EVERYTHING GOES
- 10 FORWARD, YOU'RE EXPECTING GROUNDBREAKING, WHAT, FEBRUARY 15TH
- 11 OF 2009?

12

- 13 BILL WITTE: WELL THAT'S THE CURRENT SCHEDULE. AS MARTHA
- 14 INDICATED. BECAUSE OF THE UNCERTAINTY IN THE DEBT MARKETS, WE
- 15 ARE OPERATING UNDER A DISCRETIONARY EXTENSION THAT WE CAN
- 16 PURCHASE, IF YOU WILL, AT \$250,000 PER MONTH UP TO FEBRUARY OF
- 17 2011.

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO AFTER 2011, YOU WOULD NO LONGER BE ABLE TO
- 20 PAY THE \$250,000 MONTHLY PENALTY FOR NOT BEGINNING THE
- 21 PROJECT?

22

- 23 BILL WITTE: WELL UNDER THE CURRENT SCHEDULE, THAT IS CORRECT,
- 24 UNLESS IT WERE RE-NEGOTIATED.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND WOULD THERE BE ANY EMPTY HOLES IN THE
- 2 GROUND, UNFINISHED CONSTRUCTION THAT MAY HAVE TAKEN PLACE
- 3 WHICH WOULD LEAVE THE COUNTY WITH THE HOLE IN THE GROUND?

4

- 5 BILL WITTE: NO, AND THE REASON IS PER THE DISPOSITION AND
- 6 DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT, START OF CONSTRUCTION REQUIRES NOT ONLY
- 7 CLOSING OF A FULL CONSTRUCTION LOAN BUT A CORPORATE GUARANTEE
- 8 FROM US TO COMPLETE THE IMPROVEMENTS.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ON THE MANY OCCASIONS THAT THE C.E.O. HAS
- 11 RECOMMENDED GRANTING EXTENSIONS TO THE DEVELOPER, IS THERE A
- 12 POINT AT WHICH WE SHOULD SIMPLY PULL THE PLUG? OR ARE YOU
- 13 LOOKING AT 2011 AS THAT PLUG DATE?

14

15 BILL WITTE: WELL, IF YOU'RE ASKING ME, I'D SAY NO. [LAUGHTER.]

16

17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME ASK MR. FUJIOKA.

- 19 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THIS IS A VERY DIFFICULT PERIOD IN THE REAL
- 20 ESTATE AND WITH THAT THE CONSTRUCTION MARKET. WE HAVE BEEN
- 21 WORKING VERY CLOSELY THROUGH THE J.P.A. WITH NOT ONLY THIS
- 22 COMPANY BUT OUR OTHER PARTNERS IN THIS EFFORT. I WOULD SUGGEST
- 23 THAT WE MOVE FORWARD RIGHT NOW. WE APPROVE THE CHANGE IN
- 24 OWNERSHIP. THAT YOU REQUIRE US TO COME BACK ON A PERIODIC
- 25 BASIS AND GIVE YOU AN UPDATE. AND IF THERE IS A TIME, WE WILL



- 1 COLLECTIVELY KNOW WHEN THAT RIGHT TIME IS FOR US TO MAKE A
- 2 DECISION LIKE THAT. TODAY IS NOT A TIME TO MAKE A DECISION NOR
- 3 TO EVEN PROJECT THAT THIS IS GOING TO FAIL. IT STILL REMAINS A
- 4 VERY VIABLE PROJECT.

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: MR. ANTONOVICH, IF I COULD JUST ADD TO AND SAY AS
- 7 THE J.P.A., WE'VE ALL BEEN CONCERNED AND ASKED A LOT OF
- 8 OUESTIONS IN THAT REGARD BECAUSE IT'S SUCH A HUGE PROJECT AND
- 9 VERY IMPORTANT PROJECT. BUT I MUST SAY THAT I HAVE AN AWFUL
- 10 LOT OF CONFIDENCE IN THE RESPONSES THAT RELATED HAS PUT FORTH,
- 11 THE ASSURANCES THEY CONTINUE TO PROVIDE. THE GRAND AVENUE
- 12 COMMITTEE ALSO IS THOROUGHLY VETTING A LOT OF THESE ISSUES AND
- 13 REVIEWING THEM NOT ONLY THROUGH OUR LEGAL PROCESS BUT ALSO A
- 14 FINANCIAL ONE. AND THEN WE HAVE MR. VOLPERT WHO GOES THROUGH
- 15 OUR THINGS, AS WELL. SO IT IS GETTING A LOT OF VETTING THAT IT
- 16 GOES THROUGH BEFORE IT COMES THROUGH ANY OF THESE CHANGES. AND
- 17 I MUST SAY EVERY SINGLE TIME WE'VE ASKED RELATED TO STEP UP
- 18 AND PROVIDE US ASSURANCES, NOT ONLY THE \$50 MILLION FOR THE
- 19 PARK THAT IS WORKING AND FUNCTIONING RIGHT NOW, WE ARE
- 20 SPENDING THAT MONEY, BUT CERTAINLY ANY TIME THAT THERE HAS
- 21 BEEN ANY ISSUE OF ASSURANCES THAT WE NEED, INCLUDING NOT
- 22 LITIGATING FOR THAT MONEY, THINGS OF THAT SORT, RELATED HAS
- 23 STEPPED UP. IN THESE KIND OF ECONOMIC TIMES, IT AMAZING TO
- 24 HAVE THIS KIND OF A DEVELOPER WILLING TO STAND BY US ON THIS
- 25 KIND OF PROJECT. AND THERE'S NO DOUBT NOBODY CAN TELL WHAT



- 1 WILL HAPPEN IN THE NEXT THREE TO SIX MONTHS. BUT IF NOTHING
- 2 ELSE, WE HAVE ASSURANCES AND WE HAVE A DEVELOPER THAT'S BEEN
- 3 WORKING WITH US AND I THINK TO FIND AN EQUITY PARTNER IN THESE
- 4 KINDS OF TIMES IS PRETTY IMPRESSIVE. BUT I DO WANT YOU TO KNOW
- 5 THAT BEFORE IT COMES HERE, IT IS VETTED THROUGH A VERY
- 6 EXTENSIVE PROCESS, INCLUDING OUR OWN COMMITTEE.

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I'D JUST LIKE TO ASK ONE QUESTION. IS THERE
- 9 AN ONGOING ADVICE TO THE JOINT POWER AUTHORITY OF THE
- 10 PERCENTAGE OF OWNERSHIP OF EACH ENTITY? ARE THOSE PERCENTAGES
- 11 MADE AVAILABLE ON AN ONGOING BASIS? I'M NOT GOING TO ASK FOR
- 12 IT RIGHT HERE, BUT ARE THOSE MADE AVAILABLE? SO YOU KNOW
- 13 EXACTLY WHAT THE PERCENTAGES ARE AND HOW THEY'RE CHANGING?

14

15 MARTHA WELBORNE: YEAH, AT ANY GIVEN TIME, YEAH.

16

17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WHAT PERCENTAGE ARE THEY TAKING?

18

19 MARTHA WELBORNE: I'M SORRY?

20

21 **SUP. MOLINA: WHAT PERCENTAGE?**

22

- 23 MARTHA WELBORNE: WELL IT'S 45, 45, DO YOU WANT TO GO THROUGH
- 24 THAT?

9

11

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 PAUL RUTTER: SUPERVISOR, IF YOU'D LIKE TO, I COULD EXPLAIN THE
- 2 RELATIONSHIP. IF YOU REMEMBER ISTOMAR, WHICH IS THE OTHER
- 3 INVESTOR THAT CAME IN BEFORE CAME IN WITH A 45 PERCENT OF THE
- 4 CAPITAL AND 35 PERCENT OF THE PROFITS. HANUA, THE GROUP OF
- 5 THREE INSURANCE COMPANIES IS LIKEWISE COMING IN WITH 45
- 6 PERCENT OF THE CAPITAL AND 35 PERCENT OF THE PROFITS. SO THAT
- 7 RELATED WILL END UP WITH 10 PERCENT OF THE CAPITAL AND 30
- 8 PERCENT OF THE PROFITS. THAT'S THE REVISED STRUCTURE.

10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I SEE. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY IS A FIVE-MEMBER

- 13 BODY BUT ONLY TWO MEMBERS ARE ELECTED OFFICIALS. AND I HAVE A
- 14 CONCERN THAT THIS \$200 MILLION PRIME REAL ESTATE WHICH IS
- 15 OWNED BY THE TAXPAYERS, 80 PERCENT, 85 PERCENT OWNED BY THE
- 16 COUNTY, 10, 15 PERCENT OWNED BY THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, YOU
- 17 HAVE A MAJORITY OF THE JOINT POWER AUTHORITY WHO ARE NON-
- 18 ELECTED OFFICIALS. THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND THE CITY
- 19 COUNCIL ACTUALLY HANDED THE DECISION MAKING AUTHORITY TO NON-
- 20 ELECTED MAJORITY OF A NON-ELECTED PEOPLE. SO MY FIRST CONCERN,
- 21 WHICH I RAISED AT THE BEGINNING, IS THIS WAS NOT A COMPETITIVE
- 22 BID PROJECT. THIS WAS BASICALLY A SINGLE SOURCE PROPOSAL THAT
- 23 WAS ADOPTED WITHOUT SERIOUS CONSIDERATION OF OTHER PROPOSALS
- 24 THAT WERE COMING IN THAT WERE, SOME FELT, WERE ECONOMICALLY
- 25 FEASIBLE. AND THEN IS IT PRUDENT TO CONSTRUCT A VERY EXPENSIVE



- 1 AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN HIGH-RISE TOWERS? EVERY DEVELOPER AND
- 2 REAL ESTATE PERSON I'VE TALKED WITH SAID IT MAKES THE LEAST
- 3 SENSE TO BUILD AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN HIGH-RISE TOWERS, WHICH
- 4 IS ESSENTIALLY HIGHER CONSTRUCTION COSTS THAN IN LOW RISE OR
- 5 MID RANGE CONSTRUCTION. AND THEN THE IDEA OF BUILDING LUXURY
- 6 CONDOS IN A MARKET WHERE OTHER RESIDENTIAL PROJECTS DOWNTOWN
- 7 ARE STALLED OR WHERE SALES ARE VERY SLOW IN THOSE COMPLETED
- 8 PROJECTS. AND THE CONCERN OF SPENDING MORE THAN 20 PERCENT OF
- 9 THE PREPAID RENT TO REBUILD PARKING RAMPS FOR THE PARKING
- 10 GARAGE. PARKING RAMPS, 20 PERCENT. \$2 MILLION FOR THAT. AND SO
- 11 HOW LONG IS IT BEFORE WE ACTUALLY SAY NO MORE PUBLIC MONEY,
- 12 WHICH PUBLIC MONEY HAS NOW BEEN USED? AT ONE TIME WE WERE TOLD
- 13 THERE WOULD BE NO PUBLIC MONEY USED. NOW WE HAVE USED PUBLIC
- 14 MONEY. THERE IS NOT A REQUEST FOR MORE IN THIS PROPOSAL. BUT
- 15 NOT TO SAY THAT THERE WON'T BE IN THE FUTURE. SO THOSE WERE
- 16 THE RESERVATIONS I HAD FOR THE PROJECT. AND I STILL HAVE THOSE
- 17 RESERVATIONS. SO I WOULD NOT SUPPORT THAT, ALTHOUGH I HAVE NO
- 18 BIAS AGAINST THE THREE COMPANIES THAT ARE GOING TO NOW GET
- 19 INVOLVED WITH FINANCING THIS PROJECT. I WISH THEM WELL THAT
- 20 THEY DON'T BECOME AN A.I.G.

21

- 22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THIS ITEM IS MOVED BY MOLINA, SECONDED BY
- 23 YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. AND. I'M SORRY,
- 24 THERE WAS ONE PERSON, MR. SACHS. PLEASE COME UP.



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MADAME CHAIR, I WAS VOTING AGAINST IT.

2

- 3 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES. WE HAVE MR. SACHS TO COME UP AND SPEAK
- 4 ON IT. AND THEN HE'S GOING TO SPEAK ON ALL THE REST OF HIS
- 5 ITEMS.

6

7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.

8

- 9 ARNOLD SACHS: SORRY, GOOD MORNING, COUNTY BOARD OF
- 10 SUPERVISORS. I WAS JUST CONSIDERING THAT I CAME BEFORE YOU A
- 11 COUPLE WEEKS AGO REGARDING THIS PROJECT. WILL THE PENALTIES
- 12 FOR MEETING THE THRESHOLDS FINALLY BE ENFORCED AGAINST RELATED
- 13 WHEN THEY FAIL TO MEET THE START DATES FOR THEIR CONSTRUCTION
- 14 THAT WERE SUPPOSEDLY PUT INTO THE ORIGINAL PROJECT? THEY HAD
- 15 THRESHOLDS THEY WERE SUPPOSED TO MEET FOR GROUNDBREAKING AND
- 16 MOVING THE PROJECT ALONG.

17

18 SUP. MOLINA: WHAT WERE THE PENALTIES?

19

- 20 ARNOLD SACHS: MA'AM? WELL, WHY WERE THEY PUT IN THE CONTRACT
- 21 IF THERE WEREN'T ANY PENALTIES?

- 23 SUP. MOLINA: BECAUSE WE HAVE ADJUSTED EACH TIME. WHAT WE HAVE
- 24 NOW IS WE HAVE BEEN WAITING FOR THE CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS TO
- 25 BE FINALIZED, WHICH ARE GOING TO BE FINALIZED. AND THEN



- 1 THEY'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO PUT IT OUT FOR BID. AND THAT IS
- 2 ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE'RE WAITING FOR AS WELL AS THE
- 3 CONSTRUCTION LOAN. NOT BID-- FOR THE CONSTRUCTION LOAN, WHICH
- 4 IS SCHEDULED FOR--

5

6 ARNOLD SACHS: SO ARE THERE PENALTIES MEETING THRESHOLDS?

7

8 SUP. MOLINA: THERE'LL BE PENALTIES FOR THAT PHASE OF IT, YES.

9

10 ARNOLD SACHS: WHO WILL ENFORCE THE PENALTIES?

11

12 SUP. MOLINA: THE J.P.A.

13

14 ARNOLD SACHS: THANK YOU.

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY MOLINA. STAY RIGHT THERE. SECONDED
- 17 BY YAROSLAVSKY. WE'LL RECORD SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH AS NO.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MADAME CHAIR, IF I CAN ASK YOU TO TAKE 8,
- 20 10, 23, 24, 29 AND 40 BECAUSE MR. SACHS--

21

22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MR. SACHS, DO YOU WANT TO START WITH 8?

- 24 ARNOLD SACHS: SURE. NUMBER 8, YOU'RE LOOKING AT COURTHOUSE
- 25 FEES. I'M JUST CURIOUS TO KNOW IF THAT WOULD HAVE ANY-- OR THE



- 1 RECENT RULING BY THE STATE SUPREME COURT, I BELIEVE? REGARDING
- 2 THE EXTRA--

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: COURT OF APPEALS.

5

- 6 ARNOLD SACHS: THANK YOU. REGARDING THE EXTRA COMPENSATION THAT
- 7 THE JUDGES WERE RECEIVING, WILL THAT EXTRA COMPENSATION PLAY
- 8 INTO THIS FACT? ARE YOU GOING TO APPEAL THE COURT'S DECISION?
- 9 JUST TO FIND OUT WHAT THE STANDING IS REGARDING THE EXTRA
- 10 COMPENSATION AND WHERE THAT FUNDING WILL OR WILL NOT GO.

11

12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THIS IS CONSTRUCTION.

13

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: APPLE AND ORANGES.

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THIS RELATES TO THE COURT ITSELF, NOT THE
- 17 JUDGES.

18

19 ARNOLD SACHS: I UNDERSTAND, BUT IT'S FUNDING.

20

21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: BUT IT'S FOR SOMETHING DIFFERENT.

22

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE STATE TAKING OVER THE COURTHOUSES.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. MOVED BY ANTONOVICH. SECONDED BY
- 2 YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. YOUR NEXT ITEM IS
- 3 WHAT?

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: 10.

6

- 7 ARNOLD SACHS: ITEM 10. REGARDS THE LEASE FOR-- WITH
- 8 COMMONWEALTH ENTERPRISES FOR BUILDING SPACE FOR THE DEPARTMENT
- 9 OF MENTAL HEALTH. SEVERAL WEEKS AGO YOU ALSO HAD ON YOUR
- 10 AGENDA-- AND THIS LEASE IS BROKEN DOWN SHOWING HOW THE COSTS
- 11 WOULD BE PAID 37 PERCENT FEDERAL, 48 PERCENT STATE, 15 PERCENT
- 12 NET COUNTY COST. YOU HAD A LEASE WITH-- DOWNTOWN AGENCY.
- 13 EXCUSE ME. I'M HAVING A BRAIN CRAMP I'LL PASS ON THIS BECAUSE
- 14 I CAN'T REMEMBER THE INFORMATION.

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH. SECONDED BY
- 17 YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YOU BLEW IT, ARNOLD.

20

21 ARNOLD SACHS: YOU'RE RIGHT. I DID. I RAN IN HERE, SORRY.

22

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ITEM 23.

24

25 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ITEM 23, YOUR NEXT ITEM.



1

- 2 ARNOLD SACHS: ITEM 23 INVOLVES -- ACTUALLY I WAS LOOKING TO GET
- 3 A CLARIFICATION HERE. YOU'RE AUTHORIZING CONSULTANT SERVICES
- 4 TO CALIFORNIA MENTAL HEALTH DIRECTORS' ASSOCIATION, INCREASING
- 5 A COMPENSATION TO \$212,000. IN ITEM 24, YOU'RE APPROVING
- 6 AUTHORIZATION FOR CONSULTANT SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH
- 7 CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR MENTAL HEALTH. AND ARE THEY SEPARATE
- 8 ENTITIES? ARE THEY THE SAME ENTITY? AND IF THEY'RE SEPARATE
- 9 ENTITIES, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR MENTAL HEALTH AND
- 10 CALIFORNIA MENTAL HEALTH DIRECTORS' ASSOCIATION, IS ONE A FOR
- 11 PROFIT AND ONE A NONPROFIT? AND THE TOTAL GOING OUT LOOKS LIKE
- 12 ABOUT \$700,000. IS THAT CORRECT?

13

14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ON 23?.

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MOVE IT.

17

- 18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED BY MOLINA.
- 19 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ON 24.

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: 24 IS MOVED BY ANTONOVICH. SECONDED BY
- 24 MOLINA. WITHOUT OBJECTION. SO ORDERED. THEY ARE BOTH NONPROFIT
- 25 ORGANIZATIONS.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: 29 AND 40. 2 3 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: 29? 4 5 ARNOLD SACHS: OH YES, 29, FUNDING AGAIN. ANOTHER FUNDING 6 7 OPERATION. ACCORDING TO THE AGENDA ITEM, YOU'RE ADDING --8 YOU'RE HAVING SIGNED AGREEMENTS WITH APPLE ONE, HELPMATES AND 9

LADERA CAREER PATHS INCORPORATED, FOR AN ANNUAL COST OF

\$400,000 FOR PROVISION OF TEMPORARY PERSONAL SERVICES FROM THE 10

11 PERIOD OF JANUARY 1ST, 2008 THROUGH DECEMBER 31ST, 2008. BUT

PRIOR TO THAT, YOU'RE RATIFYING RETROACTIVE PAYMENTS BY THE 12

PUBLIC LIBRARY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$32,500 TO APPLE ONE, 13

HELPMATES STAFFING SERVICES FOR THE PERIOD OF JANUARY 1ST TO 14

JUNE 30TH, 2008. IT'S ACTUALLY A TOTAL OF \$73,500. SO IS THAT 15

16 \$73,500 IN ADDITION TO THE \$400,000 THAT THEY'RE GET FOR THE

WHOLE YEAR? OR IS THAT \$73,000 COMING OUT OF THE \$400,000 THAT 17

18 THEY'RE GETTING FOR THE WHOLE YEAR? BECAUSE YOU'RE COVERING

19 THE SAME PERIOD TWICE, MORE OR LESS.

21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IT SAYS ONE YEAR.

23 ARNOLD SACHS: EXCUSE ME?

24

20



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IT INDICATES THAT IT'S FOR A YEAR THE
- 2 AMOUNT WE'RE APPROVING. AND WE'RE ALLOCATING PART OF THAT.

3

- 4 ARNOLD SACHS: YOU'RE APPROVING AMOUNT FOR ONE YEAR, BUT YOU'RE
- 5 ALSO APPROVING AMOUNTS FOR SIX MONTHS.

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE'LL HAVE SOMEONE GO OVER IT WITH YOU.
- 8 MOVED BY MOLINA. SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION,
- 9 SO ORDERED. ITEM 40.

10

- 11 ARNOLD SACHS: ITEM 40, SINCE YOU'RE APPROVING ADVISORY SIGNAGE
- 12 AND IT'S EXEMPT FROM THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT
- 13 AND I'VE COME BEFORE YOU ON THIS ITEM REGARDING ADVISORY
- 14 SIGNAGE FOR DISABLED PERSONS AND LACK OF SIGNAGE AT VENUES
- 15 THAT HAVE MORE THAN ONE OR TWO ENTRANCES, SO THAT DISABLED
- 16 PEOPLE HAVE A PLACE THAT THEY CAN GO TO BE EASILY LOCATED FOR
- 17 ACCESS SERVICES, HAS OR WILL OR WHEN OR IF, WILL THE COUNTY
- 18 EVER CONSIDER ANY KIND OF ADVISORY SIGNAGE FOR THOSE TYPE OF
- 19 VENUES THAT HAVE MULTIPLE ENTRANCES AND EXITS TO ASSIST THE
- 20 DISABLED IN HAVING AN EASIER PICK UP WHEN THEY REQUIRE ACCESS
- 21 SERVICES?

22

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE'LL SEE. MOVED BY YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED
- 24 BY ANTONOVICH. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.



SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MADAME CHAIR? 2 3 **SPEAKER:** [INAUDIBLE] 4 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YESTERDAY SUPERVISOR AND I WERE AT THE DISABILITY COMMISSION LUNCHEON HONORING THE PROVIDERS WHO ARE 6 7 HELPING MAKE CHANGES IN THE SCHEDULE TO PICK UP PEOPLE IN 8 WHEELCHAIRS. AND THEY WENT FROM LIKE 30 TO 5,000, 6,000, A 9 PHENOMENAL NUMBER. SO THEY ARE MAKING CHANGES AND WORKING. 10 11 ARNOLD SACHS: I KNOW THAT PEOPLE HAVE COME BEFORE THE COUNTY DISABLED ADVOCATES. AND EVERY TIME I SEE IT, I BRING IT UP, 12 THAT'S ALL. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME, YOUR ANSWERS AND YOUR 13 14 ATTENTION. 15 16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. 17 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MADAME CHAIR? 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: DID WE APPROVE THAT ITEM? 20 21 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES, WE DID. 23

25

24

SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I KNOW THAT JUDGE NASH IS HERE. BEFORE WE
- 2 TAKE THAT ITEM UP, WHICH WILL BE NEXT ON 16, I DID WANT TO ASK
- 3 THE REGISTRAR-RECORDER TO COME FORWARD. FOR A SECOND. MR.
- 4 LOGAN, I KNOW YOU'RE AWARE BECAUSE WE HAVE CORRESPONDED AND MY
- 5 STAFF HAS CORRESPONDED ON THE ISSUE OF THIS ORGANIZATION
- 6 CALLED YOUNG POLITICAL MAJORS, WHICH HAS BEEN IN THE NEWS
- 7 LATELY RELATING TO ALLEGED FRAUDULENT RE-REGISTRATION OF
- 8 PEOPLE WITHOUT THEIR KNOWLEDGE FROM WHATEVER PARTY THEY'RE A
- 9 MEMBER OF TO REPUBLICAN. WE HAVE HAD SOME COMPLAINTS ABOUT
- 10 THIS, ONE SPECIFIC COMPLAINT WHICH WE WERE ABLE TO DOCUMENT WE
- 11 SHARED WITH YOU AND YOU REFERRED IT TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S
- 12 OFFICE. AND I EXPECT THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY WILL DO THE DUE
- 13 DILIGENCE THAT'S REQUIRED. BUT YOUNG POLITICAL MAJORS,
- 14 OTHERWISE KNOWN AS Y.P.M. HAS BEEN VERY ACTIVE IN THE SOUTHERN
- 15 CALIFORNIA AREA. THEIR LEADER, ONE OF THEIR LEADERS, HAS BEEN
- 16 ARRESTED IN SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY. THE SECRETARY OF STATE OF
- 17 THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA HAS CONDUCTED AN INVESTIGATION.
- 18 THERE'S ACTIVITY IN ORANGE COUNTY, I BELIEVE IN RIVERSIDE
- 19 COUNTY, I'M NOT 100 PERCENT SURE. AND IT'S IN THE THOUSANDS OF
- 20 FRAUDULENT CONVERSIONS OF REGISTRATIONS, PARTY REGISTRATIONS.
- 21 SO ESSENTIALLY WHAT'S HAPPENED IS SOMEBODY SHOWED UP IN JUNE
- 22 OR IN FEBRUARY TO AN ELECTION THINKING THAT THEY WERE A
- 23 DEMOCRAT OR AN AMERICAN INDEPENDENT OR A PIECE OF FREEDOM OR
- 24 WHATEVER, AND CAME TO VOTE IN THAT PARTY'S PRIMARY AND WAS
- 25 TOLD BY OUR POLL WATCHERS THAT THEY WERE NOT OF THAT PARTY AND



- 1 THAT THEY WERE REGISTERED REPUBLICAN. AND IT WAS NEWS TO THEM.
- 2 THIS WON'T HAVE AN IMPACT ON THE GENERAL ELECTION IN TWO WEEKS
- 3 BECAUSE EVERYBODY VOTES ON THE SAME BALLOT.

4

5 **DEAN LOGAN:** RIGHT.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT IT WILL HAVE AN IMPACT AT THE NEXT
- 8 ELECTION. AND IT'S ALSO IMPORTANT, FROM MY POINT OF VIEW, THAT
- 9 WE GET TO THE BOTTOM OF THIS Y.P.M. ORGANIZATION TO DETERMINE
- 10 WHETHER THERE IS A PATTERN OF FRAUDULENT REGISTRATION, WHICH I
- 11 SUSPECT THERE IS HERE IN THIS COUNTY, AND THEN GET MORE THAN
- 12 ONE OR A HANDFUL OF THOSE EXAMPLES TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY OR
- 13 TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, TO THE SECRETARY OF STATE, WHEREVER
- 14 WE HAVE TO GET IT TO GET A PROSECUTION. SO, NUMBER ONE, I'D
- 15 LIKE TO ASK YOU BRIEFLY, BECAUSE WE HAVE A LONG MEETING, WHAT
- 16 IT IS YOU KNOW ABOUT THIS AT THIS POINT BOTH IN L.A. COUNTY
- 17 AND BEYOND. AND THEN I HAVE ONE FOLLOW UP QUESTION.

- 19 DEAN LOGAN: OKAY. SUPERVISOR, YOU'RE CORRECT THAT OUR FIRST
- 20 AWARENESS OF THIS CAME DURING THE PRIMARY ELECTION CYCLE WHERE
- 21 ONE OF YOUR CONSTITUENTS CONTACTED YOUR OFFICE AND THEN OUR
- 22 OFFICE TO REPORT THE SCENARIO THAT YOU JUST DESCRIBED IN TERMS
- 23 OF HER REGISTRATION BEING CHANGED FROM-- SHE WAS ORIGINALLY
- 24 REGISTERED AS A DEMOCRAT AND THE REGISTRATION WAS CHANGED TO
- 25 REPUBLICAN. WE AS A ROUTINE IF WE GET CONCERNS OF THAT NATURE,



- 1 WE SEND OUT TO THE VOTER A COPY OF THE REGISTRATION RECORD
- 2 THAT INITIATED THAT CHANGE AND HAVE THEM REPORT BACK TO US IF
- 3 IN FACT THEY INITIATED THAT CHANGE. IN THIS CASE SHE WROTE
- 4 BACK TO US AND SAID NO, THAT SHE DID NOT BELIEVE THAT SHE HAD
- 5 FILLED OUT THAT FORM. WE REVERSED THAT CHANGE AND PUT HER BACK
- 6 TO THE OTHER STATUS. WHAT WE DID DO, BECAUSE WE KNEW THAT THAT
- 7 REGISTRATION HAD BEEN ISSUED ON A FORM THAT WAS THROUGH THE
- 8 YOUNG POLITICAL MAJOR ORGANIZATION, WE WERE ABLE TO TRACK
- 9 THAT. SO THEN WE DID AN INVENTORY OF ALL FORMS THAT HAD COME
- 10 IN IN CALENDAR YEAR 2008 FOR EXISTING REGISTRATIONS TO
- 11 INITIATE A PARTY CHANGE FROM THAT GROUP TO LOOK AT THOSE. OF
- 12 THAT GROUP, THERE WERE ABOUT 85 VOTERS WHO WERE PREVIOUSLY
- 13 REGISTERED IN A PARTY OTHER THAN REPUBLICAN WHO WERE SWITCHED
- 14 TO REPUBLICAN. AND WE HAVE MAILED VERIFICATION LETTERS TO ALL
- 15 OF THOSE VOTERS TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THE VOTER
- 16 THEMSELVES HAD INITIATED THAT CHANGE. IN THE MEANTIME, WE'VE
- 17 LEARNED OF THE MORE EXTENSIVE INVESTIGATION BEING CONDUCTED BY
- 18 THE SECRETARY OF STATE. AS A RESULT, I THINK THAT A BULK OF
- 19 THAT ACTIVITY WAS TAKING PLACE IN SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY.
- 20 THERE'S BEEN REPORTS IN ORANGE COUNTY AND I THINK YOU'RE
- 21 CORRECT, IN RIVERSIDE COUNTY, AS WELL. SO WE PREPARED ALL
- 22 INFORMATION THAT WE HAVE AVAILABLE AND WE PRESENTED THAT TO
- 23 THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY AS WELL AS TO THE SECRETARY OF STATE'S
- 24 INVESTIGATIVE OFFICER. SO THEY DO HAVE THAT. AND AS YOU
- 25 INDICATED, THE LEADER OF THAT ORGANIZATION, THERE WAS A



- 1 WARRANT OUT FOR HIS ARREST AND HE WAS ARRESTED EARLIER THIS
- 2 WEEK. ALTHOUGH HE WAS ARRESTED BASED ON CHARGES ABOUT
- 3 REGISTERING HIMSELF MULTIPLE TIMES, NOT SO MUCH FOR THIS
- 4 PARTICULAR ALLEGATION. IN THE MEANTIME, WE'VE JUST RECENTLY,
- 5 JUST THIS MONTH, RECEIVED ANOTHER SET OF REGISTRATIONS FROM
- 6 THIS ORGANIZATION THAT ARE ALSO A LITTLE BIT UNUSUAL IN TERMS
- 7 OF THEY APPEAR TO BE NEW REGISTRATIONS. BUT IN ADDITION TO THE
- 8 PROSPECTIVE VOTERS MARKING THEIR PARTY SELECTION, THE VOTERS
- 9 HAVE IN INITIALED NEXT TO THEIR PARTY DESIGNATION. I DON'T
- 10 KNOW IF THAT'S BECAUSE OF THE CONCERN ABOUT THE INVESTIGATION,
- 11 BUT WE'RE LOOKING AT THAT CLOSELY, AND AGAIN, WE'RE LOOKING AT
- 12 THE SECRETARY OF STATE AND THE D.A.'S OFFICE IN THAT REGARD.

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW MANY OF THEM ARE THEY THAT CAME IN? HOW

15 MANY OF THOSE ARE THERE?

13

16

17 **DEAN LOGAN:** WE RECEIVED APPROXIMATELY 4,500 REGISTRATIONS FROM

- 18 THAT ORGANIZATION EARLIER THIS MONTH. AND WE'RE GOING THROUGH
- 19 THOSE NOW. IT APPEARS MORE OF THOSE ARE NEW REGISTRATIONS, NOT
- 20 CHANGES TO REGISTRATIONS. WE DO TAKE THIS VERY SERIOUSLY.
- 21 WE'RE LOOKING AT IT CLOSELY, WORKING WITH IT CLOSELY WORKING
- 22 WITH THE INVESTIGATIVE OFFICES. AS YOU INDICATE WHAT'S
- 23 IMPORTANT FOR VOTERS TO KNOW FOR THE NOVEMBER 4TH ELECTION IS
- 24 THAT THEY'RE FINE BECAUSE EVERYBODY IS GOING TO GET A BALLOT
- 25 WITH ALL THE PARTIES ON IT. BUT WE DO WANT TO LOOK INTO THIS,



- 1 CERTAINLY BEFORE THERE'S ANOTHER PRIMARY. WE FOCUSED ON THOSE
- 2 THAT WERE SIMILAR TO THE ONE CASE THAT WE'RE AWARE OF, WHICH
- 3 IS A CHANGE FROM AN EXISTING PARTY TO REPUBLICAN. WHAT I THINK
- 4 WE MAY WANT TO DO IS EXPAND THAT NOW TO EVEN OF THE NEW
- 5 REGISTRATIONS AND DO A SAMPLING TO CONFIRM WHETHER OR NOT
- 6 THERE WAS SOME CHANGE MADE TO THOSE FORMS AFTER THOSE WERE
- 7 FILLED OUT.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT IF THEY'RE NEW REGISTRATIONS, WHY WOULD
- 10 THERE BE A CHANGE?

11

- 12 **DEAN LOGAN:** THERE WOULDN'T BE A CHANGE, BUT I THINK HAT WE
- 13 WANT TO CONFIRM IS WHETHER OR NOT THE VOTERS' SELECTION OF
- 14 PARTY AFFILIATION IS THE CORRECT AFFILIATION.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I WOULD ALSO SUGGEST THAT ON THESE 4,500,
- 17 YOU ASCERTAIN WHETHER THESE ARE REAL VOTERS OR NOT.

- 19 DEAN LOGAN: WE DO THAT. THAT'S TRUE OF ANY REGISTRATION THAT
- 20 COMES IN. OF COURSE THERE'S NATIONAL ATTENTION ABOUT THAT
- 21 ISSUE WITH ANOTHER ORGANIZATION. BUT IN ORDER FOR A VOTER
- 22 REGISTRATION TO ENTER OUR SYSTEM, WE HAVE TO VALIDATE EITHER
- 23 THEIR CALIFORNIA DRIVERS'S LICENSE NUMBER OR THE LAST FOUR
- 24 DIGITS OF THEIR SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER. SO THERE IS A PROCESS



- 1 BY WHICH WE CHECK TO MAKE SURE THESE ARE NOT FICTITIOUS PEOPLE
- 2 OR DUPLICATE REGISTRATIONS.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO AM I CORRECT, DID I HEAR YOU CORRECTLY
- 5 THAT IN THE PREVIOUS, NOT THE LAST 4,500 YOU GOT EARLIER LAST
- 6 MONTH, BUT IN THE PREVIOUS REGISTRATION CHANGES THAT YOU GOT
- 7 DURING THE CALENDAR YEAR 2008 THAT YOU HAVE CHECKED EVERY
- 8 SINGLE AFFIDAVIT THAT CAME IN OR REGISTRATION FORM THAT CAME
- 9 IN FROM THIS Y.P.M. ORGANIZATION THAT INVOLVED A CHANGE OF
- 10 PARTY? OR WAS THAT A SAMPLE?

11

- 12 **DEAN LOGAN:** NO. WE'VE IDENTIFIED. WE'VE CATEGORIZED THOSE, THE
- 13 ONES THAT WE HAVE SENT CONFIRMATION LETTERS TO ARE THE 85 WHO
- 14 WERE PREVIOUSLY REGISTERED OTHER THAN REPUBLICAN WHO WERE
- 15 CHANGED TO REPUBLICAN.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT CONSTITUTES THE ENTIRE UNIVERSE OF THAT
- 18 KIND OF A CHANGE FROM THAT ORGANIZATION?

19

20 **DEAN LOGAN:** FROM THAT FIRST GROUP, YES.

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: FROM THAT FIRST GROUP?

- 24 **DEAN LOGAN:** FROM THE FIRST GROUP. THAT DOESN'T INCLUDE THOSE
- 25 THA WE RECEIVED THIS MONTH.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I UNDERSTAND. BUT OTHER THAN THE ONE YOU
- 3 RECEIVED THIS MONTH, EVERYTHING ELSE YOU'VE RECEIVED IN 2008
- 4 YOU HAVE CATEGORIZED AND YOU'RE CONFIDENT YOU HAVE ALL OF
- 5 THEM? ALL OF THE ONES THAT CHANGED PARTIES FROM NON REPUBLICAN
- 6 TO REPUBLICAN AND WHICH WERE SUBMITTED BY THIS Y.P.M.
- 7 ORGANIZATION?

8

9 **DEAN LOGAN:** THAT'S CORRECT.

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THERE'S A TOTAL OF 85.

12

- 13 **DEAN LOGAN:** THAT'S RIGHT, AND WE HAVE SENT INFORMATION
- 14 LETTERS. AND IF WE FIND INDICATION IN THE VOTERS' RESPONSE TO
- 15 THAT, THAT THERE WAS PROBLEMS WITH REGISTRATIONS, THEN WE WILL
- 16 PROBABLY EXPAND THAT TO OTHER PARTY CHANGES OR EVEN THE NEW
- 17 REGISTRATIONS. AND AGAIN WE'LL BE WORKING CLOSELY WITH
- 18 SECRETARY OF STATE AND THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. SO THIS IS NOT A SAMPLE. THIS WAS THE
- 21 TOTAL YOU GOT. I WAS LED TO BELIEVE THERE WAS A SAMPLE. THAT'S
- 22 FINE. IF YOU'VE DONE THEM ALL, THEN LET ME READ THIS, I WON'T
- 23 READ THE WHOLE MOTION BECAUSE I THINK IT'S ALL BEEN KIND OF
- 24 THE PREAMBLE HAS BEEN DISCUSSED HERE. BUT, MADAME CHAIR, I
- 25 WOULD LIKE FOR NEXT WEEK TO MOVE THAT THE REGISTRAR-RECORDER



- 1 COUNTY CLERK BE INSTRUCTED TO EXPAND HIS REVIEW OF VOTER
- 2 AFFIDAVITS RETURNED BY THE POLITICAL ORGANIZATION KNOWN AS
- 3 YOUNG POLITICAL MAJORS, SO THAT EVERY VOTER WHO PURPORTEDLY
- 4 CHANGED HIS OR HER PARTY AFFILIATION TO REPUBLICAN BY YOUNG
- 5 POLITICAL MAJORS IS CONTACTED BY THE REGISTRAR-RECORDER STAFF
- 6 TO MAKE CONFIRM THAT THE CHANGE IN PARTY AFFILIATION IS
- 7 CONSISTENT WITH THAT VOTER'S WISHES. IT SOUNDS LIKE YOU'VE
- 8 ALREADY DONE THAT. IF THAT'S THE CASE, YOU CAN REPORT TO THE--
- 9 JUST GIVE US AN UPDATE ON THAT NEXT WEEK.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THAT'S SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA.
- 12 WITHOUT OBJECTION. SO ORDERED.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I HAVE A QUESTION. WITH THE INDICTMENTS OF
- 15 A.C.O.R.N. THAT'S OCCURRING NATIONALLY, WHAT HAS BEEN THE
- 16 ACTIONS IN YOUR OFFICE RELATIVE TO REGISTRATIONS BY
- 17 A.C.O.R.N.?

- 19 DEAN LOGAN: WITH REGARD TO A.C.O.R.N., AGAIN WE HAVE THE
- 20 ABILITY TO CHECK OUR FORMS TO SEE WHICH ORGANIZATIONS THEY'VE
- 21 BEEN ISSUED TO. AND WHILE A.C.O.R.N. HAS, IN PREVIOUS ELECTION
- 22 CYCLES, CONDUCTED REGISTRATION DRIVES IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 23 AND STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FOR THIS CURRENT ELECTION CYCLE,
- 24 THERE IS NO INDICATION THAT THEY HAVE BEEN ACTIVELY WORKING IN
- 25 L.A. OR IN CALIFORNIA. SO THAT PARTICULAR ORGANIZATION, WE'RE



- 1 NOT SEEING THAT. BUT AGAIN FOR ANY ORGANIZATION WITH THAT
- 2 PARTICULAR ALLEGATION THAT'S GETTING SO MUCH NATIONAL
- 3 ATTENTION, IT'S ABOUT IT'S FOCUSED ON FICTITIOUS TYPES OF
- 4 REGISTRATIONS. PEOPLE REGISTERING, THERE'S BEEN ALLEGATIONS OF
- 5 PEOPLE REGISTERING A PET OR A FAMOUS ATHLETE OR SOMETHING OF
- 6 THAT NATURE. AGAIN, IN ORDER TO GET INTO THE DATABASE, WE HAVE
- 7 TO FIRST DO A DATA MATCH WITH THE DRIVER'S LICENSE OR THE LAST
- 8 FOUR DIGIT OF SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER. SO WE ARE CHECKING FOR
- 9 THAT. WE TAKE IT SERIOUSLY. WE HAVE NOT IN THIS CYCLE SEEN ANY
- 10 SIGNIFICANT ISSUE WITH THAT.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I HAVE RECEIVED LETTERS WHICH WE REFERRED TO
- 13 YOU OF PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN DECEASED FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS
- 14 STILL RECEIVING BALLOT INFORMATION. DO YOU CROSS CHECK WITH
- 15 VITAL STATISTICS? HOW DO YOU HANDLE THAT?

16

- 17 DEAN LOGAN: WE DO. WE GET NOTIFICATIONS OF DEATHS FROM THE
- 18 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. ALSO WE GET NOTIFICATIONS FROM FAMILY
- 19 MEMBERS. THERE'S A PROCEDURE IF YOU'RE AT A POLLING PLACE AND
- 20 YOU SEE SOMEONE LISTED WHO'S DECEASED, YOU CAN COMPLETE A
- 21 NOTICE THERE, AS WELL. SOMETIMES THERE IS A LAG IN TERMS OF
- 22 NOTICES COMING THROUGH VITAL STATISTICS, BUT WE DO DO A CROSS-
- 23 REFERENCE.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO THOSE THAT WE HAVE BEEN REFERRING TO YOU,
- 2 THEY HAVE PROBABLY SLIPPED THROUGH THE CRACK?

3

4 **DEAN LOGAN:** ABSOLUTELY.

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THANK YOU.

7

8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY FOR YOUR NEXT ITEM?

9

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: 16. I THINK JUDGE NASH IS HERE.

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: JUDGE NASH IS HERE. JUDGE NASH IF YOU'D
- 13 LIKE TO COME FORWARD? AND WE HAVE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO HAVE
- 14 ASKED TO TESTIFY IN FAVOR AND A NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO HAVE
- 15 ASKED TO TESTIFY OPPOSED. WE HAVE FIVE PEOPLE FOR AND ABOUT
- 16 THE SAME NUMBER AGAINST AND TWO PEOPLE WHO WERE JUST ASKED TO
- 17 SPEAK.

18

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: JUDGE NASH.

- 21 JUDGE MICHAEL NASH: GOOD MORNING. NICE TO SEE YOU ALL TODAY. I
- 22 JUST WANTED TO COME BEFORE YOU AND GIVE YOU MY TWO CENTS FOR
- 23 ABOUT A MINUTE OR TWO ABOUT THIS IDEA OF DUAL LICENSURE.
- 24 GENERICALLY IT ALWAYS OCCURRED TO ME, IT MADE NO SENSE TO HAVE
- 25 A DUAL SYSTEM OF LICENSURE FOR SOMEONE, FIRST TO BE A FOSTER



- 1 PARENT, SECOND TO BE AN ADOPTIVE PARENT. EITHER YOU'RE
- 2 OUALIFIED TO BE A PARENT OR YOU SHOULDN'T AND WE SHOULD DEAL
- 3 WITH THAT ALL AT THE FRONT END. THAT BEING SAID, IN ALL THE
- 4 YEARS THAT I'VE BEEN DEALING WITH THIS ISSUE OF ADOPTIONS, THE
- 5 SINGLE LARGEST, THE SINGLE MOST SIGNIFICANT CAUSE FOR DELAYS
- 6 IN ADOPTIONS HAS BEEN THE TIME THAT IT TAKES TO DO A HOME
- 7 STUDY. AND THAT HOME STUDY GENERALLY BEGINS AT THE BACK END OF
- 8 THE PROCESS, WHICH ONCE AGAIN DELAYS THINGS FOR SO LONG. AND I
- 9 HAVE ADVOCATED FOR THE DEPARTMENT TO DO CONSOLIDATED HOME
- 10 STUDIES AT THE FRONT END OF THE PROCESS SO THAT WHEN IT CAME
- 11 TIME TO ADOPT, WE WOULDN'T HAVE TO TAKE ALL THIS EXTRA TIME
- 12 THAT IT TAKES TO FINALLY GET TO THE POINT OF COMPLETING AN
- 13 ADOPTION. AND D.C.F.S. HAS BEEN DOING THAT FOR THE LAST THREE
- 14 OR FOUR YEARS AND QUITE SUCCESSFULLY. AND I SEE NO REASON WHY
- 15 ANYBODY ELSE SHOULD BE EXEMPT FROM THAT PROCESS. THE LAW
- 16 REOUIRES THAT WE DO WHAT IS KNOWN AS CONCURRENT PLANNING. THAT
- 17 MEANS FROM THE VERY BEGINNING OF THE PROCESS, WE NEED TO PLAN
- 18 FOR REUNIFICATION OF A FAMILY AND ALSO TO PLAN FOR PERMANENCY
- 19 ALL AT THE SAME TIME. AND WHICHEVER ONE WINS OUT IS WHAT
- 20 HAPPENS WITH THE CHILD. BUT CLEARLY THIS EFFORT ON D.C.F.S.'S
- 21 PART TO ENSURE THAT ALL THIS WORK IS DONE EARLY ON IN THE
- 22 PROCESS IS IN THE BEST INTEREST OF OUR KIDS. AND I HOPE THAT
- 23 THE BOARD WILL IN FACT SUPPORT IT.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. WE HAVE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO
- 2 HAVE ASKED TO TESTIFY.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME ALSO COMMEND JUDGE NASH WHO DOES THIS,
- 5 WHAT HE IS DOING RIGHT NOW, SEVEN DAYS A WEEK, HELPING THE
- 6 FOSTER CHILDREN AND THE ADOPTION PROCESS AND FOR CONTINUING TO
- 7 MAKE THIS A PRIORITY. AND WE APPRECIATE YOUR LEADERSHIP IN
- 8 THIS EFFORT.

9

10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ABSOLUTELY. WE REALLY DO APPRECIATE THIS.

11

- 12 JUDGE MICHAEL NASH: WELL THANK YOU. AND OBVIOUSLY IT'S ALL A
- 13 COLLABORATIVE EFFORT, AND THAT INCLUDES OUR BOARD OF
- 14 SUPERVISORS, WHICH HAS ALWAYS BEEN MOST SUPPORTIVE OF THE WORK
- 15 THAT WE TRY TO DO ON BEHALF OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES. SO THANK
- 16 YOU ALL AS WELL.

17

18 LINDA COUGHLIN. KIM FELDER. JESSICA FELDER. AND CORA PEARSON.

19

- 20 >>SUP. MOLINA: COULD WE LIMIT SINCE THERE'S SO MANY FOLKS TO
- 21 MAYBE TWO MINUTES EACH?

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES, I WOULD SUGGEST THAT. IF THERE'S NO
- 24 OBJECTION THAT WE LIMIT EVERYONE. WE HAVE A LOT OF PEOPLE
- 25 ASKING TO SPEAK TODAY. AND IF YOU CAN LIMIT OR IF YOU'D LIKE



- 1 TO HAVE SOMEONE SPEAK FOR YOU, IT WOULD BE VERY HELPFUL. AND
- 2 WHILE THEY'RE COMING UP, PEOPLE WHO HAVE SIGNED UP ON THE OIL
- 3 WELL ISSUE AND YOU DO NOT HAVE A COPY OF THE REPORT THAT'S
- 4 BEFORE US AND YOU HAVE SIGNED UP TO SPEAK, IF YOU COME
- 5 FORWARD, WE WILL MAKE SURE THAT YOU GET A COPY OF THE REPORT.
- 6 SO WHEN YOU SPEAK, YOU WILL BE ABLE TO ADDRESS A PARTICULAR
- 7 ITEM OF THE REPORT THAT YOU'RE OPPOSING. YES, PLEASE STATE
- 8 YOUR NAME.

9

10 KIM FELDER: I'M KIM FELDER.

11

12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOU START.

- 14 KIM FELDER: HELLO MY NAME IS KIM FELDER. FIRST I WANT TO THANK
- 15 THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR ALLOWING ME TO SPEAK. I GREATLY
- 16 APPRECIATE IT. I HAVE WORKED WITH AND FOR L.A. COUNTY IN ONE
- 17 CAPACITY OR ANOTHER SINCE 1989. I AM NOW THE WENDY'S WONDERFUL
- 18 KIDS RECRUITER, THE CHILD-SPECIFIC RECRUITMENT FOR THE P.R.
- 19 UNION SINCE 2004 AND I HELP FAMILIES MEET AND STAY TOGETHER
- 20 WITH CHILDREN. BUT THE MOST IMPORTANT JOB I HAVE IS THE JOB
- 21 BEING A FOST-ADOPT PARENT WITH MY HUSBAND FOR THE LAST 20
- 22 YEARS. WE HAVE ALWAYS BEEN A DUALLY LICENSED FAMILY. I THINK
- 23 IT'S VERY IMPORTANT, AS JUDGE NASH SAID, THAT A FAMILY IS A
- 24 FAMILY. ONE IS, THAT IT'S A HIGHER STANDARD OF APPROVAL FOR
- 25 THE FAMILY, THAT THE FAMILY HAS TO MEET THAT HIGHER STANDARD.



- 1 AND, TWO, WE ARE GEARED TO PERMANENCY FOR THE CHILD. IF THAT
- 2 MEANS RETURNING TO THE FAMILY OF ORIGIN OR IF THAT MEANS
- 3 STAYING AND BEING ABLE TO MOVE TO THAT ADOPTION IN A TIMELY
- 4 FASHION. I BROUGHT MY YOUNGEST DAUGHTER JESSICA WITH US
- 5 BECAUSE HER SITUATION AND HOW SHE CAME TO OUR FAMILY WAS
- 6 IMPORTANT. WE GOT A CALL IN 2007, THE FRIDAY BEFORE EASTER TO
- 7 DO AN EMERGENCY PLACEMENT WITH HER. SHE CAME INTO OUR HOME.
- 8 AND ABOUT TWO WEEKS LATER, IT WAS MADE APPARENT THAT SHE
- 9 WASN'T GOING TO GO HOME. AND WHEN HER OLDER SIBLINGS STARTED
- 10 TEXTING MY HUSBAND AND I "CAN WE KEEP HER?" WE KNEW THAT
- 11 JESSICA WAS GOING TO BE A PERMANENT PART OF OUR FAMILY. SO WE
- 12 WERE ABLE TO FINALIZE JESSICA'S ADOPTION ON MARCH 10TH OF THIS
- 13 YEAR. THAT WAS LESS THAN A YEAR. AND LET HER HAVE PERMANENCY
- 14 AND MOVE FORWARD WITH HER LIFE. THANK YOU SO MUCH.

16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU.

15

17

18 JESSICA FELDER: I'M JESSICA. I'M IN THE SEVENTH GRADE. I

- 19 REALLY ENJOY SCHOOL. I'M IN THE JUNIOR HIGH BAND. AND A YEAR
- 20 AND A HALF AGO, I CAME AND LIVED WITH MY FAMILY. I CAME ON A
- 21 RESPITE PLACEMENT BECAUSE OF MY BEHAVIORS WITH OTHER PREVIOUS
- 22 FAMILY. AND THEY DIDN'T HAVE REALLY AS MUCH EXPERIENCE WITH
- 23 CHILDREN AS MY FAMILY NOW. AND AFTER A COUPLE WEEKS, I FOUND
- 24 OUT THAT I WASN'T GOING TO BE ABLE TO GO BACK. SO WE HAD A
- 25 FAMILY MEETING AND THEY ASKED IF I WOULD LIKE TO STAY AND I



- 1 SAID YEAH. SO I FINALIZED MY ADOPTION ON MARCH 10TH, 2008. AND
- 2 I HAVE THREE SISTERS, FOUR BROTHERS AND A LOT OF PETS. AND I
- 3 KNOW THIS IS MY FAMILY FOREVER.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. THANK YOU. AND CONGRATULATIONS
- 6 TO YOU TWO. [APPLAUSE.]

7

8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WOULD YOU STATE YOUR NAME?

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND I'D LIKE TO ASK ELIZABETH AND LEAH
- 11 SWITZER TO COME FORWARD, ALSO. ALL RIGHT. WOULD YOU PLEASE
- 12 STATE YOUR NAME.

- 14 LINDA COUGHLIN: MY NAME IS LINDA COUGHLIN AND I, TOO, AM A
- 15 FOSTER- ADOPTIVE PARENT. AND MY SITUATION, I WAS WITH A FOSTER
- 16 FAMILY AGENCY THAT INDEED DOES THE PROCESS UP FRONT. I REALLY
- 17 APPRECIATE IT BECAUSE I THINK THAT THE HOME STUDY IS VERY
- 18 IMPORTANT TO THE ENTIRE PROCESS BECAUSE THEY ASK VERY
- 19 INTENSIVE -- IT'S AN EIGHT TO TEN-HOUR INTERVIEW, WHICH IS VERY
- 20 INQUISITIONING TYPE QUESTIONING. SO IT REALLY HELPS IN THE
- 21 PROCESS FOR PLACEMENT, PLACING CHILDREN WITH THE RIGHT FAMILY
- 22 RIGHT FROM THE START. PEOPLE WHO ARE WILLING TO TAKE THE CHILD
- 23 IN, WHETHER THE CHILD IS GOING TO BE REUNIFIED OR WHETHER THE
- 24 CHILD IS GOING TO BE ADOPTED. AND THAT'S VERY IMPORTANT TO
- 25 KNOW UP FRONT BECAUSE IN MY CASE, I WAS TOLD THAT MY CHILD



- 1 WOULD BE REUNIFIED AND THAT'S NOT HOW IT ENDED UP. AND I WAS
- 2 OPEN TO THE ADOPTION. I HAD THE CHILD FROM THE BEGINNING. THEY
- 3 HAD ALL THE ANSWERS TO ALL THE QUESTIONS THEY NEEDED TO KNOW
- 4 FOR THE PERMANENCY, FOR THE PLACEMENT. AND GOING FROM FOSTER
- 5 TO ADOPTION WAS REALLY SEAMLESS. AND IT WENT THROUGH VERY
- 6 WELL. AND THE PLACEMENT WAS, I BELIEVE, FOR THE PLACEMENT
- 7 PROCESS, THAT'S MORE BENEFICIAL TO DO THINGS UP FRONT. BECAUSE
- 8 THE RIGHT QUESTIONS ARE ASKED AND ANSWERED. ALL PARTIES KNOW
- 9 WHAT EXPECTATIONS ARE ON BOTH SIDES SO THAT THERE WON'T BE ANY
- 10 SURPRISES THAT WILL HURT THE CHILD.

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND AS YOU LEAVE, WE
- 13 CAN CALL UP SOME OF THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE REQUESTED TO SPEAK IN
- 14 OPPOSITION. UNITED CARE AND ROSA RIVAS.

15

16 CORA PEARSON: GOOD MORNING.

17

18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.

- 20 CORA PEARSON: GOOD MORNING. NIGH NAME IS CORA PEARSON. I'M A
- 21 FOSTER-ADOPT PARENT, ALSO PRESIDENT OF L.A. COMMUNITY RESOURCE
- 22 PARENTS AS WELL AS PAST PRESIDENT OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA. ALSO
- 23 I'M A MATH INSTRUCTOR FOR THE DEPARTMENT IN THE COMMUNITY
- 24 COLLEGES. AND IT'S VERY IMPORTANT THAT WE USE ONE APPLICATION
- 25 FOR THIS PROCESS. AS THE PARENTS GO THROUGH THE CLASS, 36



- 1 HOURS, BY THE TIME THEY END THE CLASS, THEY HAVE HAD THEIR
- 2 HOME STUDIES FROM THE STATE AND FROM THE COUNTY. SO AT THE END
- 3 OF THEIR GRADUATION, THEY CAN RECEIVE THE CHILDREN OR THE
- 4 CHILD AND GO ON WITH THE PROCESS. YOU KNOW EXACTLY WHAT CHILD
- 5 YOU WANT, THE AGE GROUP OF THE CHILD AND THE GENDER OF THE
- 6 CHILD THAT'S COMING INTO YOUR HOME. THE WHEEL IS NOT BROKEN.
- 7 WE DON'T NEED TO FIX IT. WHAT WE'RE DOING NOW, WE NEED TO KEEP
- 8 ON DOING THAT. THANK YOU.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YES. LEAH AND
- 11 ELIZABETH.

- 13 LEAH SWITZER: LIKE TO SAY THAT FIVE ACRES IN ALTADENA, WHEN
- 14 YOU BECOME A FOSTER TO ADOPT PARENT, YOU NEVER KNOW, IT'S
- 15 OVERWHELMING. MY HUSBAND AND I GOT THREE CHILDREN AT ONCE ON
- 16 DECEMBER 12TH. ONE OF THEM HAD A.D.H.D. WE HAD NEVER DEALT
- 17 WITH AN A.D.H.D. CHILD BEFORE. WE HAD NEVER HAD CHILDREN
- 18 BEFORE. FIVE ACRES WAS THERE TO HELP US. WE DID THE HOME
- 19 STUDY. THEY DID PARENTING CLASSES WITH US. AND UNFORTUNATELY
- 20 WHEN ALEX CAME WITH, MY YOUNGEST, HE HAD TO GO TO A
- 21 RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT CENTER FOR SIX MONTHS. THAT WAS VERY
- 22 HARD FOR ME BECAUSE I WANTED HIM TO BE HOME WITH ME. BUT I
- 23 WENT TO SEE HIM THREE OR FOUR TIMES A WEEK. WHEN HE GOT
- 24 INTENSIVE THERAPY THERE, HE WAS ABLE TO COME BACK ON AUGUST
- 25 THE 19TH. MY FAITH IN GOD AND FIVE ACRES HELPED MY HUSBAND AND



- 1 I HAVE A UNITED FAMILY AGAIN, THREE WONDERFUL CHILDREN. THE
- 2 HOME STUDY, FIVE ACRES, EVERYONE IS HELPED TO HAVE THIS
- 3 ADOPTION. WE HAVEN'T SIGNED THE ADOPTION PAPERS YET. WE HOPE
- 4 TO DO IT SOON. BUT I URGE PEOPLE OUT THERE, I SHOULDN'T SAY
- 5 THIS, BUT I WANT TO SAY IT. ADOPT CHILDREN OR BRING FOSTER
- 6 CHILDREN INTO YOUR HOMES. IT'S A BEAUTIFUL GESTURE. THEY HAVE
- 7 BEEN A BLESSING TO ME. OF COURSE, I REMEMBER A FEW MONTHS AGO
- 8 MY LITTLE GIRL, I'D HAVE TO LITERALLY DRAG HER OUT OF BED TO
- 9 TAKE HER TO SCHOOL BECAUSE A LOT OF THESE CHILDREN HAVE BEEN
- 10 ABUSED, THEY HAVE BEEN IN TRAUMA. MY LITTLE GIRL HERE HAS BEEN
- 11 IN SEVEN FOSTER HOMES. AND NOW SHE'S FOUND A MOMMY AND DADDY
- 12 WHO LOVE HER AND ARE GOING TO BE THERE FOR HER. WE'RE GOING TO
- 13 SUPPORT HER. WE WANT HER TO GROW UP TO BE A PRODUCTIVE YOUNG
- 14 ADULT. MY LITTLE ALEX WITH A.D.H.D., IF YOU'D SEEN HIM BACK IN
- 15 DECEMBER 12TH HOW HE WAS, WILD, GOING ALL OVER AND NOW HE'S IN
- 16 SCHOOL AND HE'S IN A READING PROGRAM AND HE SAYS, "MOMMY, I
- 17 WANT TO LEARN HOW TO READ." AND WHEN YOU SEE CHILDREN GETTING
- 18 UP-- TIME EXPIRED. BUT PLEASE, PLEASE--

19

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT ABOUT YOUR THIRD CHILD?

- 22 LEAH SWITZER: MY THIRD CHILD, PETER, IS RIGHT HERE. HE'S DOING
- 23 VERY WELL IN SCHOOL. HE SOMETIMES DOESN'T OBEY ME BUT GETTING
- 24 BETTER. THAT'S ANOTHER THING FIVE ACRES DOES. THEY WILL HAVE
- 25 BEHAVIOR THERAPISTS THAT COME IN LIKE SUPER NANNY AND TELL YOU



- 1 WHAT YOU'RE DOING WRONG AND THEN THEY'LL TELL YOU WHAT YOU'RE
- 2 DOING RIGHT. SO SOME DAYS I'M A GREAT MOMMY AND OTHER DAYS,
- 3 ELIZABETH, WHAT DO I NEED? HELP. YEAH, I NEED HELP.
- 4 [LAUGHTER.] BUT WITH GOD'S HELP, MY FAITH IN GOD AND FIVE
- 5 ACRES, I'M GOING TO BE THE BEST MOTHER THAT I CAN BE TO THESE
- 6 THREE LOVELY CHILDREN. AND PLEASE NOVEMBER IS ADOPTION MONTH.
- 7 OPEN YOUR HEART TO A CHILD. AND SOON ELIZABETH AND I ARE GOING
- 8 TO BE PERMANENT FOREVER AND EVER.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: GREAT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE.] NOW
- 11 WILL YOU COME FORWARD? WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO TAKE JUST FOUR
- 12 PEOPLE AT A TIME UP HERE. AND THEN THE OTHER PEOPLE. ONE OTHER
- 13 PERSON COULD SIT OVER HERE.

- 15 BRUCE SALTZER: GOOD MORNING. MADAME CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE
- 16 BOARD. BRUCE SALTZER REPRESENTING THE ASSOCIATION OF COMMUNITY
- 17 HUMAN SERVICE AGENCIES AND THE FOSTER FAMILY AGENCIES OF LOS
- 18 ANGELES COUNTY. WE'RE HERE THIS MORNING TO ASK THE BOARD TO
- 19 SEND A STRONG MESSAGE TO THIS COUNTY THAT THIS COUNTY VALUES
- 20 FOSTER FAMILIES THAT SIMPLY WANT TO DEVOTE THEIR TIME AND
- 21 ENERGY WORKING TO REUNIFY FOSTER CHILDREN WITH THEIR BIRTH
- 22 FAMILIES, WHETHER OR NOT THEY ARE ABLE TO OR INTERESTED IN
- 23 ADOPTING CHILDREN IN THEIR CARE WHO ARE NOT REUNIFIED. THE
- 24 DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES IS TODAY ASKING YOU
- 25 TO SUPPORT A NEW F.F.A. CONTRACT WITH TWO NEW MANDATES THAT



- 1 WILL SIGNIFICANTLY DIRECT IMPACTS ON THE COUNTY'S FOSTER
- 2 YOUTH, FOSTER FAMILIES AND FOSTER FAMILY AGENCIES. THE FIRST
- 3 MANDATE IS THAT, EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 1, 2009, EVERY NEW F.F.A.
- 4 FOSTER FAMILY MUST BE DUALLY- CERTIFIED. MEANING CERTIFIED AS
- 5 BOTH A FOSTER FAMILY AND ADOPTIVE FAMILY. THE SECOND MANDATE
- 6 IS THAT EVERY F.F.A. IN THE COUNTY MUST BE DUALLY LICENSED AS
- 7 AN ADOPTION AGENCY OR ENTER INTO AN M.O.U. WITH AN ADOPTION
- 8 AGENCY TO REFER ALL NEW FOSTER FAMILIES TOO, WHO CAN PROVIDE
- 9 THE HOME STUDY AND OTHER ADOPTIVE SERVICES. IN OPPOSING BOTH
- 10 OF THESE NEW MANDATES, IT HAS BEEN THE POSITION OF THE FOSTER
- 11 FAMILY AGENCIES THAT THE PRESENT SYSTEM, WHICH INCLUDES BOTH--
- 12 I REPEAT BOTH-- F.F.A.S THAT ARE DUALLY LICENSED AS ADOPTION
- 13 AGENCIES AND THOSE THAT ARE NOT, AS WELL AS BOTH FOSTER
- 14 FAMILIES THAT ARE DUALLY CERTIFIED AS ADOPTIVE FAMILIES AND
- 15 THOSE THAT ARE NOT, IS WORKING QUITE WELL FOR THE COUNTY'S
- 16 FOSTER YOUTH AND FOSTER FAMILIES. NOT ONLY DO WE NOT BELIEVE
- 17 THERE IS A COMPELLING REASON TO CHANGE THE PRESENT SYSTEM AS
- 18 D.C.F.S. IS PROPOSING, BUT WE ARE FRANKLY MORE CONCERNED ABOUT
- 19 THE NEGATIVE CONSEQUENCES WHICH YOU NEED TO KNOW ABOUT IN
- 20 TERMS OF THE DEPARTMENT'S NEW ABSOLUTE POSITION FOR THE FOSTER
- 21 CARE SYSTEM AND ITS FOSTER YOUTH AND FAMILIES. WHILE IT'S
- 22 STATED THE COUNTY'S FOSTER FAMILY AGENCIES ARE OPPOSED TO BOTH
- 23 THE NEW MANDATES. WE HAVE ALREADY TOLD D.C.F.S. THAT WE WOULD
- 24 AGREE TO ACCEPT THE SECOND MANDATE, THAT F.F.A. DUAL LICENSURE
- 25 IN SPITE OF IT'S VERY SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL IMPACT AS A NEW



- 1 UNFUNDED MANDATE FOR LARGE NUMBERS OF THE COUNTY'S F.F.A.S
- 2 WHICH ARE NOT CURRENTLY DUALLY LICENSED. WE MADE THIS DECISION
- 3 BECAUSE WE ALTHOUGH WE BELIEVE THERE ARE CURRENTLY ENOUGH
- 4 F.F.A.S COUNTYWIDE THAT ARE DUALLY LICENSED TO HANDLE
- 5 ADOPTIONS WHEN APPROPRIATE --

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOUR TIME IS UP. DOES SOMEONE WANT TO GIVE
- 8 YOU THEIR TIME?

9

- 10 BRUCE SALTZER: I'M JUST GOING TO SUMMARIZE THEN. WE WANTED TO
- 11 SHOW THE COUNTY THAT WE ARE WILLING TO WORK WITH THEM AND
- 12 COMPROMISE AND CONFIRM OUR SUPPORT FOR THE IMPORTANCE OF
- 13 ADOPTION AS A PERMANENCY OPTION BY PROVIDING THE COUNTY WITH
- 14 THE CAPABILITY OF DOING ADOPTIONS AT EVERY ONE OF THE COUNTY'S
- 15 F.F.A.S.

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I'M SORRY. YOUR TIME IS UP. I KNOW YOU'RE
- 18 HERE ALL THE TIME. AND THERE ARE OTHER PEOPLE TO SPEAK ON IT.

19

- 20 BRUCE SALTZER: BUT I WAS SUPPOSED TO-- TRYING TO SET THE
- 21 STAGE.

22

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, IF THEY DON'T WANT TO SPEAK, YOU CAN
- 24 SPEAK IN THEIR PLACE.



- 1 BRUCE SALTZER: WITH ALL DUE RESPECT, THIS IS VERY, VERY
- 2 COMPLEX AND SIGNIFICANT ISSUE FOR US AND FOR ALL THE FOSTER
- 3 FAMILIES IN THE COUNTY.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR
- 6 NAME?

- 8 BARBARA WILSON: GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS BARBARA WILSON. I
- 9 HAVE BEEN A FOSTER PARENT IN THE SECOND DISTRICT OF LOS
- 10 ANGELES COUNTY OVER 12 YEARS. I'M HERE TODAY TO TESTIFY
- 11 AGAINST THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES'S
- 12 DECISION TO REOUIRE THAT ALL NEWLY RECRUITED FOSTER HOMES BE
- 13 DUALLY CERTIFIED AFTER OCTOBER 1ST, 2009. AND I WILL TELL YOU
- 14 WHY. I KNOW FOR A FACT THAT I WOULD NOT HAVE BECOME A FOSTER
- 15 PARENT 12 YEARS AGO IF I HAD TO COMMIT TO ADOPTION AND DUAL
- 16 CERTIFICATION LIKE YOU ARE REQUIRING IN OCTOBER 2009. LIKE
- 17 MANY OTHER FOSTER PARENTS, I KNOW WE ARE COMMITTED TO
- 18 REUNIFYING CHILDREN WITH THEIR FAMILIES OR EMANCIPATING THEM
- 19 SUCCESSFULLY INTO ADULTHOOD. IN MY 12 YEARS OF SERVICE TO
- 20 FOSTER CHILDREN AND THIS COUNTY, I HAVE UNITED OVER 60 TEENAGE
- 21 GIRLS TO LIVE IN MY HOME. AND I HAVE SUCCESSFULLY REUNITED OR
- 22 EMANCIPATED OVER 80 PERCENT OF THEM ACCORDING TO THEIR COURT-
- 23 ASSIGNED CASE CODES. I AM HONESTLY SAYING-- I CAN HONESTLY SAY
- 24 THAT EVERYONE THAT HAS BEEN THROUGH MY HOME HAS BEEN BENEFITED
- 25 SPIRITUALLY, SOCIALLY AND FINANCIALLY. MY MAIN PURPOSE IS TO



- 1 MAKE A DIFFERENCE IN THE GIRLS' LIVES, AND I HAVE. MANY OF
- 2 THEM HAVE GONE ON TO BECOME GREAT KIDS IN THIS SOCIETY. AND I
- 3 THANK GOD FOR THAT. THE POLICY OF DUAL CERTIFICATION AND THE
- 4 REOUIRED ADOPTION COMMITMENT SAYS TO ME AND OTHER COMMITTED
- 5 FOSTER PARENTS THROUGHOUT THIS COUNTY WHO HAVE PARENTED
- 6 THOUSANDS OF CHILDREN, THAT YOU DON'T WANT OUR SERVICE ANY
- 7 MORE. SHAME ON YOU FOR FORCING THIS POLICY ON FOSTER PARENTS
- 8 KNOWING THAT IT WOULD DENY FOSTER YOUTH OPPORTUNITIES TO STAY
- 9 IN THEIR COMMUNITIES WITH FAMILIES THAT ARE COMMITTED TO THEM,
- 10 TO GETTING THEM HOME SUCCESSFULLY AND EMANCIPATING INTO
- 11 INDEPENDENT FAMILY LIFE. I WAS SHOCKED TO LEARN JUST RECENTLY
- 12 THAT THIS COUNTY INTENDS TO ENFORCE ITS POLICY ON FOSTER
- 13 PARENTS AND OUR CHILDREN. AND I ASK EVERY FOSTER PARENT I KNOW
- 14 IF THEY KNEW ABOUT THIS. OF COURSE WE DIDN'T KNOW, BECAUSE
- 15 D.C.F.S. DIDN'T TELL US ABOUT IT. SUPERVISORS, THIS POLICY IS
- 16 FLAWED AND THREATENS THE CONTINUATION--

18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. YOUR TIME HAS EXPIRED. AND WE'RE

- 19 GOING TO ASK DR. ERNEST NUNEZ TO COME FORWARD. WOULD YOU
- 20 PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME?

17

- 22 SILVO ORLANDO: I'M SILVO ORLANDO, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF
- 23 OPTIMIST YOUTH HOMES AND FAMILY SERVICES WE ARE DUALLY
- 24 LICENSED AS A FOSTER FAMILY AND ADOPTION AGENCY. MY OPPOSITION
- 25 IS BASED ON THE FOLLOWING. ONE, THIS POLICY IS INCONGRUENT



- 1 WITH THE STATE'S D.S.S. PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT PLAN THAT
- 2 CALLS FOR AN INCREASE IN THE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED FOSTER
- 3 CAREGIVERS IN CALIFORNIA. THIS POLICY, AS YOU HAVE HEARD AND
- 4 WILL HEAR, WILL LEAD TO THE REDUCTION IN THE NUMBER OF
- 5 QUALIFIED FOSTER PARENTS BECAUSE MANY HAVE NO INTENTION OF
- 6 BECOMING AN ADOPTIVE PARENT, NOR DO THEY WANT TO GO THROUGH
- 7 THE STUDY. THREE, D.C.F.S. RECENTLY HAD TO REOPEN THE R.F.S.Q.
- 8 PROCESS FOR FOSTER FAMILIES BECAUSE THEY WERE CONCERNED ABOUT
- 9 THE LACK OF CAPACITY. BUT NOW THEY ARE ACCEPTING THE FACT THAT
- 10 THE CAPACITY WILL GO DOWN. IN FACT WE WERE TOLD BY THE
- 11 DEPARTMENT THAT IF ALL A FAMILY WANTS TO DO IS FOSTER, THEN
- 12 THE DEPARTMENT DOESN'T EVEN WANT THEM. IF A FOSTER FAMILY AND
- 13 A PRIVATE AGENCY IS NOT CERTIFIED AS AN ADOPTIVE FAMILY AT THE
- 14 TIME OF APPLICATION, IF THEY LATER CHANGE THEIR MIND, OUR
- 15 PRIVATE AGENCIES CAN COMPLETE THE CERTIFICATION PROCESS IN A
- 16 SHORT PERIOD OF TIME, SIX TO 10 WEEKS. AND THIS WILL NOT DELAY
- 17 THE TIME FRAME TO PERMANENCY AS THE YOUTH WILL BE IN THAT HOME
- 18 IN THE MEANTIME WHILE WE FINISH THE CERTIFICATION PROCESS.
- 19 JUST BECAUSE THE COUNTY TAKES CLOSER TO SIX MONTHS TO DO THAT
- 20 SAME THING, WE DO IT IN A SHORTER PERIOD. IT'S NO REASON TO
- 21 FORCE US TO DO IT IN ALL FAMILIES. THE POSITION OF THE
- 22 DEPARTMENT HAS BEEN THE POLICY BENEFITS CHILDREN BECAUSE THE
- 23 CHILD COULD BE IN A FOSTER HOME FOR A PERIOD OF TIME. AND IF
- 24 THAT FOSTER PARENT DOES NOT QUALIFY TO BE AN ADOPTIVE PARENT,
- 25 THE CHILD WILL HAVE TO BE MOVED TO ANOTHER FAMILY, WHICH WILL



- 1 DELAY TIMELINES. YET THE DEPARTMENT CANNOT GIVE US ONE EXAMPLE
- 2 OR THE NUMBER OF CASES WHERE THIS HAS HAPPENED. IN TERMS OF
- 3 HIGHER STANDARDS, THE COUNTY MIGHT SAY THAT HIGHER STANDARDS
- 4 ARE NEEDED. I SAY LOOK AT THE PERFORMANCE MEASURES ALREADY IN
- 5 PLACE IN TERMS OF SAFETY AND WELL-BEING. THE PRIVATE PROVIDERS
- 6 ARE DOING AN EXCELLENT JOB. MUCH OF THAT IS BECAUSE WE WERE
- 7 HELD TO SIGNIFICANT HIGHER STANDARDS IN THE FIRST PLACE. FOR
- 8 INSTANCE, WE VISIT THE FAMILIES EVERY WEEK WHILE THE COUNTY IS
- 9 ONLY MANDATED TO DO SO ONCE A MONTH. WE ARE CONSTANTLY AUDITED
- 10 REGARDING OUR SERVICES; THE COUNTY IS NOT. REMEMBER, TOO, THAT
- 11 THE PRIVATE AGENCIES REPRESENT TWO-THIRDS OF ALL THE CHILDREN
- 12 IN FOSTER CARE. ONLY ONE-THIRD ARE WITH THE COUNTY. AND
- 13 PERHAPS THEIR CHANGE IN STYLE, IN TERMS OF REQUIRING ADOPTIVE
- 14 STUDIES UP FRONT, HAS LED TO FEWER FOSTER FAMILIES.

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOUR TIME HAS ELAPSED. NOW SUPERVISOR
- 17 YAROSLAVSKY HAS ASKED THAT WE GIVE BRUCE SALTZER ADDITIONAL
- 18 TIME TO FINISH HIS STATEMENT. ANY OBJECTION?

19

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: TWO MINUTES? CAN YOU DO IT IN TWO MINUTES?

21

- 22 BRUCE SALTZER: I WILL PICK THE MOST IMPORTANT POINTS, THANK
- 23 YOU. COUPLE OF POINTS. THE HIGHEST STANDARD.

24

25 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND WE DO NEED TO GET THE OTHER PEOPLE UP.



1

2 BRUCE SALTZER: I'LL DEFER THEN AND GO AFTER?

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE WILL ASK YOU TO LEAVE AND LET SOMEONE
- 5 ELSE. LET THE GENTLEMAN FINISH AND MISS WILSON.

- 7 BRUCE SALTZER: THERE HAS BEEN AN ALLEGATION THAT THIS IS A
- 8 HIGHER STANDARD, THE ADOPTION HOME STUDY. IT IS NOT A HIGHER
- 9 STANDARD. FIRST WE HAVE CONFIRMED THAT STATE COMMUNITY CARE
- 10 LICENSING THAT BOTH FOSTER AND ADOPTIVE PARENTS EXPERIENCE THE
- 11 EXACT SAME BACKGROUND CHECKS, SO THAT YOUTH IN CERTIFIED
- 12 ADOPTION HOME ARE NO SAFER THAN YOUTH IN A CERTIFIED FOSTER
- 13 HOME. SECONDLY, THE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REQUESTED IN THE
- 14 ADOPTION HOME STUDY ITSELF SIMPLY SEEKS TO DETERMINE THE LONG
- 15 TERM COMPATIBILITY OF THE FOSTER YOUTH WITH HIS OR HER
- 16 PROSPECTIVE ADOPTIVE FAMILY AND IT DOES NOT DETERMINE A HIGHER
- 17 STANDARD OF CARE. SO FOR EXAMPLE, IT ASKS IN GREATER DETAIL
- 18 ABOUT MARRIAGES, DIVORCES, INFERTILITY ISSUES IF THEY'RE
- 19 PRESENT, MOTIVATION TO ADOPT, EXPECTATIONS REGARDING ADOPTING
- 20 AND HOW THEY PLAN TO INTEGRATE THE CHILD INTO THE LIFE OF THE
- 21 FAMILY. SO IT'S NOT A HIGHER STANDARD; IT IS A DIFFERENT
- 22 STANDARD YOU WOULD WANT TO KNOW IF YOU'RE LOOKING TO ADOPT AS
- 23 OPPOSED TO SIMPLY FOSTER. THE CONCERNS THAT WE'VE HAD MOST
- 24 IMPORTANTLY IS THAT THE COUNTY'S PROPOSAL WILL UNNECESSARILY
- 25 ACT AS AN IMPEDIMENT TO THE RECRUITMENT OF FOSTER FAMILIES. WE



- 1 HAVE HAD IN FACT A FOSTER FAMILY, AN AGENCY THAT WAS FORMERLY
- 2 DUALLY CERTIFIED, EVERY FOSTER FAMILY IN THAT AGENCY, WHO NO
- 3 LONGER DOES IT ANYMORE. THEY SAID WE DID ATTEMPT TO DUALLY
- 4 CERTIFY ALL FOSTER FAMILIES AT ONE POINT IN TIME, BUT FAMILIES
- 5 NOT INTERESTED IN ADOPTION STRONGLY RESISTED THE ADDITIONAL
- 6 PROCESS. IN ADDITION, THERE ARE A NUMBER OF FAMILIES THAT
- 7 AGAIN ARE JUST THERE, OR ASKED BY THE DEPARTMENT TO STAY FOR
- 8 SHORT LENGTH OF STAY, FOR EMERGENCY, SHELTER CARE AND OTHER
- 9 PURPOSES THAT AGAIN DO NOT DICTATE THE NEED FOR AN ADOPTION
- 10 HOME STUDY. THERE IS A WHOLE SERIES OF AGENCIES THAT HAVE
- 11 RESPONDED, THAT HAVE TRIED TO DO THIS, THAT SAID, "WE ARE
- 12 LOSING FOSTER FAMILIES." SO THE MESSAGE ULTIMATELY, THE
- 13 IMPORTANT THING WE ARE LOOKING AT HERE IS, WHAT MESSAGE ARE WE
- 14 GIVING? DO WE SUPPORT THAT AS AN OPTION OR ARE WE MANDATING
- 15 EVERY SINGLE FOSTER FAMILY DO THIS? AND IF THERE'S A FOSTER
- 16 FAMILY OUT THERE THAT SIMPLY WANTS TO REUNIFY, WHICH IS THE
- 17 DEPARTMENT'S HIGHEST STATED PERMANENCY GOAL, WE'RE TELLING
- 18 THEM THAT WE DON'T VALUE YOU IN THIS COUNTY. THAT TO ME IS A
- 19 VERY, VERY SERIOUS, SERIOUS STATEMENT AND IS NOT GOOD PUBLIC
- 20 POLICY. THE LAST POINT I'LL MAKE IS THAT WE HAVE PROPOSED A
- 21 COUPLE OF, AGAIN, COMPROMISES IN THIS ISSUE. ONE IS IN TERMS
- 22 OF BASICALLY SAYING FOR THE DUAL LICENSURE, WE WILL AGREE TO
- 23 THAT FOR ALL OF OUR AGENCIES TO AT LEAST ALLOW THAT OPTION TO
- 24 BE THERE. BUT THEN ULTIMATELY WE'RE ASKING THE LANGUAGE TO
- 25 READ, AND I'LL READ YOU THE LANGUAGE AND THEN I'LL END MY



- 1 TESTIMONY. THIS IS WHAT WE'RE PROPOSING AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO
- 2 THE LANGUAGE CURRENTLY IN THE CONTRACT THAT MANDATES DUAL
- 3 CERTIFICATION OF EVERY FAMILY. IT WOULD READ: "PART OF THE
- 4 ADMINISTRATION OF A HOME STUDY TO A PROSPECTIVE F.F.A. FAMILY,
- 5 THE F.F.A. SHALL HAVE A FAIR, BALANCED AND HONEST DISCUSSION
- 6 WITH THAT FAMILY REGARDING CONSIDERATION OF POSSIBLE ADOPTION
- 7 SHOULD REUNIFICATION EFFORTS NOT PROVE SUCCESSFUL, AND SHALL
- 8 ADMINISTER THE ADOPTION HOME STUDY TO THAT FAMILY UNLESS THE
- 9 FAMILY EXPRESSES AN OBJECTION." SO WE'RE REALLY TALKING HERE
- 10 ABOUT GIVING THE FAMILY THE FREEDOM OF CHOICE TO MAKE THAT
- 11 DECISION AND NOT TELLING FAMILIES THAT ARE JUST THERE TO
- 12 REUNIFY-- AGAIN 8 TO 1 IN THIS COUNTY REUNIFICATIONS TO
- 13 ADOPTIONS, THE HIGHEST GOAL FOR THE DEPARTMENT OVER THE YEARS
- 14 AND SHOULD BE IS REUNIFYING FAMILIES. WHAT MESSAGE ARE WE NOT
- 15 ONLY TELLING OUR FOSTER FAMILIES BUT OUR FAMILIES OUT THERE
- 16 THAT ARE SEEKING AT SOME POINT TO REUNIFY WITH THEIR CHILDREN
- 17 IF WE'RE NOT TELLING THEM THAT WE VALUE FOSTER PARENTS THAT
- 18 ARE SIMPLY OUT THERE TO HELP REUNIFY THEIR FAMILIES? THAT'S
- 19 THE MESSAGE WE'RE TRYING TO GET OUT THERE. THANK YOU FOR
- 20 GIVING ME THE EXTRA TIME. I APPRECIATE IT.

21

23

22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME?

- 24 ROSA RIVAS: MY NAME IS ROSA RIVAS AND I HAVE FOSTERED THREE
- 25 CHILDREN THROUGH OPTIMIST FAMILY ADOPTION AGENCIES. I AM A



- 1 FOSTER PARENT. I HAVE BEEN A FOSTER PARENT FOR THE PAST 15
- 2 YEARS. AND I'M ALSO INVOLVED IN MY COMMUNITY. I DO NOT BELIEVE
- 3 IT IS RIGHT TO CERTIFY ALL FOSTER PARENTS FOR ADOPTIONS AS
- 4 MANY DO NOT WANT TO ADOPT. AND THIS WILL SCARE THEM. YOU WILL
- 5 NOT GET FAMILIES TO DO FOSTER CARE. MANY FOSTER PARENTS WILL
- 6 NOT APPLY IF THEY MUST BE ADOPTIVE PARENTS. I MYSELF WOULD NOT
- 7 HAVE DONE SO. IT'S HARD BEING A FOSTER PARENT. AND WE GO
- 8 THROUGH SO MUCH TO BE QUALIFIED. WE HAVE TO DO THIS, WE HAVE
- 9 TO DO THAT. WE DO NOT HAVE TO GO THROUGH IT MUCH MORE IF WE
- 10 DON'T HAVE TO ADOPT. IF WE DECIDE TO ADOPT, LIKE I DID,
- 11 BECAUSE I HAVE ADOPTED A CHILD, IT IS BECAUSE WE WANTED TO DO
- 12 IT, NOT BECAUSE WE HAD SOMEBODY FORCING US TO DO CERTAIN
- 13 REQUIREMENTS TO BE A FOSTER PARENT. IT WAS BETTER FOR ME AND
- 14 MY FAMILY AND MY HUSBAND TO GO THROUGH THE ADOPTION STUDY WHEN
- 15 WE WERE READY. DON'T MAKE ALL FOSTER PARENTS APPLICANT GO
- 16 THROUGH UNNECESSARY EXTRA WORK. YOU DON'T KNOW HOW HARD IT IS
- 17 ON US. EVERY YEAR WE HAVE TO BE CERTIFIED. EVERY YEAR WE HAVE
- 18 TO TAKE CLASSES TO KEEP OUR LICENSE OPENED. AND TO GIVE US
- 19 MORE WORK, THAT IS NOT ACCEPTABLE. THANK YOU.

21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. IF YOU'LL ALLOW

- 22 SOMEONE TO TAKE YOUR SEAT? THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YES, PLEASE
- 23 STATE YOUR NAME.

24



- 1 CRAIG WOODS: GOOD MORNING MY NAME IS CRAIG WOODS AND I'M THE
- 2 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF UNITED CARE FOSTER CARE AGENCY. NEXT
- 3 YEAR WE WILL BE IN OUR 20TH YEAR PROVIDING CARE AND SERVICES
- 4 FOR THE SECOND DISTRICT.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IF YOU'LL GO BACK TO YOUR SEAT IN THE
- 7 AUDIENCE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

- 9 CRAIG WOODS: I'M HERE TODAY TO STATE OUR OPPOSITION TO THE
- 10 DUAL CERTIFICATION LANGUAGE D.C.F.S. INTENDS TO MAKE STANDARD
- 11 IN THE FOSTER CARE CONTRACT EFFECTIVE NOVEMBER 1 AND TO
- 12 EXPRESS OUR STRONG SUPPORT FOR THE ALTERNATE LANGUAGE THAT MR.
- 13 SALTZER JUST READ THAT A.C.H.S.A. IS PROPOSING AS A
- 14 COMPROMISE. SUPERVISORS, UNITED CARE ALONG WITH EVERY FOSTER
- 15 CARE AGENCY IN THE SECOND DISTRICT, OPPOSES THE DUAL POLICY
- 16 BECAUSE WE BELIEVE IT WILL NEGATIVELY IMPACT OUR ABILITY TO
- 17 RECRUIT QUALITY FOSTER CARE FAMILIES ONCE IT'S IMPLEMENTED.
- 18 THE LANGUAGE SENDS THE WRONG MESSAGE AND IT OFFENDS COMMITTED
- 19 TRADITIONAL FOSTER PARENT FAMILIES WHO ONLY CHOOSE TO PROVIDE
- 20 REUNIFICATION AND EMANCIPATION SERVICES TO FOSTER YOUTH. THE
- 21 MESSAGE D.C.F.S.'S LANGUAGE WILL SEND TO THE TRADITIONAL
- 22 FOSTER PARENT FAMILIES IS, "WE DON'T WANT YOUR SERVICES ANY
- 23 MORE, " AND WE DON'T THINK THAT'S APPROPRIATE. IF THIS IS THE
- 24 CASE, AND I HOPE IT ISN'T, I BELIEVE THE COUNTY IS BASICALLY
- 25 SHOOTING ITSELF IN THE FOOT BY ALIENATING THE POTENTIAL

20

22

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 THOUSANDS OF THE MOST LUCRATIVE FOSTER PARENTS THIS COUNTY HAS
- 2 EVER HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO RECRUIT. AND I'M TALKING ABOUT
- 3 BABY BOOMERS, MY GENERATION, OKAY? WHO ARE JUST NOW RETIRING
- 4 WITH THE WILLINGNESS TO OPEN THEIR HOMES AND THEIR FAMILIES TO
- 5 FOSTER YOUTH UNTIL THEY CAN BE REUNIFIED WITH THEIR FAMILIES
- 6 OR SUCCESSFULLY EMANCIPATED INTO ADULT LIFE. SUPERVISORS,
- 7 THESE RETIRED SCHOOL TEACHERS, ENGINEERS, PUBLIC SERVANTS AND
- 8 OTHER PARAPROFESSIONALS COME FROM ALL WALKS OF LIFE AND HAVE A
- 9 TREMENDOUS WEALTH OF INFORMATION AND EXPERIENCE TO SHARE WITH
- 10 OUR CHILDREN, ALONG WITH A STRONG DESIRE TO GIVE BACK TO THEIR
- 11 COMMUNITIES, LIKE NO GENERATION BEFORE THEM. TRUST ME, WE DO
- 12 NOT WANT TO SEND THIS INSENSITIVE MESSAGE TO OUR COMMUNITIES
- 13 AND INHIBIT OUR ABILITY TO RECRUIT THESE FINE FAMILIES. THE
- 14 ALTERNATE LANGUAGE THAT WE ARE HERE TODAY TO SUPPORT IS NOT
- 15 OFFENSIVE TO FAMILIES. IT RESPECTS THE FAMILY'S RIGHT TO
- 16 CHOOSE WHICH PATH IS BEST FOR THEM. IT SUPPORTS D.C.F.S.'S
- 17 GOAL TO RECRUIT MORE RESOURCE FAMILIES. AND IT PROVIDES FOSTER
- 18 CARE AGENCIES THE ABILITY TO BROADEN THE TENT, SO TO SPEAK, BY
- 19 RECRUITING FROM A BROADER, MORE EXPERIENCED POOL OF FAMILIES.

21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK YOU.

- 23 CRAIG WOODS: PLEASE CONSIDER THE ALTERNATE LANGUAGE AS AN
- 24 OPTION TO THE EXISTING LANGUAGE. AND SEND A POSITIVE COMMUNITY
- 25 TO OUR TRADITIONAL FOSTER PARENT FAMILIES THAT WE WANT THEIR



- 1 SERVICES. AND WE NEED THEM TO HELP US TO CONTINUE TO REUNIFY
- 2 CHILDREN. THANK YOU.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WILLIE SHERMAN? YES.
- 5 BUT DR. NUNEZ IS FIRST, PLEASE. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME. AND
- 6 ARNOLD SACHS ASKED TO SPEAK ON THIS, WOULD YOU PLEASE COME UP?
- 7 YES, PLEASE.

- 9 DR. ERNEST NUNEZ: DR. ERNEST NUNEZ. I'M EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF
- 10 THE MULTICULTURAL SERVICE CENTER. I PRESENT TODAY BEFORE THE
- 11 BOARD, DUE TO NON RESPONSE FROM D.C.F.S. WITH REGARD TO THE
- 12 AGENCY'S DENIAL OF AN S.O.O. FOR NOT HAVING AN ADOPTION
- 13 LICENSE, D.C.F.S. BREACH OF AN AGREEMENT IN AN EXISTING
- 14 CONTRACT AND LACK OF DIRECTION WITH REGARD TO AGENCY BEING
- 15 ABLE TO FURTHER PROTEST DISQUALIFICATION. AS WE KNOW THERE WAS
- 16 AN INVESTIGATION AND IT WAS FOUND OUT THAT THE S.O.Q. DEADLINE
- 17 WAS EXPANDED TO REACH ANOTHER MONTH. BUT CHANGES WERE MADE IN
- 18 THE SENSE THAT ALL OF A SUDDEN NOW AGENCIES NEEDED TO HAVE AN
- 19 ADOPTION LICENSE. WHEN THEY EXTENDED THAT, IT FAVORED THREE OF
- 20 THE AGENCIES, WHICH THE COUNTY ALREADY KNEW HAD AN ADOPTION
- 21 LICENSE, AND ONE OF THEM HAD ALSO USED SOMEONE TO ADVOCATE FOR
- 22 THEM, A LOBBYIST. SO IT WASN'T FAIR THAT THEY KNEW THREE OF
- 23 THESE AGENCIES ALREADY HAD ADOPTION LICENSES AND THEY WERE
- 24 DENYING THE OTHER THREE AGENCIES FOR NOT HAVING THAT. THE
- 25 REASON GIVEN FOR THE ADDITION OF ADOPTION LICENSE ____ WAS A



- 1 NEED. I DON'T SEE HOW THAT IS MORE IMPORTANT IN AN AGENCY LIKE
- 2 MULTICULTURAL SERVICE CENTER WHICH FOR THE PAST 13 YEARS
- 3 PROVIDED BILINGUAL, BICULTURAL SPANISH SPEAKING SERVICES,
- 4 SIBLING PLACEMENTS AND OTHER SPECIALIZED CULTURAL SERVICES.
- 5 WHEN I TALK ABOUT ADOPTION, IT NOT FROM A LAYMAN BUT SOMEONE
- 6 WHO HAS WORKED FOR D.C.F.S. ADOPTIONS. I DIRECTED THE FIRST
- 7 LATINO F.F.A. ADOPTION PROGRAM. IN FACT, MR. RAY GONZALEZ WAS
- 8 ONE OF MY ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS. I HAVE BOARD MEMBERS WHO
- 9 HAVE OVER 20 YEARS OF ADOPTION EXPERIENCE. TO HAVE A SMALL
- 10 F.F.A. BE REOUIRED TO DO ADOPTION WORK IS NOT IN THE BEST
- 11 INTERESTS OF ALL INVOLVED BY A FISCAL AND LEGAL STANDARD. WITH
- 12 THE CURRENT NUMBER OF F.F.A.S DOING ADOPTION IN ADDITION TO
- 13 MORE AGENCIES WILL ONLY CREATE FISCAL HARDSHIP FOR AGENCIES
- 14 CURRENTLY DOING ADOPTION. ALSO D.C.F.S. IS CREATING MORE
- 15 DIRECT COMPETITION WITH ITS OWN ADOPTION AGENCY, WHICH ALSO
- 16 NEEDS TO MEET ITS QUOTA OF ADOPTION PLACEMENTS. THEREFORE I
- 17 DON'T SEE HOW IT MAKES SENSE TO HAVE 60 ADOPTION AGENCIES.
- 18 HENCE IT IS STRONGLY FELT THAT THEIR CRITERIA SHOULD BE
- 19 DROPPED OR REQUIREMENT FOR F.F.A. TO HAVE AN ADOPTION LICENSE.
- 20 D.C.F.S. LACK OF ADEQUATE RESPONSE TO AGENCY PROTESTS S.O.Q.
- 21 DENIAL. U.S.A. ASKED THE BOARD TO FORM S.C. OF ITS RIGHTS,
- 22 WITH REGARDS TO ITS RIGHTS AND PROTOCOL FOR ADDRESSING
- 23 DISCRIMINATORY PRACTICES. TODAY NOTHING SPECIFICALLY RELATED
- 24 TO THIS MATTER HAS BEEN--



SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU, SIR. 1 2 DR. ERNEST NUNEZ: IN CLOSING, I'D LIKE TO THANK THE BOARD OF 3 SUPERVISORS TO STRONGLY LOOK AT WHAT IS APPROPRIATE IN THIS 4 5 MATTER, THE _____ ADOPT LICENSE BE EXCLUDED FROM THE ____ REQUIREMENT. 6 7 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, SIR. 9 WILLIE SHERMAN: WILL SHERMAN. C.E.O. OF THE SHERMAN GROUP 10 11 INC., AND I AM HERE TO DIRECT MY CONCERNS TO SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. MY PROGRAM IS LOCATED IN THE PALMDALE LANCASTER 12 PASADENA AREA. AND AS A RESULT OF THE R.F.S.Q. AND OUR FAILURE 13 TO PROCESS OUR APPLICATION TIMELY, I HAD TO LAY OFF 40 PEOPLE 14 IN YOUR DISTRICT. HOWEVER, THAT'S NOT MY CONCERN TODAY. MY 15 16 CONCERN IS THAT, TWO. FIRST IS THAT I OWE THE COUNTY \$70,000. AND I'M TRYING TO BE CREATIVE ON HOW I'M GOING TO PAY THAT 17 18 MONEY WITHOUT A CONTRACT. THE OTHER IS AND I THINK I HAVE A 19 PROPOSAL WHICH YOUR STAFF HAVE COME TO ME AND SAID THAT THEY ARE GOING TO SIT DOWN WITH ME AND HEAR THE DETAILS OF THAT 20 21 PROPOSAL. THAT'S IT. 22 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: COULD WE HAVE THE DEPARTMENT COME UP? 24



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND WE'RE GOING TO HAVE YOU WORK WITH THE
- 2 AUDITOR-CONTROLLER OF THE COUNTY. BUT ONE OF THE PROBLEMS THAT
- 3 YOU WON'T BE ABLE TO USE THE NEW REVENUES GENERATED FROM THE
- 4 CONTRACT TO PAY THAT PAST DEBT. IT HAS TO BE DONE IN ANOTHER
- 5 WAY. BUT OUR AUDITOR-CONTROLLER WILL SIT DOWN WITH YOU.

6

- 7 WILLIE SHERMAN: BEFORE THEY RESPOND, LET ME-- I KNOW THAT. I
- 8 KNOW THAT I CAN'T USE.--

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL THEY'RE NOT GOING TO RESPOND TO YOU.
- 11 THEY'RE GOING TO RESPOND ON THIS ISSUE, YOUR PERSONAL ISSUE.

12

13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THEY WILL TALK ABOUT POLICY.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THEY WILL NOT RESPOND TO YOUR PERSONAL
- 16 ISSUE. YOU WILL DEAL WITH THEM.

- 18 WILLIE SHERMAN: LET ME RESPOND TO YOUR STATEMENT. I KNOW THAT
- 19 THEY CANNOT -- I CANNOT PAY MONIES FOR NEW CLIENTS TO SATISFY
- 20 OLD CLIENT DEBT, HOWEVER I HAVE A FOLLOWING OF DONORS WHO ARE
- 21 DONATING TO MY CAUSE. HOWEVER, THEY WILL NOT DONATE TO MY
- 22 CAUSE IF I'M NOT DEALING WITH L.A. COUNTY RESIDENTS. SO I NEED
- 23 TO COME UP WITH A CREATIVE WAY IN WHICH I CAN KEEP MY
- 24 CONTRACTS SO THAT I CAN QUALIFY FOR THOSE DONATIONS. THAT
- 25 DOESN'T NECESSARILY MEAN THAT PLACEMENT HAVE TO PLACE WITH ME.



- 1 THAT I WOULD BE DISCUSSING WITH THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER AND SO
- 2 FORTH. I WON'T WASTE YOUR TIME.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I'D LIKE TO ASK THE DEPARTMENT TO RESPOND
- 5 TO THE POSITION AND THE LANGUAGE THAT'S BEEN PROPOSED.

- 7 TRISH PLOEHN: TRISH PLOEHN WITH D.C.F.S. BASICALLY WHAT I'D
- 8 LIKE TO SHARE WITH THIS BOARD IS THAT THERE ARE TWO THINGS
- 9 THAT ARE ULTIMATELY IMPORTANT TO A CHILD, AND THAT IS THAT
- 10 THEY ARE SAFE AND THEIR NEEDS ARE BEING MET AND THAT THEY HAVE
- 11 A PERMANENT FAMILY. IN 1996, THE ADOPTION AND SAFE FAMILIES
- 12 ACT WAS PASSED. AND PART OF THAT ACT SAID THAT CHILD WELFARE
- 13 AGENCIES ACROSS THIS COUNTRY ARE MANDATED TO DO CONCURRENT
- 14 PLANNING. WHAT THAT MEANS IS, THAT EVERY CHILD WELFARE AGENCY,
- 15 EVERY PERSON THAT DEALS WITH CHILDREN IN A CHILD WELFARE
- 16 AGENCY IS REQUIRED TO FIRST AND FOREMOST WORK AT AN EXPEDITED
- 17 REUNIFICATION FOR THAT CHILD SAFELY BACK WITH THEIR OWN
- 18 FAMILY, BUT THAT WE ALSO ARE TO HAVE A SECONDARY BACK UP PLAN
- 19 FROM DAY ONE FOR PERMANENCY, A FALL BACK PLAN SO THAT IF THAT
- 20 REUNIFICATION CANNOT SAFELY OCCUR IN THE TIME FRAMES THAT THE
- 21 COURT AND THE LAW ALLOWS AND REQUIRES, THAT WE HAVE A
- 22 PERMANENT FAMILY FOR THAT CHILD. THAT'S WHAT IS IN THESE
- 23 CONTRACTS. IT IS SAYING THAT RATHER THAN WORKING ON
- 24 REUNIFICATION FOR 12 MONTHS, 18 MONTHS, THEN DECIDING WE'RE
- 25 GOING TO MOVE ON TO ADOPTION AND START PLANNING AROUND

20

22

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 ADOPTION, WE ARE GOING TO KNOCK THE BARRIERS DOWN THAT HAVE
- 2 BEEN IN PLACE IN THE DEPARTMENT UP UNTIL 2004 WHEN WE STARTED
- 3 DOING CONSOLIDATED HOME STUDIES THAT DELAYED PERMANENCY FOR
- 4 THESE CHILDREN. IF WE DO THOSE HOME STUDIES UP FRONT AND THAT
- 5 THE 18 MONTHS EXPIRES AND THAT CHILD CANNOT SAFELY GO HOME,
- 6 THEN WE HAVE FAMILIES THAT ARE ALREADY APPROVED THAT HOPEFULLY
- 7 BY THAT TIME, EVEN IF THEY HADN'T ENTERED INTO THIS BUSINESS
- 8 TO ADOPT A CHILD, THEY ONLY WANTED TO DO FOSTER CARE, WHAT WE
- 9 HAVE FOUND SO MANY TIMES IS THAT THEY HAVE FALLEN IN LOVE WITH
- 10 THESE CHILDREN. THEY HAVE CARED FOR THIS CHILD FOR 12 AND 18
- 11 MONTHS, SOMETIMES TWO YEARS AND MANY TIMES, EVEN THOUGH IT
- 12 WASN'T THEIR INTENT, THEY ARE AT THAT POINT SAYING, " I WANT
- 13 TO BECOME THIS CHILD'S PERMANENT FAMILY." AND THERE ARE NOT
- 14 ANY BARRIERS THAT ARE GOING TO REQUIRE THIS CHILD TO BE MOVED.
- 15 THERE IS NOT GOING TO BE ANY DELAYS THAT WILL CAUSE THE COURT
- 16 TO TAKE LONGER THAN IT NEEDS NEEDS TO TAKE. IN A CHILD'S EYES,
- 17 THERE IS A SENSE OF PERMANENCY. THERE IS A SENSE OF URGENCY
- 18 AROUND THAT PERMANENCY. AND I BELIEVE THAT WE, THE DEPARTMENT
- 19 AND THIS COUNTY OWES THAT TO EVERY CHILD IN ITS CARE.

21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ARE THERE QUESTIONS? [APPLAUSE.]

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MY QUESTION IS, THE PROBLEM THAT WE HAVE IS
- 24 WHEN CHILDREN GROW UP IN FOSTER CARE AND ARE NOT ADOPTED AND
- 25 THEN EMANCIPATED, THOSE ARE THE CHILDREN THAT END UP WITHOUT A



- 1 HOME, WITHOUT A FOUNDATION, WITHOUT A STRUCTURE AND
- 2 VICTIMIZED. THEY'RE THE ONES THAT ARE VICTIMIZED AND END UP
- 3 IN-- BEING VICTIMIZED IN CRIME OR ALSO BEING PERPETRATORS IN
- 4 CRIME, ENDING UP IN OUR CORONER'S OFFICE OR IN OUR JAILS. AND
- 5 THIS EFFORT IS ONE TO-- AND AGAIN WE NEED TO BE LOOKING AT THE
- 6 CHILD AND NOT SOMEBODY WHO MANY TIMES, SAD TO SAY, ARE MAKING
- 7 MONEY OFF OF THAT CHILD BY GIVING A TEMPORARY HOME AND NOT THE
- 8 FUTURE OPPORTUNITY THAT THEY NEED TO HAVE, THAT FAMILY
- 9 SUPPORT. I THINK THE FIRST LADY WHO TESTIFIED WHO ADOPTED THE
- 10 THREE CHILDREN FROM FIVE ACRES AND THEN HAVING THAT SUPPORT
- 11 FROM FIVE ACRES AND THE DEPARTMENT AS THEY HAVE NOW BEEN
- 12 ADOPTED, THOSE THREE CHILDREN ARE GOING TO BE PRODUCTIVE
- 13 MEMBERS OF OUR SOCIETY VERSUS THOSE THAT ARE IN A HOME AND
- 14 TURNED OUT ON THE STREET AT 18 WITHOUT THAT SUPPORT. WE HAVE
- 15 FAILED THEM BECAUSE WE ARE THEIR PARENTS. IF IN THIS AGENCY IF
- 16 WE DON'T PROVIDE, WE HAVE FAILED. THE CHILD HAS FAILED, BUT
- 17 WE'VE MADE THAT CHILD FAIL THROUGH OUR INACTIONS. JUDGE NASH,
- 18 AS I SAID EARLIER, HE WORKS ON THIS ISSUE 7 DAYS A WEEK. HE'LL
- 19 BE AT CONFERENCES WE ATTEND, ON A SATURDAY OR SUNDAY, HE'S
- 20 THERE. CUTTING THE TIME, TIME DELAYS DESTROY THAT CHILD. THAT
- 21 CHILD HAS A LIMITED TIME. IF WE CAN MAKE IT EASIER FOR
- 22 FAMILIES TO ADOPT, THAT'S CRUCIAL. THE DUAL CERTIFICATION
- 23 REOUIREMENT IS PROSPECTIVE NOT RETROACTIVE. AND FOSTER FAMILY
- 24 AGENCIES ARE PAID THREE TIMES THE FOSTER CARE RATE OF STATE
- 25 LICENSED HOMES. SO SOME, NOT ALL ARE IN IT FOR THE MONEY, BUT



- 1 SOME ARE, SAD TO SAY. BUT THIS PROGRAM HERE IS TO HELP THE
- 2 CHILD AND TO CUT THE RED TAPE AND TO PROVIDE A FUTURE FOR THAT
- 3 CHILD BY MAKING IT EASIER FOR ADOPTIONS. AND THAT'S WHAT WE
- 4 WANT. CHILDREN TO BE ADOPTED IN A HOME FOR LIFE AND NOT JUST
- 5 TEMPORARILY FOR A STIPEND FOR THE OWNER AND THEN TURNED OUT ON
- 6 THE STREETS WHEN THEY'RE 18.

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. SUPERVISOR MOLINA, DO YOU HAVE
- 9 ANY QUESTIONS? ALL RIGHT. MOVED BY ANTONOVICH. SECONDED BY
- 10 MOLINA. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

11

12 PATRICIA PLOEHN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

13

14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY DID NOT INDICATE.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: CAN WE DO OUR ADJOURNMENTS BEFORE WE DO THE
- 17 MARATHON?

18

19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SURE.

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE THAT WE ADJOURN IN
- 22 MEMORY OF RANDALL LEE WHO JUST PASSED AWAY THIS PAST WEEK HE
- 23 WAS A VALUED MEMBER OF THE LILLY ENTERPRISES WHERE HE SOLD
- 24 COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE. HE WAS A NATIVE OF LOS ANGELES, ACTIVE
- 25 MEMBER IN THE COMMUNITIES, GRADUATE OF LOYOLA HIGH SCHOOL. HE



- 1 SERVED ON THE BOARDS OF THE SALVATION ARMY, WAS GOING TO BE
- 2 THE NEW ROTARY CLUB PRESIDENT, MEMBER OF THE CATHOLIC BIG
- 3 BROTHERS AND BIG SISTERS COMMITTEE AND A MEMBER OF STEVE
- 4 COOLEY'S COMMITTEE ON CRIME PREVENTION AND A PAST DIRECTOR OF
- 5 THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY.
- 6 RANDALL'S MOTHER, LILLY LEE, WAS A CLOSE FRIEND OF MINE, A
- 7 SUPPORTER, AND I WATCHED RANDY MATURE INTO A SUCCESSFUL
- 8 LEADER. HE PASSED AWAY PREMATURELY AT THE AGE OF 52 THIS PAST
- 9 WEEK. ALSO EDIE ADAMS, THE ACTRESS SINGER WHO BECAME VERY
- 10 FAMOUS FOR THE KOVACS UNLIMITED, HER HUSBAND ERNIE KOVACS

12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL MEMBERS.

11

13

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND SHE WAS KNOWN MOST AS THE MURIEL CIGAR

- 15 LADY. MY COUSIN WAS THE CREATOR OF THAT, AS HE WAS THE CREATOR
- 16 OF MURIEL CIGARS. HE ALSO WAS THE SCHICKS 13, 14 BARBER
- 17 SHAVING, AND THE PAPERMATE TWINS ON THE PAPERMATE PEN
- 18 COMMERCIAL. I HAD THE OPPORTUNITY OF MEETING THEM AT THEIR
- 19 FIRST TELEVISION PERFORMANCE DOWN AT K.A.B.C. STUDIOS IN THE
- 20 OLDEN DAYS, WHEN MY COUSIN JACK SPURSEL TOOK ME TO INTRODUCE
- 21 ME. BUT SHE WAS A GREAT PERSON AND SHE LEAVES HER SON JOSHUA
- 22 AND HIS WIFE JENNIFER MILLS. DR. JENNIFER LAUREN SCOTT ERUAGA,
- 23 WHO WORKED FOR THE HIGH DESERT MEDICAL CENTER AND HERITAGE AND
- 24 LAKE LOS ANGELES OFFICES. SHE WAS ALSO THE MEDICAL DIRECTOR
- 25 FOR CARE-NET WOMEN'S RESOURCE CENTER AND VOLUNTEER AT GRACE



- 1 RESOURCE CENTER. SHE PASSED AWAY PREMATURELY AT THE AGE OF 48.
- 2 EUGENE DALE FORTNER, HE WAS-- THE AFTER WORLD WAR II, WHERE HE
- 3 RECEIVED FIVE BATTLE STARS, TWO PRESIDENTIAL CITATIONS AND A
- 4 BRONZE STAR, HE FOUNDED THE R.F.L. TOOL COMPANY, FORTNER
- 5 ENGINEERING, ALONG WITH HIS BROTHER W.L. FORTNER. AND HE
- 6 RETIRED IN 1991, WAS ACTIVE IN GLENDALE AS A BOARD OF
- 7 DIRECTORS OF THE GLENDALE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL FOUNDATION AND IN
- 8 THE ROTARY CLUBS. SYLVIA GOLDBERG, THE WIDOW OF AL GOLDBERG,
- 9 WHO WAS A GREAT FRIEND AND SUPPORTER. SHE PASSED AWAY THIS
- 10 MORNING. AL WAS THE POTENTATE OF THE SHRINERS' LODGE MANY
- 11 YEARS AGO AND SYLVIA WAS THE FIRST LADY. BUT SHE WAS KIND OF
- 12 LIKE OVERSEEING GODMOTHER OF MINE. WE APPRECIATE AND GIVE OUR
- 13 LOVE TO HER DAUGHTER LAINEE AND FAMILY. ANOTHER GOOD FRIEND
- 14 PASSED AWAY, ELVA IRENE LEE. SHE PASSED AWAY ON OCTOBER 9TH.
- 15 LONG TIME RESIDENT OF GLENDALE. SHE WAS QUITE ACTIVE IN THE
- 16 WEST LOS ANGELES REPUBLICAN PARTY AND THEN MOVED TO GLENDALE.
- 17 SHE'S SURVIVED BY HER FIVE SIBLINGS, ADA, KAM, ORA MAE, LOIS
- 18 YAMAMOTO AND AVA SUN, AND JUSTIN LEE IN HAWAII. A GOOD FRIEND,
- 19 FORMER DEPUTY TO ED EDELMAN, JIM MIYANO PASSED AWAY ON OCTOBER
- 20 13TH AT THE AGE OF 74.

21

23

22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL MEMBERS.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: JIM'S SON, JIM JUNIOR, WORKED IN MY OFFICE AS
- 25 ONE OF MY AIDS. HE WAS SENIOR DEPUTY FOR ASIAN AFFAIRS FOR 22



- 1 YEARS FOR SUPERVISOR EDELMAN. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS SON JIM
- 2 AND HIS DAUGHTER CARYLANN AND TWO GRAND DAUGHTERS. HOWARD
- 3 RUSSELL MOREFIELD, WHO WAS A VETERAN OF THE UNITED STATES AIR
- 4 FORCE IN THE KOREAN CONFLICT, AND WAS VOLUNTEER OF THE YEAR IN
- 5 ANTELOPE VALLEY. HE WORKED FOR N.A.S.A. FOR SEVERAL YEARS
- 6 BEFORE HE RETIRED. JAMES PASSOW, FORMER PAST PRESIDENT, JOHN
- 7 MARSHALL HIGH SCHOOL P.T.A. AND ACTIVE IN THE SCHOOLS IN THE
- 8 LAS VILLAS SILVER LAKE AREA PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 92.
- 9 MARTIN DEIRO, DEPUTY SHERIFF FOR 22 YEARS, PASSED AWAY. HE WAS
- 10 LAST ASSIGNED TO COMMERCIAL CRIMES BUREAU. LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 11 DEPUTY SHERIFF, RETIRED. GERALD FRANCIS "JERRY" CURRY. ANOTHER
- 12 SHERIFF'S DEPUTY WHO PASSED AWAY IS LOUIS BURTON, AND JOE
- 13 SIDNEY LOUIS, 27-YEAR VETERAN OF THE LOS ANGELES POLICE
- 14 DEPARTMENT. ETHEL LEE SLADE FROM THE ANTELOPE VALLEY. WABERN
- 15 JOHN VADEN WORKED LOS ANGELES COUNTY PARKS AND RECREATION
- 16 DIVISION. WANG YUNG-CHING, WHO BUILT THE FORMOSA PLASTICS
- 17 GROUP IN REPUBLIC OF CHINA AND MOST PROFITABLE MANUFACTURING
- 18 CONGLOMERATE PASSED AWAY ON OCTOBER 15TH AT THE AGE OF 91. HE
- 19 WAS ALSO INVOLVED IN BUSINESS OPPORTUNITIES IN THE UNITED
- 20 STATES AS WELL, AND MAINLAND CHINA. MELVIN CHURCHILL WHO
- 21 PASSED AWAY FROM THE ANTELOPE VALLEY AND PAULINE HIMES. THOSE
- 22 ARE MY ADJOURNMENTS.

24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED.

25



1	OKAY, WOULD YOU CALL IT UP? ITEM 58?
2	
3	>>SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ARE THERE ANY QUICK ITEMS THAT WE WERE
4	STILL HOLDING?
5	
6	SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NO I THINK WE JUST ABOUT SUPERVISOR
7	MOLINA?
8	
9	SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DO YOU WANT TO DO YOUR ADJOURNING MOTIONS?
10	DO YOU HAVE ANY ADJOURNING MOTIONS?
11	
12	SUP. ANTONOVICH: DOES KNABE HAVE ANY, AS WELL?
13	
14	SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I'LL DO MINE AFTER YOU'RE FINISHED. NO.
15	WAIT A MINUTE, WE HAVE NOT DONE 12.
16	
17	SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ONE OTHER ITEM MADAME CHAIR. AND IT WAS
18	REALLY TO ASK A QUESTION. IT WAS ON THE ISSUE OF THE MARTIN
19	LUTHER KING HOSPITAL \$33 MILLION ISSUE, THE BUDGET ISSUE?
20	
21	CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ITEM 54?
22	
23	SUP. ANTONOVICH: WAS THAT CONTINUED OR NOT.?
24	



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT WAS GOING TO BE CONTINUED BUT I HELD IT.
- 2 HANG ON. WHO ARE YOU GUYS? IS THIS ON THE OIL THING? BEFORE
- 3 YOU GET UP THERE, I JUST HAVE--

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE JUST HAVE THE TWO OTHER ITEMS TO TAKE
- 6 UP.

7

8 **SPEAKER:** SORRY.

9

10 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ITEM 54.

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THAT THE KING THING?

13

14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND THEN SUPERVISOR MOLINA.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE I UNDERSTAND WHAT'S
- 17 HAPPENING BY CONTINUING THIS TO JANUARY I UNDERSTOOD A FEW
- 18 MONTHS AGO WHEN THIS ALL STARTED AND THE 33 MILLION WAS SET
- 19 ASIDE, THAT WE WERE SETTING IT ASIDE IN THE ANTICIPATION THAT
- 20 IN THE EVENT THAT THE PATRONAGE OF THE M.A.C.C. AT KING WOULD
- 21 REACH A CERTAIN LEVEL THAT WOULD REQUIRE THE ADDITIONAL MONEY,
- 22 CORRECT?

- 24 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: SUPERVISOR, THIS WAS SET ASIDE INTO A
- 25 P.F.U. TO COME BACK AS WE CAN SHOW THAT WE WERE ABLE TO



- 1 INCREASE THE VISITS THERE FROM APPROXIMATELY 150,000 TOWARDS
- 2 THE 180 GOAL. THAT WAS LAST YEAR BECAUSE IT INCLUDED THE
- 3 PERIOD WHEN THE HOSPITAL WAS CLOSED WE WERE AT 120,000 VISITS.

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: RIGHT.

6

- 7 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: WHEN WE WERE HERE IN JUNE AT THE BOARD, WE
- 8 WERE ASSERTING THAT WE WERE DOING APPROXIMATELY 150,000 VISITS
- 9 AT THAT TIME. AND THE REASON-- WELL, THIS WAS SET ASIDE WITHIN
- 10 THE MOTION TO SAY WE WOULD COME BACK AFTER WE COULD SHOW THAT
- WE'RE EXCEEDING 150,000 VISITS.

12

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHERE ARE YOU NOW?

14

- 15 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: WE'RE PROJECTING THAT WE WILL BE COMING IN
- 16 WITH-- I'M LOOKING FOR THE NUMBER SO I WON'T MISQUOTE THAT.
- 17 WE'RE PROJECTING THAT WE'RE GOING TO BE EXCEEDING THE 153,000
- 18 VISITS THAT IS OUR ANNUALIZED TOTAL DURING JULY AND AUGUST.
- 19 AND IN FACT WE'RE PROJECTING CLOSER TO 170,000 VISITS ONCE WE
- 20 GET ALL THE CLINIC CHANGES THAT WE'RE DOING IMPLEMENTED.

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU WERE AT 150,000 VISITS IN JUNE.

23

24 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: THAT'S RIGHT.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S AN ANNUAL FIGURE.

2

3 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: THAT'S AN ANNUAL FIGURE.

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BASED ON WHAT YOU WERE DOING, SO THAT WAS
- 6 FOR THE LAST FISCAL YEAR, YOU WERE AT 150,000.

7

- 8 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: THE FISCAL YEAR AS A WHOLE WE HAD 120,000
- 9 FOR THE YEAR.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT ON JUNE YOU WERE AT A PACE THAT WOULD
- 12 HAVE BEEN 150 IF IT HAD BEEN THE WHOLE YEAR?

13

14 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: CORRECT.

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SINCE JUNE, HAVE YOU MEASURED IT?

17

- 18 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: IN JULY AND AUGUST, OUR TOTAL VISITS
- 19 AVERAGED 12,800 PER MONTH, WHICH IS AN ANNUALIZED TOTAL OF
- 20 153,600.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: FOR BOTH JULY AND AUGUST. THAT WAS THE
- 23 AVERAGE OF THOSE TWO MONTHS, AND THAT WAS THE ANNUALIZED TOTAL
- 24 BASED ON THOSE TWO MONTHS.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ARE THOSE LIGHT MONTHS TYPICALLY?

2

- 3 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: I DON'T BELIEVE SO, SUPERVISOR. TYPICALLY
- 4 THE MONTHS WE SEE A LITTLE BIT LIGHTNESS IS WHEN WE HAVE LESS
- 5 WORK DAYS IN THE MONTH WITH A LOT OF HOLIDAYS.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO IN JULY AND AUGUST, YOU WERE ON A PACE
- 8 FOR AN ANNUALIZED FIGURE OF 153,000. IF THAT'S WHAT YOU ENDED
- 9 UP HAVING AT THE END OF THE FISCAL YEAR IS 153,000, HOW MUCH
- 10 OF THAT \$33 MILLION WOULD YOU HAVE TO DRAW DOWN ON? NONE OF
- 11 IT? ALL OF IT? SOME OF IT?

12

- 13 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: I THINK THAT WOULD BE A RECOMMENDATION
- 14 WE'D HAVE TO WORK WITH THE C.E.O. ON. THE ISSUE IS WE DO NEED
- 15 THAT IN TERMS OF TRYING TO FINANCE THE M.A.C.C.. OTHERWISE WE
- 16 HAVE A FURTHER GAP IN OUR BUDGET. BUT WE THINK THAT BY THE
- 17 TIME THIS COMES BACK IN JANUARY, WE'LL BE ABLE TO PROVE THAT
- 18 WE'RE SUBSTANTIALLY ON THE PATH, ON THE TRAJECTORY TO GET TO
- 19 NOT 153 BUT CLOSER TO 170,000 VISITS FOR THE YEAR AND
- 20 THEREFORE WE'D ASK THE BOARD TO RESTORE THE ENTIRE 33 MILLION.

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT MY QUESTION WAS IF IT STAYED AT 153--

23

24 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: I HOPE WE DON'T GET TO THAT POSITION.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I KNOW YOU DO. BUT I'M JUST TRYING TO
- 2 UNDERSTAND. 170,000 IS WHAT YOU NEED \$33 MILLION FOR.

3

4 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: RIGHT.

5

6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IF IT WAS 153, HOW MUCH LESS WOULD YOU NEED?

7

- 8 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: WELL, WE NEED THE 33 TO STAFF THE STAFFING
- 9 AS WE CURRENTLY HAVE IT AT THE M.A.C.C. AND THE SUPPLIES AND
- 10 SERVICES. THE CHALLENGE FOR US IS WE HAVE THE STAFFING TO
- 11 ACHIEVE 180,000 VISITS. WE HAVE TO DO SOME RESTRUCTURING OF
- 12 THE CLINICS, ADD SOME MORE HOURS AT CLINICS THAT ARE
- 13 OVERSUBSCRIBED WHERE THERE'S PEOPLE ON WAITING LISTS, MOVE
- 14 SOME STAFF FROM ONE CLINIC OR ANOTHER SO THAT WE CAN IN FACT
- 15 BRING IN MORE PEOPLE AND TREAT MORE PEOPLE AND THEREFORE REACH
- 16 THE HIGHER GOAL. AND I THINK WE'RE MAKING PROGRESS ON THAT.
- 17 AND THAT'S REALLY WHAT WE NEED TO DO. OTHERWISE WE WILL HAVE A
- 18 BUDGET GAP IF WE DON'T GET THAT 33 MILLION.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHETHER YOU GET TO 170,000 OR NOT, YOU'RE
- 21 GOING TO NEED THE 33 MILLION?

22

23 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: THAT'S CORRECT.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THERE'S NO ADDITIONAL COST TO GO FROM 153
- 2 TO 180, IF YOU ADDED 17,000?

3

- 4 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: IT'S IN THE BUDGET. OBVIOUSLY THERE'S SOME
- 5 OF THE SUPPLIES AND SERVICES COSTS THAT TIE IN TO HOW MANY
- 6 VISITS WE HAVE THAT IF WE DON'T GET THERE WOULD BE LESS AND WE
- 7 WOULD HAVE SOME SAVINGS. BUT SINCE THE MAJORITY OF OUR COSTS
- 8 ARE STAFFING AND WE'RE STAFFED AT THE LEVEL BASED UPON THE
- 9 H.M.A. RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WOULD GET US TO 180,000, WE NEED
- 10 THOSE STAFF. THE OTHER AREA, FROM THE REPORTS THAT WE GAVE IS
- 11 WE'RE CONTINUING TO TRY TO REDUCE REGISTRY. AND WHERE WE HAVE
- 12 REGISTRY THERE AND FILL IN WITH PERMANENT STAFF, TO THE EXTENT
- 13 WE DO THAT, WE'LL BRING COSTS DOWN A BIT, AS WELL.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ARE YOU SAYING THAT YOU ARE NOW STAFFED AS
- 16 THOUGH YOU HAVE THE \$33 MILLION?

17

18 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: THAT'S CORRECT.

19

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHY ARE YOU POSTPONING THIS TILL JANUARY?

21

- 22 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: TO GET FURTHER CLARITY, THERE ARE A NUMBER OF
- 23 THINGS. ON THE CLINIC CONFIGURATION.

24

25 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR:.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I WON'T EVEN GO THERE. BUT STICK TO THE
- 3 ISSUE THAT HE IS NOW SPENDING MONEY AS THOUGH HE HAS THE 33
- 4 MILLION. JANUARY WE'LL BE HALFWAY THROUGH THE FISCAL YEAR. SO
- 5 YOU KNOW THAT HE WILL SPEND AT LEAST FOR THE FIRST HALF OF THE
- 6 YEAR, HE WILL SPEND MONEY THAT HE NOW DOESN'T HAVE THAT IS
- 7 SITTING IN THIS SIDEBAR P.F.U. WHY WOULDN'T YOU GIVE HIM THAT
- 8 MONEY OR TELL HIM NOT TO SPEND IT?

9

- 10 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: BECAUSE IF IN FACT THE GROWTH DOESN'T OCCUR AS
- 11 PLANNED, HE MAY NOT NEED THE FULL 33.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT THAT'S NOT WHAT HE JUST SAID. WHAT HE
- 14 SAID WAS, HE IS SPENDING IT, IT DOESN'T MATTER WHETHER HE'S AT
- 15 150 OR 180, HE'S GOING TO NEED THE 33. IS THAT WHAT YOU JUST
- 16 SAID?

17

- 18 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: I SAID THAT IN TERMS OF THE STAFFING. I
- 19 SAID WE MAY HAVE SOME SAVINGS ON THE SUPPLY SIDE.

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU MAY HAVE SOME SAVINGS ON THE SUPPLY SIDE
- 22 NO MATTER WHAT HAPPENS. BUT RIGHT NOW-- I'M GOING TO ASK YOU
- 23 AGAIN. RIGHT NOW YOU ARE SPENDING AS THOUGH -- YOU HAVE A
- 24 BUDGET THAT INCLUDES THIS \$33 MILLION. YOU JUST DON'T HAVE THE
- MONEY.



SUP. MOLINA: BECAUSE THEY WON'T CUT BACK STAFF.

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: JOHN?

5

- 6 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: WE ARE SPENDING, IN TERMS OF STAFFING, AT
- 7 THE LEVEL WHERE WE WOULD NEED THE 33 MILLION.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO IT IS NOW OCTOBER 21ST. WE'RE IN THE
- 10 SECOND QUARTER OF THE FISCAL YEAR. HE HAS SPENT A QUARTER OF
- 11 THAT 33 MILLION, LET'S JUST MAKE IT SIMPLE. THAT'S OVER \$8
- 12 MILLION THAT HE HAS SPENT. AT LEAST YOU SHOULD GIVE-- I MEAN
- 13 I'M JUST TRYING TO UNDERSTAND. IS THIS ALL AN OPTICAL THING?
- 14 IS THIS ABOUT OPTICS, NOT MOVING, THE SAME THING WE HAD A
- 15 COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO? EITHER HE NEEDS THE MONEY OR HE DOESN'T
- 16 NEED THE MONEY. IF YOU DON'T THINK HE NEEDS THE MONEY, WHY ARE
- 17 YOU LETTING HIM SPEND IT IF HE DOESN'T HAVE IT? I'M JUST
- 18 TRYING TO UNDERSTAND HOW ANY DEPARTMENT IS ALLOWED TO SPEND
- 19 MONEY THAT MAY BE ON PAPER IN HIS BUDGET BUT DOESN'T HAVE THE
- 20 CASH TO SPEND IT.

- 22 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: AT THIS POINT IN TIME HIS BUDGET IS SUFFICIENT
- 23 TO CARRY HIM THROUGH JANUARY. IF THE GROWTH DOESN'T OCCUR AND
- 24 IN FACT WILL REQUIRE TO DOWNSIZE SOME OF THE STAFFING, WHICH
- 25 WE'VE DONE ALREADY, THEY WOULD BE MOVING MONEY IN AND POSSIBLY



- 1 MOVING OUT. WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO IS LET THE DUST SETTLE
- 2 SOMEWHAT. SO BECAUSE HE HAS-- IF YOU LOOK AT HIS BUDGET IN
- 3 TOTAL, THERE'S MORE THAN ENOUGH TO GET HIM THROUGH JANUARY.
- 4 AND THEN THERE'S SOME OTHER DECISIONS HE'S MAKING.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHERE IS HE GOING TO GET THE MONEY? WHERE IS
- 7 IT IN HIS BUDGET THAT HE HAS MORE THAN ENOUGH? WHERE IS IT
- 8 COMING FROM?

9

- 10 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: HIS CURRENT BUDGET ALLOCATION WILL CARRY HIM
- 11 THROUGH AT LEAST JANUARY. IF YOU LOOK AT HIS CURRENT STAFFING
- 12 LEVEL, HIS CURRENT DEMAND ON SUPPLIES AND OTHER SERVICES, HE
- 13 HAS THAT MONEY. THIS 33, IF HE DOESN'T GET THE 33, HE WILL NOT
- 14 HAVE INSUFFICIENT FUNDS TO CARRY HIM THROUGH JANUARY.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DIDN'T WE APPROVE A BUDGET THAT HAS THE
- 17 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES STILL IN THE RED BY 30 OR \$40
- 18 MILLION? ISN'T THAT WHAT WE ENDED UP DOING?

- 20 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: SUPERVISOR, THE SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET YOU
- 21 PASSED AT THAT POINT WAS BALANCED. THE SCHEDULE WE GAVE YOU
- 22 WHEN WE TALKED ABOUT IT A COUPLE WEEKS BEFORE THAT IN
- 23 SEPTEMBER HAD ABOUT \$43 MILLION ON DEFICIT THAT WE WERE
- 24 PROJECTING AFTER WE FINISHED WITH THE SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET, AND
- 25 THAT INCLUDED SOME ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURES.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE BOTTOM LINE IS YOU ARE OPERATING \$43
- 3 MILLION IN THE RED RIGHT NOW. SO I COME BACK TO MR. FUJIOKA.
- 4 WHERE IS THIS MONEY THAT YOU SAYS HE HAS? HE IS SPENDING THE
- 5 SECOND HALF OF THE FISCAL YEAR'S MONEY IN THE FIRST HALF OF
- 6 THE FISCAL YEAR AND YOU CALL THAT MONEY? THIS IS KIND OF
- 7 SIMPLE. EITHER-- IF HE HAS 100 PEOPLE WORKING AT THE M.A.C.C.,
- 8 FOR THE SAKE OF EASIER MATH, AND IT COST HIM A MILLION DOLLARS
- 9 TO MEET PAYROLL EVERY MONTH, AND HE'S SPENDING A MILLION
- 10 DOLLARS A MONTH, BUT HE ONLY HAS A HALF MILLION DOLLARS IN HIS
- 11 BUDGET, THEN WHERE'S THE OTHER HALF MILLION COMING FROM EVERY
- 12 MONTH?

13

- 14 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: AGAIN TRYING TO USE YOUR EXAMPLE. IF HE HAS
- 15 THAT MILLION DOLLARS PAYROLL REQUIREMENT RIGHT NOW AND IN FACT
- 16 HE HAS 8 MILLION IN HIS ACCOUNT, THAT WOULD CARRY HIM FOR THE
- 17 FIRST SIX MONTHS OF THE YEAR. WE ARE STILL GOING THROUGH OUR
- 18 PROCESS OF FULLY IMPLEMENTING H.M.A. REOUIREMENTS AND ALSO
- 19 BRINGING UP SOME CLINICS IN THE OUTPATIENT SURGICAL PROGRAM.
- 20 IT'S NOT THAT HE HAS INSUFFICIENT FUNDS TO CARRY HIM THROUGH
- 21 JANUARY. IF WE WANTED TO-- IF YOU WANTED TO MOVE THAT MONEY
- 22 NOW, THAT COULD BE DONE, BUT WE DIDN'T SEE IT.

23

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT WAS YOUR RECOMMENDATION ORIGINALLY.



- 1 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE DIDN'T SEE A SENSE OF URGENCY DOING IT THIS
- 2 MONTH AND TODAY. WE FELT THAT IT WOULD BE REASONABLE TO PUT IT
- 3 OFF FOR A COUPLE OF MONTHS AND THEN IN JANUARY WHEN WE MAKE
- 4 SOME OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS TO YOU WITH RESPECT TO THE ENTIRE
- 5 BUDGET, WE WOULD MOVE FORWARD AT THAT TIME.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LET ME GO BACK TO THE EXAMPLE. IT SOUNDS
- 8 LIKE TO ME THAT HE HAS A BUDGET OF SO MUCH MONEY FOR THE YEAR.
- 9 HE MAY BE OVERSPENDING IT IN THE FIRST HALF OF THE YEAR.
- 10 ESSENTIALLY WHEN YOU GET TO JANUARY IF IT GOES AT THIS PACE,
- 11 HE WILL NOT HAVE ENOUGH MONEY IN THE SECOND HALF OF THE YEAR
- 12 TO MEET HIS OBLIGATIONS BASED UPON WHAT OUR PROJECTIONS ARE,
- 13 BASED ON HIS BUDGET, CORRECT? IS THAT WHAT YOU MEAN WHEN YOU
- 14 SAY HE'S GOT ENOUGH MONEY NOW TO GET THROUGH TO JANUARY?

15

- 16 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: AS FAR AS BEING SHORT OF HIS EXPECTATIONS? THE
- 17 TOTAL BUDGET FOR THE M.A.C.C. IS HOW MUCH, JOHN? I THINK IF
- 18 I'M READING IT RIGHT IT'S CLOSE TO \$200 MILLION TOTAL BUDGET
- 19 FOR THE M.A.C.C..

20

21 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: I DON'T KNOW IF I'VE GOT THAT WITH ME.

22

23 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IS IT THIS PAGE RIGHT HERE?



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND YOU MIGHT ALSO SAY WHAT IS THE WAITING
- 2 TIME FOR SOME OF THE PROCEDURES.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HANG ON. I JUST WANT TO GET THE NUMBERS.
- 5 THEN WE CAN GET--

6

- 7 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: THE TOTAL BUDGET FOR THE M.A.C.C. COMES IN
- 8 ABOUT 210, \$211 MILLION.

9

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHAT WAS THE POINT ON THAT?

11

- 12 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THE POINT BEING THAT IS CURRENTLY WHAT'S IN
- 13 HIS BUDGET RIGHT NOW. ARE YOU CONCERNED WHETHER OR NOT HE HAS
- 14 SUFFICIENT FUNDS TO CARRY HIM THROUGH JANUARY? OR WHETHER OR
- 15 NOT--

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, I'M CONCERNED ABOUT WHETHER HE HAS
- 18 ENOUGH MONEY TO GET THROUGH JUNE. I GUESS WHAT I'M CONCERNED
- 19 ABOUT IS THE CREDIBILITY OF THE BUDGETING PROCESS. IF HE'S GOT
- 20 A \$210 MILLION BUDGET FOR THE M.A.C.C., THAT INCLUDES THE 33
- 21 MILLION, DOESN'T IT, JOHN?

22

23 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: THAT'S RIGHT.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: EXCEPT THAT YOU DON'T HAVE THE 33 MILLION
- 2 BECAUSE IT'S BEING WITHHELD FROM YOU. SO YOU HAVE A \$210
- 3 MILLION BUDGET BUT YOUR REVENUES THAT YOU HAVE, THE CASH THAT
- 4 YOU HAVE WILL BE MINUS 33 MILLION OF THAT, 177 MILLION,
- 5 CORRECT?

6

- 7 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: I WANT TO BE CLEAR THAT WHETHER I ANSWER
- 8 THAT QUESTION ABOUT WHETHER THE 33 MILLION IS CORRECT.

9

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. FAIR ENOUGH.

11

12 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: SHEILA CAN HELP ME WITH THAT?

13

- 14 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I HAVE TO WAIT FOR A SECOND TO ANSWER THE
- 15 QUESTION. THE CLARIFICATION YOU'RE ASKING FOR NOW IS?

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHETHER THE 210 MILLION INCLUDES THE \$33
- 18 MILLION.

19

- 20 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: AND THAT'S WHAT I WANTED TO MAKE SURE I
- 21 WAS ANSWERING THAT QUESTION CORRECTLY.

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HE WANTS TO BE SURE HE IS RIGHT ABOUT THAT
- 24 THAT, WHICH IS FAIR ENOUGH.



1 SHIELA SHIMA: I'M SORRY. IF I COULD HAVE THE QUESTION AGAIN.

2

- 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO PROBLEM. THE BUDGET OF THE M.A.C.C. IS
- 4 210 MILLION FROM WHAT HE JUST SAID.

5

6 SHEILA SHIMA: IT DOES NOT INCLUDE THE \$33 MILLION.

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DOES NOT INCLUDE THE \$33 MILLION.

9

- 10 SHEILA SHIMA: CORRECT. THIS IS THE BUDGET THAT WAS ADOPTED AS
- 11 PART OF SUPPLEMENTAL. THE \$33 MILLION WAS MOVED FROM THE
- 12 M.L.K. M.A.C.C. BUDGET AND PUT INTO THE P.F.U.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THE BUDGET IS 20. AT WHAT PACE ARE YOU
- 15 SPENDING? ARE YOU SPENDING AT A PACE THAT WOULD BE 243 MILLION
- 16 BY THE TIME THE YEAR IS OUT?

17

- 18 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: FOR THE PORTION OF THAT THAT REPRESENTS
- 19 THE SALARIES AND EMPLOYEE BENEFITS, THE ANSWER IS YES. SO
- 20 ROUGHLY LET'S SAY 60 OR 70 PERCENT OF THE BUDGET WE ARE
- 21 SPENDING, OF THE VARIABLE BUDGET, WE ARE SPENDING AT THAT RATE
- 22 BECAUSE WE HAVE ALL THOSE POSITIONS THAT WE'RE UTILIZING.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT YOU'RE PAYING FOR. AND THE OTHER 30 TO
- 25 40 PERCENT IS SUPPLIES AND THAT SORT OF THING?

21

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: IT'S SUPPLIES AND SERVICES 2 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU DON'T KNOW YET--4 5 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: WE SHOULD BE UNDER SPENDING ON THAT BASED 6 UPON THE VOLUME WE HAVE. 7 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO AT THE VERY LEAST YOU KNOW YOU'RE GOING 9 TO NEED 20 OF THE 30-- ROUGHLY 20 OF THE 33, 22 OF THE \$33 10 MILLION. 11 12 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: THAT'S A REASONABLE ASSUMPTIONS IF WE 13 DON'T MAKE ANY CUTS IN POSITIONS LATER IN THE YEAR AFTER 14 15 JANUARY. 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MY ONLY QUESTION TO YOU, BILL, IS I'M JUST 17 18 TRYING TO UNDERSTAND WHY -- WE DON'T HOLD BACK USUALLY, 19 TYPICALLY WE DON'T HOLD BACK A CHUNK OF MONEY FROM AN ORGANIZATION FROM A DEPARTMENT'S BUDGET IN A P.F.U., 20

22 PACE TO APPROACH \$240 MILLION. SO I'M JUST TRYING TO

23 UNDERSTAND WHY THIS ONE, WHY HOLD THIS ONE TO JANUARY? WHY NOT

ESPECIALLY ON ONE WHERE HE'S TELLING YOU HIS STAFFING IS AT A

- 24 HOLD EVERYTHING IN THE DEPARTMENT TILL JANUARY OR HALF OF
- 25 THEIR IN THE BUDGET UNTIL JANUARY. THEY HAVE ENOUGH MONEY TO



- 1 GO THROUGH HALF OF THEIR BUDGET YEAR WITH HALF OF THEIR MONEY.
- 2 WHAT'S THE REASON FOR IT?

3

- 4 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IF THE CONSEQUENCE WAS THE DEPARTMENT HAD
- 5 INSUFFICIENT FUNDS TO CARRY US THROUGH JANUARY OR EVEN THROUGH
- 6 MARCH GIVEN THE CURRENT AMOUNT OF MONEY IN THEIR BUDGET, I
- 7 WOULD UNDERSTAND. WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO IS DELAY THIS MOVING
- 8 THE MONEY FROM THE P.F.U. FOR A COUPLE MONTHS TO GET CLARITY.
- 9 BECAUSE ONE HE SAID AT MINIMUM \$20 MILLION. THAT'S A GUESS
- 10 RIGHT NOW. WE KNOW THAT OF THE \$33 MILLION IT IS UNLIKELY HE'S
- 11 GOING TO USE THAT TOTAL AMOUNT. THE BASIC ISSUE OF JUST THE
- 12 SUPPLIES, HIS S&S AND WHETHER OR NOT THAT WILL BE USED. SO DO
- 13 WE SAY MOVE 20 NOW? MOVE 22? MOVE 25 WHEN WE HAVE MORE CLARITY
- 14 IN JANUARY? AND IT DOESN'T-- BY NOT MOVING IT TODAY, IT HAS NO
- 15 IMPACT ON THE CURRENT SERVICES THAT ARE BEING PROVIDED AT THE
- 16 M.A.C.C.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WE CAN SAY THAT ABOUT YOUR DEPARTMENT, MY
- 19 DEPARTMENT, ANY DEPARTMENT, THAT YOU COULD HOLD BACK A
- 20 PERCENTAGE, A SMALL PERCENTAGE BECAUSE YOU DON'T-- BECAUSE
- 21 THEY HAVE ENOUGH MONEY TO GET TO JANUARY. EVERYBODY HAS ENOUGH
- 22 MONEY TO GET TO JANUARY. IT'S JUNE THAT WE WORRY ABOUT.

- 24 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: BUT THE ONLY DIFFERENCE WITH THIS SITUATION IS
- 25 YOU HAVE-- THE M.A.C.C. IS RELATIVELY NEW. IT HASN'T BEEN IN



- 1 EXISTENCE FOR THAT LONG A TIME. WE RECENTLY WENT THROUGH A
- 2 DOWNSIZING OF THE M.A.C.C. WE'RE STILL IN THE PROCESS OF FULLY
- 3 IMPLEMENTING THE H.M.A. RECOMMENDATIONS. WE'RE LOOKING AT THE
- 4 CLINIC STRUCTURE AND THE CONFIGURATION. IF IT'S THE PLEASURE
- 5 OF THE BOARD TO MOVE IT NOW, THAT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE. BUT WE
- 6 THOUGHT AS WE MOVE FORWARD, WE WILL TAKE IT A STEP AT A TIME
- 7 AND DO IT WITH JUST THAT.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT WAS YOUR RECOMMENDATION ORIGINALLY TO
- 10 MOVE IT NOW, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, AS I RECALL IT. IT WAS GOING
- 11 TO BE MOVED NOW, WHENEVER WE DID THE BUDGET, TWO WEEKS AGO.

12

- 13 SHEILA SHIMA: AS I RECALL, THAT WAS THE RECOMMENDATION THAT
- 14 WAS INCLUDED IN THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES MEMO TO THE
- 15 BOARD WHEN THEY PROVIDED THE UPDATED INFORMATION. HOWEVER, IN
- 16 REVIEWING WHAT WAS PRESENTED IN THE MEMO, MANY OF THE
- 17 PROPOSALS HAD YET TO BEGIN. AND WE FELT THAT BY WAITING UNTIL
- 18 JANUARY, WE WOULD HAVE MORE INFORMATION IN TERMS OF THE
- 19 PROCESS THEY WERE GOING TO PUT IN PLACE TO INCREASE THE VISITS
- 20 AND WE'D BE ABLE TO COME BACK TO THE BOARD AT THAT TIME WITH
- 21 MORE DATA THAN WE HAD AT THE TIME WE CAME FORWARD WITH THE
- 22 SUPPLEMENTAL.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO YOUR OFFICE NEVER RECOMMENDED THAT WE PUT
- 2 THE 33 MILLION IN HIS BUDGET NOW? OR TWO WEEKS AGO? IN THE
- 3 CLOSING, BOOK CLOSING.

4

- 5 SHEILA SHIMA: THAT'S CORRECT. THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
- 6 SERVICES MADE THAT RECOMMENDATION. WE REVIEWED IT. AND UPON
- 7 REVIEW FELT THAT WE SHOULD COME FORWARD AT A LATER DATE, IN
- 8 JANUARY.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU KNOW HE'S SPENDING THE MONEY NOW ON THE
- 11 STAFFING, ON PERSONNEL THAT ASSUMES THAT 33 MILLION OR AROUND
- 12 THAT LEVEL, THAT PACE.

13

- 14 SHEILA SHIMA: YES. WE HAVE CONFIDENCE THAT THE DEPARTMENT IS
- 15 ON THE RIGHT TRACK. WE JUST DON'T HAVE ANY HARD DATA RIGHT NOW
- 16 TO PRESENT TO THE BOARD.

17

18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR MOLINA, DO YOU HAVE A QUESTION?

19

- 20 SUP. MOLINA: I DO. MR. SCHUNHOFF, RIGHT NOW HOW MANY OF THOSE
- 21 PEOPLE ARE ON CONTRACT? THEY'RE LIKE REGISTRY PEOPLE?

- 23 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: THAT'S THE TOTAL, BUT A LOT OF THEM ARE
- 24 OTHER REGISTRY. SUPERVISOR. I DON'T HAVE THE NUMBER HERE, I



- 1 BELIEVE THE NUMBER WHEN THE REPORT WAS MADE AT THE TIME WE
- 2 WERE DOING THE MITIGATIONS IN AUGUST WAS ABOUT 50.

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. SO THE ISSUE IS, IS THIS IS A
- 5 PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT ISSUE. AND THAT'S THE PROBLEM. ON THE DAY
- 6 THAT THEY ASKED FOR THIS AMOUNT OF MONEY, THEY DIDN'T HAVE THE
- 7 VISITS TO NEED THE NUMBER OF PERSONNEL THAT THEY WERE FUNDING.
- 8 NOW, THE ISSUE IS, IS IT A PERMANENT EMPLOYEE? DO YOU LET THEM
- 9 GO? WHAT DO YOU DO WITH THEM? WELL THE REALITY IS THAT A GOOD
- 10 NUMBER OF THEM ARE REGISTRY, INCLUDING CUSTODIANS. SO THIS IS
- 11 A MANAGEMENT ISSUE. IF YOU DON'T HAVE-- THERE'S NO NEED FOR
- 12 THAT MANY CUSTODIANS IF IN FACT YOU DON'T HAVE THE PATIENTS.
- 13 AND THAT'S THE ISSUE THAT THIS M.A.C.C. STILL HASN'T BEEN ABLE
- 14 TO CONTROL. AND SO THERE ARE ISSUES THAT WHEN YOU HAVE ENOUGH
- 15 PATIENTS FOR THAT TO WARRANT THAT MUCH STAFF, THAT'S WHEN YOU
- 16 SHOULD HAVE THE STAFF. I UNDERSTAND THE ISSUE WHEN THERE'S A
- 17 PERMANENT EMPLOYEE THAT IS THERE AS TO WHAT YOU DO WITH THEM.
- 18 BUT THERE'S ALL KINDS OF WAYS, I MEAN WE HAVE WAITING LISTS
- 19 FOR NURSES ALL DAY LONG EVERYWHERE ELSE. THE ISSUE IS THAT
- 20 THEY HAVE MORE STAFF THERE RIGHT NOW THAN THEY HAVE FOR THEIR
- 21 APPROPRIATE STAFFING OF VISITS. HOPEFULLY WHEN WE VISIT THIS
- 22 AGAIN AND WE ENCOURAGE -- BECAUSE WE WANT THE M.A.C.C. TO BUILD
- 23 UP ITS VISITS. IT'S JUST NOT DOING IT AS YET.

24

25 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THEY'RE AT 153.



1

2 SUP. MOLINA: RIGHT. ONCE THEY CAN ALL GET THERE WITH THE KIND

- 3 OF OUTREACH THAT NEEDS TO BE DONE AND THE DATA THAT'S THERE,
- 4 THEN WE'RE GOING TO KNOW. BUT THIS IS AN ISSUE OF NOT HAVING
- 5 PERSONNEL. WHEN I LOOKED AT THE NUMBER OF CUSTODIANS THAT YOU
- 6 HAVE FOR THE M.A.C.C., THAT WAS QUITE HIGH. AND IT'S TAKEN A
- 7 LOT OF PUSH. AND I HOPE THAT BY NOW THE REGISTRY CUSTODIANS
- 8 ARE GONE AND MAKING THE REGULAR CUSTODIANS THAT WORK FOR US DO
- 9 THE WORK OF CLEANING UP AS THEY'RE SUPPOSED TO. I UNDERSTAND
- 10 THEY DON'T WANT TO AND YOU'RE HAVING A MANAGEMENT PROBLEM IN
- 11 THAT REGARD. BUT WE SHOULDN'T BE PAYING FOR PEOPLE WHO DON'T
- 12 WANT TO CLEAN UP AND DO THE WORK.

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND ALSO THOSE WHO ARE CLEANING SHOULD BE,
- 15 IF IT'S THE M.A.C.C., THEY SHOULD BE ASSIGNED TO THE MACK FOR
- 16 MAINTENANCE RATHER THAN TO OTHER PARTS OF THE HOSPITAL. THAT'S
- 17 ANOTHER ISSUE THAT SHOULD BE ADDRESSED. YOU CANNOT PUT
- 18 EVERYTHING IN THE M.A.C.C. IF IT'S APPLIED TO THE REMAINDER OF
- 19 THE HOSPITAL IN TERMS OF MAINTENANCE. ALL RIGHT.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LET ME ASK THE C.E.O., EITHER MR. FUJIOKA OR
- 22 SHEILA, YOU AGREE THAT HE NOW HAS THE AUTHORITY TO SPEND MONEY
- 23 UP TO THAT \$33 MILLION, CORRECT? I MEAN HE'S TRYING TO DRIVE
- 24 THE PATRONAGE OF THE M.A.C.C. UP, THE 180,000, CORRECT?



1 SHEILA SHIMA: YES.

2

- 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO YOU'RE NOT TELLING HIM DON'T GO TO
- 4 180,000 BECAUSE YOU DON'T HAVE THE MONEY FOR IT, YOU'RE SAYING
- 5 GO THERE AND WE'LL GIVE YOU THE MONEY.

6

- 7 SHEILA SHIMA: CORRECT. BUT THE ISSUE WOULD BE THE FULL AMOUNT
- 8 IN HIS BUDGET, THAT TOTAL AMOUNT MAY NOT BE-- HIS TOTAL COSTS
- 9 MAY NOT BE AT THAT LEVEL. I GUESS THE ONLY THING I'M TRYING TO
- 10 CLARIFY IS, THE EXISTING STAFF HE HAS IN PLACE NOW, WE HAVE
- 11 NOT ASKED HIM TO REDUCE THAT NUMBER OF STAFF BECAUSE WE
- 12 BELIEVE HE NEEDS TO HAVE THOSE FOLKS IN PLACE IN ORDER TO GET
- 13 TO THE TARGET NUMBER OF VISITS.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: RIGHT. SO THE ANSWER TO MY QUESTION IS YES,
- 16 THAT HE IS AUTHORIZED TO SPEND AND HIRE AND DO WHATEVER HE
- 17 NEEDS TO DO AS THOUGH HE HAD THE \$33 MILLION.

18

19 SHEILA SHIMA: CORRECT. OR SOME PORTION OF IT.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT HANG ON. BUT WE HAVEN'T GIVEN HIM THE 33
- 22 MILLION. IS THAT GOOD BUDGETING? TO TELL A DEPARTMENT HEAD
- 23 SPEND UP TO X, BUT WE'RE ONLY GOING TO GIVE YOU X MINUS 33
- 24 MILLION.



1 SUP. MOLINA: NOBODY TOLD HIM TO SPEND UP TO X.

2

- 3 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IF YOU DON'T FEEL THAT IN FACT HE WILL NEED
- 4 THE FULL \$33 MILLION, WOULD IT BE REASONABLE TO TRANSFER THAT
- 5 MONEY RIGHT NOW? WE HAVE P.F.U.S THROUGHOUT THE WHOLE BUDGET.
- 6 UNTIL A DEPARTMENT HAS CLEARLY DEMONSTRATED THE NEED FOR THE
- 7 TOTAL AMOUNT, WE HOLD SOME MONEY BACK. WHAT HE'S BEEN TOLD TO
- 8 DO--

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NAME ME ANOTHER CASE WHERE YOU HAVE A P.F.U.
- 11 WHERE YOU ARE WITHHOLDING MONEY FROM A DEPARTMENT FOR A
- 12 PROGRAM THAT IS ONGOING, NOT FOR A CAPITAL PROJECT BUT FOR A
- 13 PROGRAM THAT IS ONGOING. NAME ME ONE.

14

- 15 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: LET ME HELP WITH THIS. THE TARGET IS TO GET TO
- 16 180,000.

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DO YOU KNOW OF ONE?

19

- 20 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I HAVE TO HAVE SOME HELP WITH THAT BECAUSE WE
- 21 DO HAVE-- IF YOU WANT, WE CAN GET SOME OF THAT INFORMATION FOR
- 22 YOU.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU MADE A STATEMENT THAT IT'S NORMAL. I
- 25 JUST QUESTION IT.



1

- 2 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IT IS A PROVISIONAL FUNDING. WE HAVE
- 3 PROVISIONAL FUNDING THROUGHOUT THE ENTIRE BUDGET.

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NOT FOR ONGOING PROGRAMS.

6

- 7 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE HAVE TOLD THIS DEPARTMENT TO GET TO A
- 8 CERTAIN VISIT LEVEL, THAT THEY'RE STRIVING TO ACHIEVE THAT
- 9 VISIT LEVEL. HE'S TOLD YOU RIGHT NOW THAT IN ALL PROBABILITY,
- 10 HE'S NOT GOING TO USE THE FULL 33. WOULD IT BE A PRUDENT MOVE
- 11 AT THIS POINT IN TIME, KNOWING HE'S NOT GOING TO USE THE FULL
- 12 33, TO IN FACT MOVE THAT MONEY WHEN WE HAVE A DEFICIT, TO MOVE
- 13 THAT MONEY INTO THE DEPARTMENT? PROBABLY NOT. WOULD IT BE A
- 14 BETTER MOVE ONCE WE HAVE CLARITY ON EXACTLY WHAT HE NEEDS? AND
- 15 YET WE STILL ENSURE HE HAS SUFFICIENT FUNDING TO MEET HIS
- 16 CURRENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS. THAT MAKES SENSE BECAUSE WE'RE ONLY
- 17 2 1/2 MONTHS AWAY FROM THAT POINT IN TIME. I REALLY DON'T SEE
- 18 THE DOWN SIDES IN WAITING FOR 2 1/2 MONTHS IN IN FACT IT
- 19 DOESN'T IMPACT ANY OF HIS OPERATIONS, ANY OF HIS SERVICES. IF
- 20 IT DID, IF HE SAID , "WELL AS A CONSEQUENCE OF NOT MOVING THAT
- 21 MONEY TODAY I'M GOING TO HAVE TO SHUT DOWN SOME CLINICS, " THEN
- 22 IT WOULD BE A FOOLISH THING TO DO.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO HE'S NOT GOING TO HAVE TO SHUT DOWN
- 25 CLINICS BECAUSE HE'S BEEN TOLD HE COULD SPEND UP TO \$33



- 1 MILLION, THAT'S MY POINT. SO I GUESS WHAT I'M SAYING IS,
- 2 WITHHOLDING IT IN THE P.F.U. IS EITHER MEANINGLESS BECAUSE
- 3 IT'S JUST AN ACCOUNTING THING, OR IT'S RECKLESS BECAUSE HE'S
- 4 GOING TO OVERSPEND HIS BUDGET BY 33 MILLION. IT'S ONE OR THE
- 5 OTHER.

6

- 7 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: NOT BY JANUARY. ABSOLUTELY NOT BY JANUARY. AND
- 8 IF IN FACT HE DOESN'T NEED--

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, IT WON'T BE BY JANUARY BECAUSE THAT'S
- 11 ONLY SIX MONTHS INTO THE YEAR. BUT IF HE DOES OVERSPEND, BILL,
- 12 THEN HE'S GOING TO BE DIPPING INTO FEBRUARY AND MARCH'S MONEY
- 13 AND THEN YOU'RE GOING TO BE IN HERE IN FEBRUARY AND MARCH AND
- 14 SAYING YOU GOT TO BACKFILL THE MONEY THAT YOU SPENT.

15

16 SUP. MOLINA: BUT THAT'S WHAT HE HAS TO DO, IS COME IN JANUARY.

17

- 18 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE'RE COMING IN JANUARY TO DECISION TO MOVE
- 19 THE MONEY.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I THINK I MADE MY POINT ADEQUATELY. I JUST
- 22 DON'T THINK WE DO THAT WITH ANY OTHER DEPARTMENT, WITH ANY
- 23 OTHER PROGRAM. AND IF THERE IS ONE, I'D LIKE TO KNOW ABOUT IT.
- 24 WE DON'T DO THAT WITH-- I DON'T KNOW OF ANY OTHER ONE.

23

24

25

TO DEVELOP A PLAN.

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IS THERE A MOTION ON THIS? 1 2 3 SUP. MOLINA: MOVE IT. 4 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY MOLINA. SECONDED BY--6 7 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MADAME CHAIR, THIS ITEM--8 9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THIS IS GOING TO BE CONTINUED. JANUARY 27TH, 2009. 10 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. IF YOU HAVE 12 NO OBJECTION, I AM GOING TO GO ON TO ITEM 58. LET ME TELL YOU 13 HOW WE'RE GOING TO PROCEED. 14 15 16 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CAN I SWEAR EVERYBODY IN? 17 18 SUP. MOLINA: I HAVE ITEM 12. 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: HOW LONG DO YOU THINK IT WILL TAKE? 20 21 22 SUP. MOLINA: I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING. I NEED TO AMEND. IT IS A

MOTION BY SUPERVISOR MICHAEL ANTONOVICH AND MYSELF. AND THIS

IS WITH REGARD TO THE 211 INFORMATION LINE. AND WE ASKED THEM



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I'M SORRY. THERE ARE TWO PEOPLE WHO WANT TO
- 3 SPEAK ON 12.

4

5 SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S RIGHT. YOU CAN SIT THERE. THERE ARE?

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IT'S JULIA PUCHALT AND-- WELL, YOU'RE BOTH
- 8 IN FAVOR, RIGHT? JULIA AND MARIBEL, ARE YOU BOTH IN FAVOR?

- 10 SUP. MOLINA: YES. WE REVIEWED THIS MOTION WITH THEM. BASICALLY
- 11 IT IS AGAIN THE 211 INFORMATION LINE, THEY HAVE BEEN DOING A
- 12 VERY EFFECTIVE JOB. AND THEY HAVE MONEY IN THEIR PUBLIC
- 13 INFORMATION CAMPAIGNS, AND THE OUTREACH HAS WORKED OUT VERY
- 14 WELL. SO WHAT WE'RE ASKING THEM, BECAUSE OF THE SITUATION WITH
- 15 MANY OF THE FAMILIES THAT ARE IN NEED, CONSIDERING THE ECONOMY
- 16 TODAY, THE FIRES AND SO ON AND THE NEEDS WITH CHILDREN, I'M
- 17 TRYING NOT TO SUMMARIZE THE WHOLE MOTION, WE HAVE A COPY FOR
- 18 EACH OF YOU, WE ARE SAYING THAT WE REDIRECT THE REMAINING
- 19 \$179,000 OF PUBLIC INFORMATION CAMPAIGN TO DIRECT SERVICES FOR
- 20 TEMPORARY EMERGENCY HOUSING, FOOD AND FOOD VOUCHERS,
- 21 TRANSPORTATION NEEDS FOR HOMELESS FAMILIES WHO CONTACT 211,
- 22 THAT WE DIRECT THE C.E.O. TO WORK WITH 211 INFORMATION LINE
- 23 STAFF, THE LOS ANGELES HOMELESS SERVICE AUTHORITY, THE
- 24 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS TO IDENTIFY THE OPTIMAL USE OF THE
- 25 REDIRECTED MONEY THAT INCLUDES A PLAN ON HOW THE TRANSITION OF



- 1 THESE FAMILIES INTO A MORE STABLE ENVIRONMENT. AND WE'RE
- 2 ASKING THEM TO REPORT BACK IN 60 DAYS. THAT'S OUR MOTION.

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SECOND.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED AND SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 7 ORDERED. OKAY WE'RE NOW GOING TO TAKE UP ITEM 58. I WANT TO
- 8 TELL YOU HOW EXACTLY WE'RE GOING TO PROCEED. FIRST, EVERYONE
- 9 WHO INTENDS TO BE SPEAKING, PLEASE STAND TO BE SWORN.

10

- 11 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM 58 AS WELL AS ON ITEM 59, IF YOU
- 12 PLAN ON TESTIFYING BEFORE THE BOARD, PLEASE STAND AND RAISE
- 13 YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN IN. IN THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE
- 14 BEFORE THIS BOARD DO YOU SOLEMNLY AFFIRM TO TELL THE TRUTH,
- 15 THE WHOLE TRUTH AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH SO HELP YOU GOD?
- 16 THANK YOU. YOU MAY BE SEATED. AND ON ITEM 58, IF I COULD READ
- 17 THE SHORT TITLE IN FOR THE RECORD. THIS IS THE HEARING ON
- 18 AMENDMENT TO COUNTY CODE TITLE 22, PLANNING AND ZONING, TO
- 19 ESTABLISH THE BALDWIN HILLS COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICT TO
- 20 ESTABLISH NEW DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS AND OPERATING PROCEDURES
- 21 FOR OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION OPERATIONS IN THE UNINCORPORATED
- 22 PORTION OF THE INGLEWOOD OIL FIELD. THERE IS A DEPARTMENT
- 23 STATEMENT ON THIS MATTER AND WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE WAS
- 24 RECEIVED BOTH IN FAVOR AND OPPOSITION.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, HOW WE'RE GOING TO PROCEED IS
- 2 I'M GOING TO MAKE A SHORT STATEMENT AND THEN WE WILL HAVE A
- 3 PRESENTATION SO THAT EVERYONE KNOWS EXACTLY WHAT IS BEFORE US.
- 4 THAT PRESENTATION BY THE STAFF WILL TAKE APPROXIMATELY 38
- 5 MINUTES. AFTER THAT WE WILL HEAR FROM THE ELECTED OFFICIALS
- 6 WHETHER THEY'RE PRO OR CON. AND THEN WE'LL HEAR FROM THOSE
- 7 PEOPLE WHO ARE IN-- I THINK WE SHOULD HEAR FROM THOSE PEOPLE
- 8 WHO ARE OPPOSED. AND THEN WE WILL HEAR FROM THOSE PEOPLE WHO
- 9 ARE IN FAVOR.

10

- 11 SUP. MOLINA: MADAME CHAIR, I SEE THAT YOU HAVE WELL OVER 100
- 12 TO 150 PEOPLE.

13

14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IT WILL BE ABOUT FOUR HOURS OF PEOPLE, YES.

15

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: I'D LIKE TO SEE IF WE COULD LIMIT THE TESTIMONY
- 17 OF THOSE INDIVIDUALS. WHAT WOULD BE REASONABLE? TO ONE MINUTE?

- 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I THINK IT MIGHT BE REASONABLE TO LIMIT, IF
- 20 WE HAVE THIS NUMBER OF PEOPLE SO THAT WE CAN GET OUT BEFORE
- 21 TOO LATE TONIGHT. AND WE WANT TO HEAR FROM ALL THE PEOPLE WHO
- 22 ARE OPPOSED. AND THEN I WILL INTRODUCE THE MOTION, WHICH WILL
- 23 TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION ANY TESTIMONY THAT IS PRESENTED. ARE
- 24 ANY OF THE PEOPLE HERE ON THE HIGH RAIL OPPOSED? OR ARE THEY
- 25 ALL IN SUPPORT? PEOPLE HERE FOR HIGH RAIL? ARE YOU ALL IN



- 1 SUPPORT? SOME ARE OPPOSED. ALL RIGHT. AT THIS TIME I WOULD
- 2 LIKE TO READ A STATEMENT JUST TO PUT THIS WHOLE THING IN
- 3 PERSPECTIVE AND THEN WE'LL HEAR FROM THE STAFF. THE INGLEWOOD
- 4 OIL FIELD HAS BEEN A FIXTURE IN BALDWIN HILLS MORE THAN 80
- 5 YEARS. FOR MUCH OF THAT TIME, THE OIL FIELD AND THE
- 6 SURROUNDING COMMUNITY COEXISTED IN RELATIVE HARMONY. IN RECENT
- 7 YEARS, HOWEVER, LOCAL RESIDENTS EXPRESSED CONCERN REGARDING
- 8 ODORS, NOISE, VIBRATION AND VISUAL BLIGHT CAUSED BY THE
- 9 OPERATION. IN 2006, STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES JOINED IN
- 10 INVESTIGATING RESIDENT COMPLAINTS. TO ALLOW TIME FOR THE
- 11 COUNTY TO STUDY THE OIL OPERATION, THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AT
- 12 MY URGING ADOPTED AN INTERIM ORDINANCE AS A DIRECT AND
- 13 NECESSARY RESPONSE TO THE RESIDENTS' CONCERN. THE INTERIM
- 14 ORDINANCE PLACED TEMPORARY RESTRICTIONS ON OIL PRODUCTION
- 15 UNTIL AN ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS COULD BE PERFORMED AND
- 16 PERMANENT RESTRICTIONS ADOPTED. IN MAY 2007-- AND INCIDENTALLY
- 17 IF YOU HAVE THE BOARD LETTER, IT GOES INTO MORE DETAIL. THERE
- 18 WAS FIRST A VERY SHORT ORDINANCE PASSED ON AN EMERGENCY BASIS
- 19 TO PREVENT THE P.X.P. FROM FILING APPLICATIONS FOR ADDITIONAL
- 20 DRILLING. AND THEN THERE WAS ANOTHER ORDINANCE. BUT IN MAY
- 21 2007 WHEN THAT FIRST ORDINANCE TERMINATED, THAT MEASURE WAS
- 22 EXTENDED AND AMENDED TO PROHIBIT THE DRILLING OF NEW WELLS AND
- 23 THE DEEPENING OF EXISTING WELLS. THE MAXIMUM TWO-YEAR TERM OF
- 24 THE INTERIM ORDINANCE EXPIRED JUNE 2008. SINCE THAT TIME,
- 25 P.X.P., THE OPERATOR OF THE INGLEWOOD FIELD, HAS ENTERED INTO



- 1 AN AGREEMENT TO VOLUNTARILY REFRAIN FROM DRILLING ANY WELLS,
- 2 AND UNTIL TODAY. AND I'D LIKE TO BE VERY CLEAR. THE REASON WE
- 3 ARE TAKING THIS UP TODAY. IF WE DO NOT PUT REGULATIONS IN
- 4 TODAY, THEN THEY HAVE THE RIGHT TO GO TO THE STATE AND APPLY
- 5 FOR THEIR DRILLING AND TO START THAT DRILLING AGAIN. THAT'S
- 6 THE REASON WHY IT'S VERY URGENT THAT WE ACT NOW, NOW, MY
- 7 UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THERE HAS BEEN-- AND WE WILL GET SOMEONE
- 8 FROM P.X.P. TO SAY THEY WILL WAIT AN ADDITIONAL WEEK BEFORE
- 9 THEY FILE ANYTHING WITH D.O.G.G.R., WHICH IS THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 10 OIL AND GAS [GEOTHERMAL] RESOURCES OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
- 11 THAT HAS TOTAL JURISDICTION OVER DRILLING IN THE GROUND IN ANY
- 12 OIL FIELDS. THE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING
- 13 DETERMINED IN ITS STUDY THAT A NEW ZONING ORDINANCE CALLED A
- 14 COMMUNITY STANDARD DISTRICT IS THE MOST APPROPRIATE MECHANISM
- 15 FOR FURTHER REGULATING INGLEWOOD FIELD. THE NEW C.S.D. WILL
- 16 DEFINE THE BOUNDARY OF THE FIELD, ESTABLISH PERMANENT
- 17 DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS, OPERATING PROCEDURES AND REQUIREMENTS
- 18 FOR OIL OPERATIONS. IN 2006, WHEN RESIDENTS OF BALDWIN HILLS,
- 19 CULVER CITY AND OTHERS CALLED FOR ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL
- 20 INFORMATION TO GUIDE THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE C.S.D., P.X.P.
- 21 AGREED TO SUBMIT AN APPLICATION FOR THE FORMATION OF A C.S.D.,
- 22 THEREBY MAKING P.X.P. RESPONSIBLE FOR FUNDING THE
- 23 ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW. AND P.X.P. AGREED TO FUND AN
- 24 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT. I'D LIKE TO EMPHASIZE AT THIS
- 25 POINT THERE HAS NEVER BEEN AN E.I.R. OR ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT



- 1 REPORT FOR ANY C.S.D. IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. THIS IS
- 2 THE FIRST TIME THAT THERE HAS BEEN THIS KIND OF REVIEW. THE
- 3 COUNTY SELECTED AN ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT WITH SIGNIFICANT
- 4 EXPERIENCE AND KNOWLEDGE OF OIL PRODUCTION TO PREPARE THE
- 5 E.I.R., WHICH ANALYZED A DRAFT C.S.D. PREPARED BY P.X.P. AS
- 6 WELL AS POTENTIAL IMPACTS FROM OIL FIELD OPERATIONS FOR THE
- 7 NEXT 20 YEARS, BASED ON P.X.P.'S ESTIMATES OF THE MAXIMUM
- 8 NUMBER OF WELLS IT WOULD DRILL OVER THAT PERIOD. THE E.I.R. IS
- 9 AN EXHAUSTIVE DOCUMENT AND IDENTIFIED NECESSARY MITIGATION
- 10 MEASURES TO REDUCE IMPACT FROM SUCH OPERATIONS AND THUS
- 11 RECOMMENDED MITIGATION MEASURES BEYOND THOSE SET FORTH IN
- 12 P.X.P.'S PROPOSED C.S.D. AS A RESULT OF THAT E.I.R. REVIEW
- 13 PROCESS, SIGNIFICANT CHANGES WERE MADE BY THE COUNTY TO THE
- 14 C.S.D., ULTIMATELY RESULTING IN THE C.S.D. THAT CAME BEFORE
- 15 THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND WAS RECOMMENDED BY THEM. AND THIS
- 16 I EMPHASIZE IS THE FIRST EVER COMPREHENSIVE ENVIRONMENTAL
- 17 ANALYSIS OF AN ESTABLISHED OIL FIELD CONFORMING WITH THE
- 18 COUNTY GENERAL PLAN POLICIES AND ZONING REGULATIONS AND MARKS
- 19 THE FIRST TIME AN E.I.R. HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR A C.S.D. AS THE
- 20 COUNTY DEVELOPED THE REVISED C.S.D., WE WERE FACED WITH
- 21 CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND CONSTRAINTS. BECAUSE OIL OPERATIONS
- 22 HAVE BEEN ONGOING AT THE INGLEWOOD FIELD FOR MORE THAN 80
- 23 YEARS, P.X.P. HAS CERTAIN RIGHTS TO PRODUCE THE OIL AND GAS
- 24 RESOURCES AT THE FIELD, AND NEW ZONING REGULATIONS CANNOT
- 25 DIMINISH THOSE RIGHTS. MOREOVER, THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,



- 1 THROUGH THE DEPARTMENT OF OIL, GAS AND GEOTHERMAL RESOURCES
- 2 HAS EXCLUSIVE JURISDICTION OVER SUBSURFACE OIL AND GAS
- 3 ACTIVITIES. ALTHOUGH LOCAL REGULATION IS ALLOWED WITH REGARD
- 4 TO SURFACE ACTIVITIES, SUCH AS LAND USE CONTROL AND
- 5 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, THE COUNTY C.S.D. CANNOT CONFLICT
- 6 WITH THOSE EXCLUSIVE STATE REGULATIONS. FINALLY, MOST OF THE
- 7 FIELD IS NOT OWNED BY P.X.P., BUT REMAINS A COLLECTION OF
- 8 PRIVATELY OWNED PARCELS. P.X.P MAINTAINS LEASE AGREEMENTS WITH
- 9 THESE OWNERS THAT ALLOWS IT TO EXPLORE, DRILL AND PRODUCE OIL
- 10 AND GAS IN EXCHANGE FOR ROYALTY PAYMENTS. BECAUSE THESE
- 11 PARCELS ARE PRIVATELY OWNED, THE C.S.D. CANNOT CONTROL THEIR
- 12 USE ONCE OIL AND GAS RESOURCES ARE EXHAUSTED. THE COUNTY,
- 13 HOWEVER, IS PART OF A JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY THAT OVER THE
- 14 LAST 15 YEARS HAS REQUIRED EASEMENT AND FEE INTERESTS OVER 600
- 15 ACRES IN THE BALDWIN HILLS. DESPITE THESE LIMITATIONS, I'M
- 16 COMMITTED TO THE BALDWIN HILLS C.S.D., WHICH CONTAINS THE MOST
- 17 STRINGENT OIL AND GAS REGULATIONS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA AND
- 18 ARGUABLY FOR THE STATE AND MAYBE FOR THE COUNTRY FOR AN
- 19 ESTABLISHED ON SHORE OR OFF SHORE OIL FIELD AND WILL ENSURE
- 20 THAT OIL OPERATIONS ARE PERFORMED IN THE SAFEST MANNER
- 21 POSSIBLE TO PROTECT SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES. I'M VERY PROUD OF
- 22 THE FACT THAT TO DATE, SINCE APRIL 2007, COUNTY STAFF AND ITS
- 23 CONSULTANTS HAVE HELD 18 COMMUNITY MEETINGS IN BOTH LARGE
- 24 PUBLIC VENUES AND SMALL NEIGHBORHOOD COFFEES. IN PEOPLE'S
- 25 HOMES, IN THEIR EFFORT TO EDUCATE THE COMMUNITY AND RECEIVE



- 1 COMMUNITY INPUT TO THE E.I.R. AND C.S.D. PROCESS. THEREFORE TO
- 2 ENSURE THE CONTINUED COMMUNITY INPUT, THE C.S.D. REQUIRES
- 3 FORMATION OF A COMMUNITY ADVISORY PANEL APPOINTED BY THE
- 4 DIRECTOR OF PLANNING OF THE COUNTY, COMPRISED OF MEMBERS OF
- 5 THE SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES. I WILL CONTINUE -- I WILL READ IN
- 6 THE FINAL MOTION THAT I WILL BE MAKING AFTER WE HAVE HEARD ALL
- 7 OF THE TESTIMONY. BUT TO BEGIN, I'D LIKE TO ASK THE STAFF, THE
- 8 PEOPLE WHO HAVE WORKED ON THIS AND FIRST OF ALL THIS WENT TO
- 9 THE DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. BRUCE MCCLENDON INTRODUCED THE
- 10 C.S.D. AND HE'S GOING TO TELL US ABOUT THE HISTORY OF THE
- 11 MASSIVE PUBLIC OUTREACH EFFORT AND ALSO RUSS FRICANO WILL ALSO
- 12 GIVE US A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF THE OVERVIEW OF THE E.I.R.
- 13 PROCESS. MR. MCCLENDON. AND I THINK WE SHOULD SAY, YOU ARE A
- 14 PERSON WHO HAS YOUR EXPERTISE IN OIL AND GAS AS A BACKGROUND.

- 16 BRUCE MCCLENDON: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I WILL MENTION THAT.
- 17 AGAIN FOR THE RECORD, MADAME CHAIR, MY NAME IS BRUCE
- 18 MCCLENDON. I'M THE PLANNING DIRECTOR FOR LOS ANGELES COUNTY.
- 19 YOU DID AN OUTSTANDING JOB GIVING THE OVERVIEW OF THE PROCESS
- 20 THAT WE'VE BEEN FOLLOWING AND HOW WE GOT HERE. I WANT TO JUST
- 21 THROW IN A FEW EXTRA DETAILS ALONG THE WAY. A COUPLE POINTS I
- 22 WANT TO MAKE OUT IS, IN PARTICULAR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE
- 23 C.S.D., THE COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICT, THE ORIGINAL DRAFT
- 24 WAS PREPARED BY THE APPLICANTS, BUT THE DRAFT THAT WE HAVE IN
- 25 FRONT OF YOU WAS DEVELOPED BY PLANNING STAFF WORKING WITH OUR



- 1 CONSULTANT AND WORKING WITH THE COMMUNITY. WE WORK VERY
- 2 CLOSELY WITH THE CITIZENS TO GIVE THEM AN OPPORTUNITY TO
- 3 REALLY BECOME A PART OF THE C.S.D. I WOULD SAY ONE OF THE
- 4 UNIOUE ASPECTS OF WHAT WE WENT THROUGH IS THE EMPOWERMENT OF
- 5 THE CITIZENS WHO LIVE OUT THERE THROUGH THESE SERIES OF
- 6 MEETINGS THAT WE'VE HELD WHERE WE'VE BASICALLY PUT THEM IN A
- 7 POSITION TO HELP CRAFT THE COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICT AND
- 8 HELP THEM DEFEND AND PROTECT THEMSELVES. AND THAT'S REALLY
- 9 WHAT THE COUNTY HAS DONE OVER THE LAST TWO YEARS WITH THE
- 10 INTERIM EMERGENCY ORDINANCES. IT'S BASICALLY BEEN A STOP GAP
- 11 MEASURE TO PROTECT THE COMMUNITY FROM ANY ADVERSE CONSEQUENCES
- 12 OF CONTINUED DRILLING IN THE ABSENCE OF ADDITIONAL
- 13 REGULATIONS. WITH RESPECT TO THE OUTREACH THAT WE HAD, THE
- 14 REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION HELD SIX PUBLIC HEARINGS, TWO OF
- 15 THE PUBLIC HEARINGS WERE IN THE COMMUNITY. WE HAD OVER 950
- 16 CITIZENS THAT PARTICIPATED, THAT REGISTERED AND WERE IN
- 17 ATTENDANCE AT THOSE PUBLIC HEARINGS THAT WE HELD. 169 PEOPLE
- 18 ACTUALLY GAVE TESTIMONY AT THE VARIOUS HEARINGS THAT WE'VE
- 19 HELD. IN ADDITION TO THE 18 COMMUNITY MEETINGS THAT THE CHAIR
- 20 MENTIONED, WE ALSO HELD NEIGHBORHOOD MEETINGS AND MEETINGS
- 21 WITH GROUPS OF INDIVIDUALS. IN TOTAL WE HELD OVER 35 MEETINGS
- 22 WITH INDIVIDUALS WITHIN THEIR GROUPS AS PART OF THIS OVERALL
- 23 PROCESS TO DEVELOP THIS C.S.D. OUR STRATEGY IN DEVELOPING THE
- 24 DISTRICT WAS VERY SIMPLE. WE BASICALLY TOOK THE BEST PRACTICES
- 25 FROM EVERY OTHER JURISDICTION WE WERE AWARE OF. WE CHERRY



- 1 PICKED THOSE PRACTICES AND WE PUT THEM ALL INTO OUR DRAFT
- 2 ORDINANCE. SECONDLY, WE ADDED AND SUPPLEMENTED THAT WITH OUR
- 3 OWN ORIGINAL INNOVATIVE IDEAS WITH RESPECT TO THE PRACTICES
- 4 AND PROCEDURES THAT WE THOUGHT WE MIGHT WANT TO FOLLOW. THERE
- 5 IS NO QUESTION THAT OUR ORDINANCE AS IT EXISTS TODAY IS
- 6 UNMATCHED ANYWHERE IN THE STATE AND IN THE AREAS THAT I'M
- 7 FAMILIAR WITH, IN TEXAS AND FLORIDA IN PARTICULAR, I'M NOT
- 8 AWARE OF ANY GOVERNMENT, ANY JURISDICTION THAT'S GONE TO THE
- 9 EXTENT THAT WE HAVE AND DONE SOME OF THE THINGS AND REGULATES
- 10 SOME OF THE THINGS THAT WE'VE DONE. NOT EVEN AT THE STATE
- 11 LEVEL, MUCH LESS AT THE LOCAL LEVEL. OUR C.S.D. ORDINANCE IN
- 12 TOTAL IS MORE RESTRICTIVE, MORE COMPREHENSIVE, MORE STRINGENT
- 13 AND MORE TRANSPARENT THAN ANY OF THE OTHER REGULATIONS OR
- 14 ORDINANCES THAT WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO REVIEW. NOW, THERE'S
- 15 ANOTHER IMPORTANT FEATURE THAT I REALLY WANT TO EMPHASIZE HERE
- 16 AND THAT WE'RE QUITE PROUD OF, AND THAT IS WITH RESPECT TO THE
- 17 MITIGATION MONITORING. THIS C.S.D. ORDINANCE ITSELF ACTUALLY
- 18 ESTABLISHES A NEW STANDARD FOR MITIGATION MONITORING IN LOS
- 19 ANGELES COUNTY. FOR THE FIRST TIME, THE COUNTY WILL BE
- 20 PROACTIVE WITH RESPECT TO CODE ENFORCEMENT. IT WILL NO LONGER
- 21 BE A SITUATION WHERE YOU WAIT FOR A VIOLATION OR YOU WAIT FOR
- 22 A CITIZEN OR SOMEONE TO REPORT THE VIOLATION. THE ORDINANCE
- 23 PROVIDES THAT WILL BE AN OMBUDSMAN, THERE WILL BE PRESENCE AND
- 24 PEOPLE PROACTIVELY LOOKING FOR VIOLATIONS TO ENSURE THAT ALL
- 25 OF THE SAFE PRACTICES THAT HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED IN THE C.S.D.



- 1 ARE IN FACT FOLLOWED BY THE APPLICANTS. AND THEN VERY QUICKLY
- 2 WITH RESPECT TO MY EXPERIENCE, I SPENT A NUMBER OF YEARS
- 3 REGULATING OIL AND GAS, THE OIL AND GAS INDUSTRY IN TEXAS. I
- 4 WAS PLANNING DIRECTOR, DIRECTOR OF PLANNING AND TRAFFIC IN
- 5 GALVESTON, TEXAS. I WAS ACTUALLY THE PETROLEUM SUPERINTENDENT
- 6 IN THAT COMMUNITY. IT'S ONE OF THE HATS I WORE. AND THEN FOR
- 7 10 YEARS I WAS THE DIRECTOR OF PLANNING AND GROWTH MANAGEMENT
- 8 IN FORT WORTH, TEXAS. FORT WORTH IS KNOWN FOR BASICALLY THREE
- 9 THINGS. ONE, THE EXTRAORDINARY REVITALIZED DOWNTOWN, TWO A
- 10 VERY STRONG NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED COMMUNITY-BASED PLANNING
- 11 PROGRAM, AND, THREE, THEY PROBABLY LEAD THE COUNTRY IN THE
- 12 NUMBER OF OIL WELL PERMITS WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS THAT ARE
- 13 ISSUED EACH YEAR. SO I HAVE EXTENSIVE EXPERIENCE IN
- 14 PERMITTING, IN MANAGING AND INSPECTING, AND CODE ENFORCEMENT
- 15 WITH RESPECT TO PETROLEUM EXTRACTION AND HYDROCARBON
- 16 EXTRACTION. WHAT I WILL SAY CATEGORICALLY, BASED UPON THE
- 17 EXPERIENCE THAT I'VE HAD IN TEXAS AND FLORIDA, THAT THIS IS
- 18 UNMATCHED, UNPARALLELED TO THE EXTENT THAT WE ARE PROPOSING TO
- 19 REGULATE THE OIL AND GAS INDUSTRY IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. WE
- 20 WILL BECOME THE GOLDEN STANDARD. WE WILL BECOME THE BENCHMARK
- 21 IN WHICH OTHER JURISDICTIONS WILL ASPIRE TO. THERE IS NO
- 22 QUESTION IN MY MIND D.O.G.G.R. WILL BE HERE LOOKING AT WHAT
- 23 WE'VE DONE AND HOW WE'VE DONE IT AS WELL AS THE OTHER
- 24 JURISDICTIONS IN CALIFORNIA AND AROUND THE COUNTRY. THEY WILL
- 25 BE COMING HERE TO LEARN WHAT WE HAVE DONE AND HOW WE DID IT



- 1 AND HOW WE WERE ABLE TO WORK WITH THE CITIZENS TO PROVIDE FOR
- 2 SAFE OPERATION AND SAFE OIL AND GAS EXTRACTION IN LOS ANGELES
- 3 COUNTY IN THE BALDWIN HILLS AREA IN THE INGLEWOOD FIELD. WITH
- 4 THAT INTRODUCTION NOW, I WILL TURN IT OVER TO THE INDIVIDUAL
- 5 WHO LED OUR TEAM, WHO PROVIDED THAT LEADERSHIP IN DEVELOPING
- 6 THE C.S.D. AND MANAGING THIS ENTIRE PROCESS, AND THAT'S DR.
- 7 RUSSELL FRICANO.

- 9 RUSSELL FRICANO: MADAME CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. GOOD
- 10 AFTERNOON. FOR THE RECORD, I AM RUSSELL FRICANO, SECTION HEAD
- 11 OF THE COMMUNITY STUDIES 1 SECTION OF REGIONAL PLANNING. I AM
- 12 GOING TO PROVIDE A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF THE BALDWIN HILLS
- 13 COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICT FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION TODAY. THE
- 14 COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICT, OR C.S.D., WAS DEVELOPED TO
- 15 RESPOND TO COMMUNITY CONCERNS AND ALSO TO INTRODUCE
- 16 REGULATIONS THAT WOULD MAKE THE OIL FIELD COMPATIBLE WITH
- 17 SURROUNDING DEVELOPMENT. MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY HAVE
- 18 EXPRESSED CONCERNS ABOUT AIR QUALITY, PUBLIC HEALTH,
- 19 GEOTECHNICAL, SAFETY AND RISK UPSET, FIRE PREVENTION, NOISE,
- 20 VIBRATION AND VISUAL IMPACTS. AND THE ISSUES ASSOCIATED WITH
- 21 THE OIL FIELD ARE VERY UNIQUE, SO UNIQUE THAT ZONING
- 22 REGULATIONS CURRENTLY LISTED IN THE COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE
- 23 DON'T COVER THEM. SO IN CASES LIKE THESE, WE DEVELOP A SPECIAL
- 24 SET OF SUPPLEMENTAL REGULATIONS TO ADDRESS THESE ISSUES.
- 25 COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICT, OR C.S.D. AND THIS IS ALSO



- 1 REFERRED TO AS AN OVERLAY ZONE BECAUSE WE ARE OVERLAYING
- 2 SPECIAL STANDARDS ON A ZONE OR A SPECIFIC AREA. AND THE
- 3 STANDARDS WERE DEVELOPED IN FOUR WAYS: FIRST, OUR RESEARCH IN
- 4 DIFFERENT ORDINANCES GOVERNING OIL WELL OPERATIONS; MITIGATION
- 5 MEASURES SPECIFIED IN THE PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT;
- 6 CONSULTATION WITH PUBLIC AGENCIES; AND COMMUNITY INPUT,
- 7 PROVIDING COMMUNITY MEETINGS, PUBLIC HEARINGS, SEPARATE
- 8 MEETINGS WITH COMMUNITY REPRESENTATIVES AND THE OPERATOR. THE
- 9 PROPOSED BALDWIN HILLS C.S.D. CONSISTS OF 11 SECTIONS. AND
- 10 BASICALLY THIS SECTIONS INCLUDE INTENT AND PURPOSE, THE
- 11 DISTRICT BOUNDARIES. DEFINITIONS. YOU HAVE AREA-SPECIFIC
- 12 DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS. OIL FIELD DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS.
- 13 STANDARDS FOR MONITORING AND COMPLIANCE. ADMINISTRATION,
- 14 PERMITTING, ENFORCEMENT, PUBLIC OUTREACH AND TRANSITIONAL
- 15 PROVISIONS. WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO IS FOCUS ON THE KEY SECTIONS
- 16 OF THE C.S.D. WHICH HAVE ALSO BEEN THE FOCUS OF MUCH PUBLIC
- 17 COMMENT AND DISCUSSION. FIRST, THE OIL FIELD DEVELOPMENT
- 18 STANDARDS THIS SECTION CONTAINS REGULATIONS DESIGNED TO COVER
- 19 THE ISSUES EVALUATED IN THE E.I.R. AND ALSO ISSUES EXPRESSED
- 20 BY THE COMMUNITY. AND I'M JUST GOING TO GO THROUGH ISSUE BY
- 21 ISSUE, TALKING ABOUT SOME OF THE MAIN ISSUES COVERED IN THIS
- 22 SECTION. FIRST AIR QUALITY AND PUBLIC HEALTH. THE C.S.D.
- 23 REQUIRES CONTINUOUS AIR QUALITY MONITORING AND ALSO TESTING OF
- 24 ABANDONED WELLS. IT REQUIRES HIGHER STANDARDS FOR EMISSION
- 25 CONTROL DEVICES USED ON BOTH THE DRILL RIGS AND CONSTRUCTION



- 1 EQUIPMENT ENGINES. THERE ARE DUST CONTROL MEASURES. ALSO
- 2 MONITORING OF OIL TANK PRESSURE AND VENTING. AND THERE'S ALSO
- 3 A PROGRAM FOR ODOR SUPPRESSION. FOR GEOTECHNICAL THERE IS
- 4 PERIODIC MONITOR OF GROUND MOVEMENT TO DETECT SUBSIDENCE, AND
- 5 THIS INCLUDES THE LATEST SATELLITE AND AN ACCELEROMETER. THERE
- 6 IS ALSO CONSULTATION WITH D.O.G.G.R. FOR THE CORRECTION OF ANY
- 7 VERIFIED IMPACTS. AND THERE'S ALSO A GEOTECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
- 8 OF PERMANENT STRUCTURES. FOR EMERGENCY CONTACT AND RESPONSE,
- 9 THE OPERATOR HAS TO SUBMIT FOR APPROVAL AN EMERGENCY RESPONSE
- 10 PLAN AND ALSO IS REQUIRED ANNUAL EMERGENCY RESPONSE DRILLS AND
- 11 ALSO A COMMUNITY ALERT NOTIFICATION SYSTEM. FOR FIRE
- 12 PROTECTION, THERE ARE FIRE PROTECTION AUDITS AND VARIOUS FIRE
- 13 PREVENTION MITIGATION MEASURES. FOR NOISE ATTENUATION THERE IS
- 14 A PROGRAM OF MEASURES TO REDUCE NOISE IMPACTS INCLUDING A
- 15 OUIET MODE OF OPERATION AT SPECIFIED HOURS OF THE DAY.
- 16 SETBACKS, THERE ARE SETBACKS SPECIFIED FOR DRILLING AND
- 17 REDRILLING OPERATIONS FROM DEVELOPED AREAS AND PUBLIC
- 18 ROADWAYS. VIBRATION CONTROL, THERE IS REQUIRED AN INSTALLATION
- 19 OF A NEW FLARING DEVICE THAT BETTER CONTROLS GAS EMISSIONS
- 20 THAT CAN CAUSE VIBRATION. AND THIS MEASURE ALSO IMPROVES AIR
- 21 QUALITY. FOR VISUAL IMPACTS, THERE ARE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE
- 22 REMOVAL OF UNUSED EQUIPMENT AND ALSO BEAUTIFICATION OF THE
- 23 DRILL SITES AND OTHER PORTIONS OF THE PROPERTY WITH
- 24 LANDSCAPING. THE OPERATOR IS REQUIRED TO SUBMIT A LANDSCAPING
- 25 AND WELL PAD REVEGETATION PLAN. AND THERE'S ALSO REQUIREMENTS



- 1 FOR THE PAINTING OF EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES. FOR WATER
- 2 OUALITY THERE ARE SPILL CONTAINMENT AND MONITORING OF GROUND
- 3 WATER QUALITY. ALSO PROVISIONS FOR ABANDONMENT AND SITE CLEAN
- 4 UP. THERE ARE REQUIREMENTS TO SUBMIT PLANS FOR WELL
- 5 ABANDONMENT AND SITE REMEDIATION. CONSIDERING MONITORING AND
- 6 COMPLIANCE, THE C.S.D. HAS REQUIREMENTS FOR PROGRAMS THAT
- 7 ENSURE C.S.D. COMPLIANCE. THIS INCLUDES AN ENVIRONMENTAL
- 8 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM AND A SAFETY INSPECTION MAINTENANCE
- 9 AND QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM. THERE IS ALSO THE USE OF AN
- 10 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE COORDINATOR, AN INDIVIDUAL THAT HAS
- 11 TECHNICAL EXPERTISE THAT WILL ASSIST STAFF IN THE TECHNICAL
- 12 REVIEW OF REPORTS AND ALSO ON VARIOUS ENFORCEMENT ISSUES.
- 13 THERE'S ALSO REQUIREMENTS FOR COMPLIANCE AUDITS, EMERGENCY
- 14 RESPONSE DRILLS, MONITORING FOR NOISE AND VIBRATIONS. THE
- 15 ADMINISTRATION ITEMS PORTION OF THE C.S.D. COVERS THE COSTS OF
- 16 IMPLEMENTING THE C.S.D. THE INDEMNIFICATION AND PROOF OF
- 17 INSURANCE AND USE OF PERFORMANCE BONDS. THERE ARE ALSO
- 18 PROVISIONS FOR THE C.S.D. TO UNDERGO A REVIEW EVERY FIVE
- 19 YEARS. THE C.S.D. ALSO ESTABLISHES A MULTIPLE AGENCY
- 20 COORDINATION COMMITTEE WHICH COORDINATES THE ACTIVITIES AND
- 21 COMMUNICATION BETWEEN VARIOUS AGENCIES RESPONSIBLE FOR
- 22 MONITORING OIL FIELD OPERATIONS. THESE INCLUDE BOTH COUNTY
- 23 AGENCIES AND AGENCIES OUTSIDE THE COUNTY SUCH AS A.Q.M.D. FOR
- 24 PERMITTING, A DIRECTORS' REVIEW IS REQUIRED FOR NEW DRILLING
- 25 AND PRODUCTION OPERATIONS FOR MAXIMUM OF 53 WELLS PER YEAR.



- 1 AND THAT'S PROVIDED THE SUBJECT WELLS HAVE BEEN PREVIOUSLY
- 2 APPROVED AS PART OF AN ANNUAL DRILLING PLAN. AND THIS PLAN
- 3 MUST COMPLY WITH DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE
- 4 C.S.D. A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT IS REQUIRED FOR WELLS DRILLED
- 5 IN EXCESS OF 53 WELLS PER YEAR, FOR THE STEAM PLANT, FOR WATER
- 6 TREATMENT AND WATER SOFTENING FACILITIES, FOR AN OIL HEATING
- 7 FACILITY AND INSULATION OF STORAGE TANKS WITH A CAPACITY OF
- 8 5,000 BARRELS OR GREATER. ENFORCEMENT PROVISIONS REQUIRE
- 9 IMPOSITION OF FINES FOR VIOLATIONS RANGING FROM 1,000 TO
- 10 \$10,000 PER DAY FOR VIOLATION AND A DRAW DOWN ACCOUNT
- 11 ESTABLISHED FOR PAYMENT OF THE PENALTIES WHICH MUST BE
- 12 REPLENISHED. PUBLIC OUTREACH CONSISTS OF ADVISORY COMMITTEES,
- 13 SUCH AS THE SUPERVISOR MENTIONED. THE C.S.D. REQUIRES THE
- 14 ESTABLISHMENT OF A COMMUNITY ADVISORY PANEL, OR C.A.P., WHICH
- 15 HOLDS SEMIANNUAL MEETINGS. AND THE OPERATOR IS ALSO REQUIRED
- 16 TO HOLD COMMUNITY MEETINGS. THE OPERATOR IS ALSO REQUIRED TO
- 17 SUBMIT TO THE COMMUNITY AN ANNUAL DRILLING PLAN FOR REVIEW AND
- 18 COMMENT AND FURTHER PROVISIONS REQUIRE THE ESTABLISHMENT AND
- 19 MANAGEMENT OF A WEBSITE TO PROVIDE UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION ON
- 20 OIL FIELD ACTIVITY AND ALSO AN ANNUAL NEWSLETTER. FINALLY THE
- 21 TRANSITIONAL PROVISIONS PROVIDE A TIME FRAME FOR THOSE
- 22 REQUIREMENTS THAT MUST BE CONDUCTED WITHIN 30 DAYS TO TWO
- 23 YEARS OF THE ADOPTION OF THE C.S.D.. AND THIS INCLUDES
- 24 SUBMITTALS OF PLANS, REPORTS AND OTHER MEASURES. THAT
- 25 CONCLUDES MY OVERVIEW OF THE C.S.D. AND ON THIS POINT I'D LIKE



- 1 TO INTRODUCE THE BOARD TO OUR ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT, JOHN
- 2 PEARSON, OF THE MARINE RESOURCE SPECIALISTS. MR. PEARSON WILL
- 3 PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF THE E.I.R.

- 5 JOHN PEARSON: THANK YOU, RUSSELL. MADAME CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE
- 6 BOARD, GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS JOHN PEARSON AND I'M A
- 7 PRINCIPAL WITH M.R.S., WITH THE ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT THAT
- 8 WAS HIRED BY THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES TO PREPARE THE BALDWIN
- 9 HILLS COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
- 10 REPORT. IT SEEMED LIKE A LONG TIME AGO, BUT ABOUT 20 MONTHS
- 11 AGO, WE STARTED THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT PROCESS. AND
- 12 AS PART OF THAT, WE CONDUCTED FOUR NEIGHBORHOOD MEETINGS AND
- 13 TWO PUBLIC SCOPING HEARINGS TO OBTAIN PUBLIC INPUT. WE ALSO
- 14 DISTRIBUTED I THINK IT WAS OVER 300 COPIES OF A QUESTIONNAIRE
- 15 ABOUT ISSUES THAT THEY MAY HAVE WITH REGARD TO THE OIL FIELD.
- 16 ALL OF THAT INFORMATION WAS TAKEN IN AND WAS USED TO DETERMINE
- 17 THE FINAL SCOPE OF THE E.I.R. AND THE E.I.R. ADDRESSED 15
- 18 DIFFERENT ISSUE AREAS. SOME OF THE MAJOR ISSUES THAT CAME OUT
- 19 OF THE PUBLIC SCOPING PROCESS WAS NOISE FROM DRILLING
- 20 OPERATIONS, VIBRATION ASSOCIATED WITH DRILLING AND PRODUCTION,
- 21 AIR TOXIC EMISSIONS AND PUBLIC HEALTH, ODORS FROM DRILLING AND
- 22 PRODUCTION OPERATIONS AND GROUND MOVEMENT DUE TO SUBSIDENCE
- 23 AND EARTHOUAKES. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WAS CLEAR THAT CAME
- 24 OUT OF THE SCOPING HEARINGS WAS THAT THE PUBLIC REALLY WANTED
- 25 THE E.I.R. TO SERVE A NUMBER OF PURPOSES, WHICH WAS SOMEWHAT



- 1 UNIOUE FOR AN E.I.R. THEY INCLUDED THEY REALLY WANTED TO
- 2 UNDERSTAND MORE ABOUT WHAT WAS CURRENTLY HAPPENING IN THE OIL
- 3 FIELD. WHAT WERE THE OPERATIONS THAT WERE GOING ON? HOW MANY
- 4 WELLS WERE THERE? WHAT WAS THE PRODUCTION? THOSE TYPES OF
- 5 THINGS. THEY WANTED INFORMATION ON WHAT COULD HAPPEN AT THE
- 6 OIL FIELD OVER THE NEXT 10 TO 20 YEARS. THEY WANTED THE E.I.R.
- 7 TO IDENTIFY AND ASSESS THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS ASSOCIATED
- 8 WITH DRILLING AND PRODUCTION, BOTH THE CURRENT AS WELL AS
- 9 FUTURE. THEY WANTED MITIGATION MEASURES DEVELOPED THAT WOULD
- 10 REDUCE OR ELIMINATE THESE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS. AND THEN THEY
- 11 WANTED THE E.I.R. TO EVALUATE THE ADEQUACY OF P.X.P.'S
- 12 PROPOSED C.S.D. TO SEE IF THAT PROPOSED C.S.D. WAS ADEQUATE IN
- 13 MITIGATING THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS. AND THE LAST THING THE
- 14 E.I.R. HAD TO DO WAS TO DEVELOP A SET OF RECOMMENDATION THAT
- 15 COULD BE ADDED TO THE C.S.D. TO ENHANCE THE REGULATORY
- 16 FRAMEWORK AND TO REDUCE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS. IN TERMS OF HOW
- 17 WE APPROACH THE E.I.R., AS BEEN STATED EARLIER, P.X.P., THE
- 18 APPLICANTS, SUBMITTED THEIR OWN VERSION OF A C.S.D. AND WHAT
- 19 WE DID IS WENT OUT AND COLLECTED AND CONDUCTED A NUMBER OF
- 20 FIELD STUDIES. WE DID NOISE MONITORING, VIBRATION MONITORING.
- 21 WE DID A LOT OF SOIL GAS VAPOR TESTING, BIOLOGICAL SURVEYS,
- 22 CULTURAL SURVEYS, TRAFFIC SURVEYS. IN ADDITION, AS WE WERE
- 23 DOING THE BASELINE SURVEYS, WE DEVELOPED A DETAILED
- 24 OUESTIONNAIRE THAT WE SUBMITTED TO P.X.P. TO COLLECT DATA ON
- 25 THE EXISTING OPERATION AS WELL AS WHAT MIGHT BE EXPECTED AT



- 1 THE OIL FIELD OVER THE NEXT 20 YEARS. WE REVIEWED A LOT OF
- 2 DOCUMENTS. WE REVIEWED A LOT OF THE DATA THAT THEY SUBMIT TO
- 3 VARIOUS AGENCIES, INCLUDING D.O.G.G.R. AND THE SOUTH COAST AIR
- 4 OUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT. FROM ALL OF THIS INFORMATION WE
- 5 DEVELOPED A POTENTIAL FUTURE OIL SCENARIO AND THIS IS
- 6 BASICALLY WHAT MIGHT OCCUR OVER THE NEXT 20 YEARS. P.X.P. HAD
- 7 NOT APPLIED FOR ANY OF THAT DEVELOPMENT. IT IS NOT CLEAR THAT
- 8 THEY WILL EVER APPLY FOR ANY OF THAT. WE WERE LOOKING AT A
- 9 WORST CASE SCENARIO OVER THE NEXT 20 YEARS TO ASSESS
- 10 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS. WE THEN ASSESSED THE ENVIRONMENTAL
- 11 IMPACTS OF THE FUTURE OIL DEVELOPMENT AND DEVELOPED A SET OF
- 12 MITIGATION MEASURES THAT WOULD ELIMINATE OR REDUCE THE
- 13 SEVERITY OF THESE IMPACTS. WE THEN LOOKED AT THE IMPACTS IN
- 14 THE MITIGATION MEASURES AND COMPARED THEM TO WHAT P.X.P. HAD
- 15 PROPOSED IN THEIR VERSION OF THE C.S.D. AND DETERMINED WHAT
- 16 ADDITIONAL MEASURES WOULD NEED TO BE ADDED TO THE P.X.P
- 17 .C.S.D. TO MITIGATE THE IMPACTS THAT WERE IDENTIFIED IN THE
- 18 E.I.R. AND THAT'S WHAT LED TO THE COUNTY' VERSION OF THE
- 19 C.S.D. IN THE E.I.R., WE IDENTIFIED OVER 50 ENVIRONMENTAL
- 20 IMPACTS FROM THE OIL FIELD OPERATIONS. THESE WERE IMPACTS THAT
- 21 WERE RAISED BY THE PUBLIC IN THE SCOPING HEARING AS WELL AS
- 22 OTHER ONES THAT WE IDENTIFIED THROUGH THE E.I.R. PROCESS.
- 23 THERE WERE OVER 50 SPECIFIC MITIGATION MEASURES THAT IF
- 24 IMPLEMENTED WOULD ELIMINATE OR SUBSTANTIALLY REDUCE THE
- 25 SEVERITY OF THE IDENTIFIED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS. ONE OF THE



- 1 UNIQUE THINGS ABOUT THIS PROCESS OF USING AN E.I.R. WITH A
- 2 C.S.D. IS THAT A LARGE NUMBER OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS HAVE
- 3 BEEN PUT TOGETHER THAT ARE SPECIFIC TO THE OPERATIONS AT THE
- 4 INGLEWOOD OIL FIELD. A LOT OF OTHER JURISDICTIONS WHO HAVE
- 5 DEVELOPED OIL REGULATIONS DO THEM FOR ALL OF THE OIL FIELDS IN
- 6 THEIR AREAS, SO THEY TEND TO BE MORE GENERAL IN NATURE AND NOT
- 7 FOCUSED ON A SPECIFIC OIL FIELD OPERATION. WITH THE BALDWIN
- 8 HILLS C.S.D., THE E.I.R. PROCESS ALLOWED FOR THE OIL FIELD
- 9 SPECIFIC DESIGN STANDARDS THAT MITIGATE THE ENVIRONMENTAL
- 10 IMPACTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE CURRENT AND FUTURE ACTIVITIES AT
- 11 THE INGLEWOOD OIL FIELD. SOME EXAMPLES OF THESE MEASURES THAT
- 12 WERE AND ARE NOW INCLUDED IN THE C.S.D. UNDER NOISE, WE'VE
- 13 ESTABLISHED A VERY STRINGENT NOISE STANDARD FOR DRILLING THAT
- 14 WAS TAKEN FROM THE BEVERLY HILLS AND TORRANCE REGULATIONS,
- 15 WHICH ARE THE MOST STRINGENT THERE ARE IN THE SOUTH COAST.
- 16 VIBRATION, WE SET A VIBRATION LEVEL THAT IS BELOW WHAT CAN BE
- 17 PERCEIVED. AS RUSSELL MENTIONED, WE ALSO PUT A MEASURE IN THAT
- 18 REOUIRES THEM TO INSTALL A NEW FLARE. THE EXISTING FLARE HAS
- 19 CAUSED ISSUES WITH LOW LEVEL SOUND VIBRATION. WITH REGARD TO
- 20 AIR TOXICS, THERE HAVE BEEN A NUMBER OF MEASURES THAT WERE
- 21 REQUIRED ON THE DRILL RIG INCLUDING USE TIER TWO ENGINES, USE
- 22 OF SECOND GENERATION DUAL CATALYSTS THAT REDUCE THE TOXIC
- 23 DIESEL EMISSIONS BY OVER 90 PERCENT. WE ALSO ESTABLISHED A
- 24 400-FOOT SETBACK FOR DRILL RIGS. IN THE AREA OF HEALTH RISK,
- 25 WHEN YOU LOOK AT ALL THE MITIGATION, WE WERE ABLE TO ACTUALLY



- 1 GET THE HEALTH RISK BELOW THE LEVEL THAT EXISTED FOR THE
- 2 CURRENT OPERATIONS WITHOUT DRILLING AND WELL BELOW THE AREA-
- 3 WIDE CANCER RISK THAT WAS ESTABLISHED IN THE MATES 3 STUDY BY
- 4 THE SOUTH AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT. WITH REGARD TO
- 5 ODORS, WE REVIEWED THE SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT
- 6 DISTRICT ODOR COMPLAINTS. WE ALSO CONDUCTED A TECHNICAL REVIEW
- 7 OF OPERATIONS OF WHERE ODOR RELEASES COULD OCCUR AT THE
- 8 FACILITY. WE DID COMPUTER MODELING OF VARIOUS ODOR EVENTS.
- 9 SOME OF THE MITIGATION, PROBABLY THE BIGGEST ONE WE HAVE IS
- 10 THE USE OF A PORTABLE FLARE AND A MUD GAS SEPARATOR DURING
- 11 DRILLING. THIS IS THE EVENT-- THIS EQUIPMENT WOULD CONTROL AND
- 12 PREVENT THE EVENT THAT OCCURRED IN JANUARY OF 2006. THIS IS
- 13 OFFSHORE TECHNOLOGY THAT WE'RE BRINGING ONTO THE ON SHORE, AS
- 14 FAR AS I KNOW THE ONLY PLACE THIS WILL BE USED IN AN ON SHORE
- 15 ENVIRONMENT. WE HAVE OIL TANK PRESSURE SENSORS TO PREVENT
- 16 RELEASES FROM OIL TANKS. AND ODOR SUPPRESSANTS USED AT
- 17 BIOFARMS AS WELL AS DRILLING OPERATIONS AND AS RUSSELL
- 18 MENTIONED, ODOR MINIMIZATION PLAN, WHICH IS A REQUIREMENT-- OR
- 19 TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY
- 20 MANAGEMENT DISTRICT. THE LASTS THING I WANT TO TALK ABOUT IS A
- 21 LITTLE ABOUT THE PUBLIC REVIEW PROCESS THE DRAFT E.I.R. WAS
- 22 ISSUED FOR A 60-DAY PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD. ONCE THE DRAFT WAS
- 23 RELEASED WE CONDUCTED SIX NEIGHBORHOOD MEETINGS OR SOME PEOPLE
- 24 HAVE CALLED THEM COFFEE CLUTCHES. THESE ARE IN PEOPLE'S HOMES.
- 25 WE HAD TWO PUBLIC WORKSHOPS AND TWO PLANNING COMMISSION



- 1 HEARINGS TO TAKE COMMENTS ON THE E.I.R. WE ALSO HAD A NUMBER
- 2 OF INDIVIDUAL AND PRIVATE MEETINGS WITH COMMUNITY STAKEHOLDERS
- 3 TO DISCUSS THE E.I.R. AND THE C.S.D.. WE RECEIVED OVER 2,000
- 4 COMMENTS ON THE E.I.R. AND WE RESPONDED TO WRITING TO EVERY
- 5 ONE OF THOSE COMMENTS AND MODIFIED THE E.I.R. AS NECESSARY TO
- 6 ADDRESS THOSE COMMENTS. THAT CONCLUDES MY FORMAL REMARKS. I
- 7 THINK AT THIS POINT WE'RE GOING TO TURN IT OVER TO STEVE
- 8 BURGER TO SPEAK ON BEHALF OF DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

- 10 STEVE BURGER: GOOD AFTERNOON, MADAME CHAIR AND SUPERVISORS. MY
- 11 NAME STEVE BURGER WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. I'D
- 12 LIKE TO TAKE A FEW MINUTES TO BRIEFLY GO OVER THE FEATURES OF
- 13 THE C.S.D. THAT ADDRESSES SUBSIDENCE AND GROUND MOVEMENT.
- 14 CONTROL OF SUBSIDENCE IS ULTIMATELY REGULATED BY THE STATE
- 15 DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND GEOTHERMAL RESOURCES, OR D.O.G.G.R.
- 16 STATE LAW GIVES D.O.G.G.R. THE RESPONSIBILITY TO REGULATE OIL
- 17 OPERATIONS IN SUCH A MANNER AS TO PREVENT DAMAGE TO LIFE,
- 18 HEALTH, PROPERTY AND NATURAL RESOURCES. THIS INCLUDES
- 19 OVERSIGHT OF WITHDRAWAL AND INJECTION RATES RELATIVE TO GROUND
- 20 MOVEMENT. IF THAT MOVEMENT HAS CAUSED OR CAN CAUSE DAMAGE.
- 21 REGARDING THE BALDWIN HILLS, WHAT HAS BEEN MISSING UP TO THIS
- 22 POINT IS ACCURATE AND COMPREHENSIVE INFORMATION RELATIVE TO
- 23 THE LATERAL AND VERTICAL GROUND MOVEMENT, AND IF THERE IS
- 24 MOVEMENT, ITS IMPACTS TO THE SURROUNDING PROPERTIES. THIS
- 25 ORDINANCE GIVES THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THE INFORMATION IT



- 1 NEEDS TO BETTER REGULATE INJECTION RATES SO AS TO PREVENT
- 2 DAMAGE TO PROPERTY. IT ALSO GIVES US AT THE COUNTY THE ABILITY
- 3 TO ACT AS WATCH DOGS, REVIEWING THE INFORMATION AND MAKING
- 4 SURE THAT D.O.G.G.R. TAKES APPROPRIATE ACTION WHEN NECESSARY.
- 5 IN ADDITION, THIS INFORMATION WILL BE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC,
- 6 CREATING A TRANSPARENCY THAT UP TO THIS POINT HAS NOT EXISTED.
- 7 THE ORDINANCE ADDRESSES THIS WITH A FOUR PRONGED APPROACH.
- 8 NUMBER ONE, AN ACCUMULATED GROUND MOVEMENT STUDY WILL BE
- 9 REQUIRED THAT GATHERS HISTORICAL DATA, INCLUDING SATELLITE
- 10 IMAGERY, TO DETERMINE WHAT HAS BEEN HAPPENING IN THE PAST
- 11 RELATIVE TO GROUND MOVEMENT. THIS WILL PROVIDE VALUABLE DATA
- 12 AND ACT AS A BASELINE FOR FUTURE GROUND MOVEMENT MONITORING.
- 13 NUMBER TWO, A GROUND MOVEMENT MONITORING PLAN THAT IS
- 14 ACCEPTABLE TO THE D.O.G.G.R. AND THE COUNTY IS REQUIRED. THIS
- 15 WILL SET THE STAGE FOR ONGOING MEASUREMENTS OF GROUND
- 16 MOVEMENT, AND GIVE THE COUNTY AND THE STATE THE INFORMATION IT
- 17 NEEDS TO PROVIDE APPROPRIATE OVERSIGHT OF THESE OPERATIONS.
- 18 NUMBER THREE, REPORTS CORRELATED ANY REPORTED OR SUSPECTED
- 19 DAMAGE AS REOUIRED. THIS ALLOWS THE COUNTY TO MONITOR THE
- 20 IMPACTS OF THE OPERATIONS AND TO ALERT THE STATE OF ANY
- 21 CONCERNS SO THAT THEY CAN TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION. AND NUMBER
- 22 FOUR, ALL OF THIS INFORMATION WILL BE SHARED WITH THE MULTIPLE
- 23 AGENCY COORDINATING COMMITTEE, OR M.A.C. THAT ALSO INCLUDES
- 24 D.O.G.G.R., BY THE WAY, AND THE PUBLIC WILL ALSO GET IT
- 25 THROUGH THE COMMUNITY ADVISORY PANEL. THIS PROVIDES ONCE AGAIN



- 1 FOR COMPLETE TRANSPARENCY AND GIVES ANY AND ALL INTERESTED
- 2 PARTIES ACCESS TO THIS INFORMATION. IN ADDITION THERE ARE
- 3 PROVISIONS IN THE C.S.D. THAT ADDRESS APPROPRIATE OVERSIGHT
- 4 REGARDING GRADING, DRAINAGE, EROSION CONTROL, WATER OUALITY
- 5 AND GEOTECHNICAL AND SEISMIC REVIEW OF THE STRUCTURES. PUBLIC
- 6 WORKS WILL BE INVOLVED IN THESE ASPECTS AS WELL. AND IN
- 7 CONCLUSION, PUBLIC WORKS IS SATISFIED WITH WHAT THE C.S.D.
- 8 GIVES US. IT REQUIRES GATHERING MUCH NEEDED AND CRUCIAL
- 9 INFORMATION THAT WILL PROVE TO BE VERY USEFUL TO VERY MANY
- 10 PEOPLE AND ALLOWS THE TECHNICAL EXPERTS AT THE COUNTY AND THE
- 11 STATE TO PROVIDE APPROPRIATE OVERSIGHT. I WILL NOW HAND THE
- 12 MICROPHONE OVER TO MIKE MONTGOMERY THE COUNTY SENIOR GEOLOGIST
- 13 TO DISCUSS CONCERNS REGARDING EARTHQUAKES.

- 15 MIKE MONTGOMERY: GOOD AFTERNOON MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, LADIES
- 16 AND GENTLEMEN. IN RESPONSE TO A REQUEST FROM REGIONAL
- 17 PLANNING, MY STAFF AND I HAVE REVIEWED THE ENVIRONMENTAL
- 18 DOCUMENTS AND THE PROPOSED BALDWIN HILLS COMMUNITY STANDARDS
- 19 DISTRICT. THE INGLEWOOD OIL FIELD WAS DISCOVERED IN 1924. AS A
- 20 RESULT OF DRILLING AND PUMPING OPERATIONS THE HYDROSTATIC
- 21 PRESSURES WITHIN THE RESERVOIR DECLINED RAPIDLY OVER THE NEXT
- 22 30 YEARS FROM 570 TO 50 POUNDS PER SQUARE INCH. THIS REDUCTION
- 23 IN HYDROSTATIC PRESSURE NEGATIVELY IMPACTED THE OPERATOR'S
- 24 ABILITY TO WITHDRAW FLUIDS FROM THE RESERVOIR AND ALSO CAUSED
- 25 SUBSIDENCE AND GROUND CRACKING IN THE AREA. IN 1954, OPERATORS



- 1 OF THE FIELD BEGAN A FLOOD PROGRAM WHERE INJECTION WELLS
- 2 INTRODUCED WATER BACK INTO THE RESERVOIR TO INCREASE THE
- 3 HYDROSTATIC PRESSURES BACK TO ITS ORIGINAL CONDITION AND ALSO
- 4 TO ASSIST IN OIL RECOVERY. THE PROGRAM NOT ONLY SUCCEEDED IN
- 5 ENHANCING OIL RECOVERY BUT ALSO HELPED TO MITIGATE THE ONGOING
- 6 SUBSIDENCE AND THE NUMBER OF GROUND CRACKINGS OBSERVED. OVER
- 7 THE NEXT 55 YEARS, THE PROGRAM HAS SUCCESSFULLY REINTRODUCED
- 8 FLUIDS INTO THE RESERVOIR WITHOUT TRIGGERING ANY SEISMIC
- 9 EVENTS. IT MUST BE NOTED THAT WATER FLOODING PROGRAM ONLY
- 10 REPLACES THE FLUIDS WITHDRAWN AND IS CAREFULLY MONITORED BY
- 11 D.O.G.G.R. IT DOES NOT ADD ADDITIONAL FLUIDS THAT INCREASE
- 12 PRESSURES. AGAIN, WATER FLOODING PRESSURES ARE CAREFULLY
- 13 MONITORED BY D.O.G.G.R. SO AS TO NOT HARM THE ENVIRONMENT.
- 14 EARTHQUAKES INDUCED BY FLUID INJECTIONS HAVE BEEN DOCUMENTED
- 15 IN ONLY THREE LOCATIONS THROUGHOUT THE WORLD. BASED UPON OUR
- 16 RESEARCH, TWO CONDITIONS WERE PRESENT THAT RESULTED IN
- 17 INCREASED SEISMIC ACTIVITY. ONE, HIGHER THAN NORMAL
- 18 HYDROSTATIC PRESSURES. AND, TWO, INJECTION OF FLUIDS INTO
- 19 LOCATIONS COINCIDENT WITH THE HYPOCENTER OF POTENTIAL
- 20 EARTHQUAKES. WE BELIEVE THAT THESE TWO CONDITIONS ARE NOT
- 21 PRESENT AT THIS LOCATION. FIRST, THE RESERVOIR PRESSURES ARE
- 22 CAREFULLY MONITORED TO ENSURE THEY DO NOT EXCEED HISTORIC
- 23 RESERVOIR PRESSURES. AND SECOND EARTHOUAKES IN SOUTHERN
- 24 CALIFORNIA ARE GENERALLY INITIATE DEPTHS AT 10 KILOMETERS. IN
- 25 THIS OPERATION, WATER FLOODING IS PLANNED AT DEPTHS NO GREATER



- 1 THAN 3 KILOMETERS. IN CONCLUSION WE CON CONCUR WITH D.O.G.G.R.
- 2 THAT THE ONGOING WATER FLOODING PROGRAM WILL NOT CAUSE
- 3 INCREASED SEISMIC ACTIVE IN THE AREA. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

- 5 FRANK LEDESMA: GOOD AFTERNOON MADAME CHAIRMAN, THE BOARD. FOR
- 6 THE RECORD MY NAME IS FRANK JOSEPH LEDESMA, SPELLED L-E-D-E-S-
- 7 M-A. I WORK FOR THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT. I'M A
- 8 FIRE CAPTAIN ASSIGNED TO THE FIRE PREVENTION DIVISION, WHICH
- 9 IS PART OF THE DEPARTMENT'S PREVENTION BUREAU. I'M THE
- 10 SUPERVISOR OVER THE PETROLEUM CHEMICAL UNIT OTHERWISE KNOWN AS
- 11 THE P.C.U. IN THE INTERESTS OF TIME AND THE REDUCTION OF
- 12 REDUNDANCY, I AM ALSO REPRESENTING THE CAL.A.R.P. UNIT. FOR
- 13 THE RECORD, THAT IS SPELLED CAPITAL C, LOWER CASE A, L,
- 14 CAPITAL A, R, P. THE CAL.A.R.P. UNIT IS PART OF THE FIRE
- 15 DEPARTMENT'S HEALTH HAZARDOUS MATERIALS DIVISION WHICH IS ALSO
- 16 PART OF THE DEPARTMENT'S PREVENTION BUREAU. CHAPTER 27 OF THE
- 17 2008 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES FIRE CODE ADDRESSES GENERAL
- 18 PROVISIONS FORCE THE PREVENTION, CONTROL AND MITIGATION OF
- 19 DANGEROUS CONDITIONS RELATED TO THE STORAGE, DISPENSING USE
- 20 AND HANDLING OF CERTAIN HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. CHAPTER 34 OF THE
- 21 SAME FIRE CODE ADDRESSES PREVENTION, CONTROL AND MITIGATION OF
- 22 DANGEROUS CONDITIONS RELATED TO STORAGE, USE, DISPENSING,
- 23 MIXING AND HANDLING OF FLAMMABLE AND COMBUSTIBLE LIQUIDS.
- 24 CHAPTER 38 ADDRESSES THE SAME MATTERS OF CONCERN WHEN THEY
- 25 APPLY TO LIQUEFIED PETROLEUM GASES. WHEN BUSINESSES SUCH AS AN



- 1 OIL FIELD, A PETROLEUM REFINERY, A SANITATION TREATMENT PLANT
- 2 OR A WATER TREATMENT FACILITY OPERATE WITHIN THE
- 3 JURISDICTIONAL BOUNDARIES OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY FIRE
- 4 DEPARTMENT, THOSE BUSINESSES SUBMIT VARIOUS DOCUMENTS TO BOTH
- 5 THE P.C.U. AND THE CAL.A.R.P. UNIT, SUPPORTING THEIR
- 6 COMPLIANCE WITH CHAPTERS 27, 34, AND 38 OF THE FIRE CODE. THE
- 7 CAL.A.R.P. UNIT ADMINISTERS THE CALIFORNIA ACCIDENTAL RELEASE
- 8 PREVENTION PROGRAM. IN DOING SO, THE CAL.A.R.P. STAFF COLLECTS
- 9 AND REVIEWS REVIEWS THE COMPANY'S RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN, THEY
- 10 CONDUCT FACILITY INSPECTIONS, THEY ACT AS CONSULTANTS TO THE
- 11 BUSINESS OWNER, THE OPERATOR AND TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC. THE
- 12 P.C.U. ALSO REVIEWS AND COLLECTS VARIOUS DOCUMENTS FROM THE
- 13 BUSINESS OWNERS, OPERATORS OR THEIR AGENTS. THE P.C.U.'S
- 14 RESPONSIBLE FOR ENSURING COMPLIANCE WITH THE FIRE CODE AS IT
- 15 RELATES TO STRUCTURES, PROCESSES, PREMISES AND THE
- 16 IMPLEMENTATION OF SAFEGUARDS SPECIFICALLY DESIGNED TO PROTECT
- 17 AGAINST CONDITIONS THAT ARE HAZARDOUS TO LIFE, PROPERTY AND
- 18 THE PUBLIC WELFARE. ON MANY OCCASIONS, THE P.C.U. AND THE
- 19 CAL.A.R.P. UNIT COMBINE OUR RESOURCES IN AN EFFORT TO ENSURE
- 20 COMPLIANCE WITH THE MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS CONSISTENT WITH
- 21 NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED GOOD PRACTICE FOR PROVIDING A REASONABLE
- 22 LEVEL OF LIFE SAFETY AND PROPERTY PROTECTION FROM THE HAZARDS
- 23 OF FIRE, EXPLOSION OR DANGEROUS CONDITIONS IN NEW AND EXISTING
- 24 BUILDINGS, STRUCTURES AND PREMISES AND TO PROVIDE SAFETY TO
- 25 FIREFIGHTERS AND EMERGENCY RESPONDERS DURING EMERGENCY



- 1 OPERATIONS. SUCH HAS BEEN THE CASE WITH THE BUSINESS KNOWN TO
- 2 US AS P.X.P., THE BALDWIN HILLS C.S.D. AND THE DRAFT E.I.R.
- 3 MEMBERS OF BOTH THE P.C.U. AND THE CAL.A.R.P. UNIT HAVE
- 4 DONATED COUNTLESS HOURS, WE HAVE CONDUCTED INDIVIDUAL AND
- 5 JOINT SITE INSPECTIONS, REVIEWED COUNTLESS DOCUMENTS AND
- 6 ATTENDED NUMEROUS MEETINGS IN RELATION TO THIS MATTER. WE
- 7 REVIEWED THE C.S.D. AND DRAFT E.I.R. AND ALL OF THE AMENDMENTS
- 8 TO SUCH. WE PROVIDED OUR RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COMPANY, THE
- 9 CONSULTANTS AND TO REGIONAL PLANNING. THE RESULT OF THIS
- 10 COLLABORATIVE EFFORT IS A SERIES OF FIRE SAFETY REQUIREMENTS
- 11 WHICH MEET AND EXCITED THOSE CURRENTLY IN PLACE FOR THE
- 12 AVERAGE OIL PRODUCING COMPANY WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THE
- 13 LOS ANGELES COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT. SHOULD THE C.S.D. BE
- 14 ADOPTED AND IMPLEMENTED, THE OPERATOR OF THE BALDWIN HILLS OIL
- 15 FIELDS WOULD BE REGULATED MORE LIKE A SMALL PETROLEUM REFINERY
- 16 INSTEAD OF AN OIL FIELD. THIS IN FACT WOULD BE MORE
- 17 RESTRICTIVE THAN IF THE C.S.D. WERE NOT IMPLEMENTED. NOW DR.
- 18 SIMON FROM HEALTH.

- 20 DR. PAUL SIMON: GOOD AFTERNOON, MADAME CHAIR AND BOARD
- 21 MEMBERS. LET ME SNEAK IN HERE A LITTLE BIT. AS CAPTAIN LEDESMA
- 22 SAID, I'M FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH. I CONDUCT
- 23 CHRONIC DISEASE AND INJURY CONTROL, AND FOR THE RECORD, MY
- 24 NAME IS PAUL SIMON, S-I-M-O-N. WE WERE ASKED TO REVIEW THE
- 25 E.I.R. IN REGARDS TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH ASPECTS OF THE C.S.D.



- 1 AND OUR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH DIVISION AND OUR TOXICS
- 2 EPIDEMIOLOGY PROGRAM DID REVIEW THE E.I.R. I'D LIKE TO MAKE
- 3 JUST FOUR QUICK POINTS. ONE IS THAT OUR STAFF FELT THAT IT WAS
- 4 A SOLID E.I.R. IT WAS COMPREHENSIVE AND IT FOLLOWED STANDARD
- 5 METHODOLOGIES. AND THE RESULTS IN TERMS OF AIR TOXICS
- 6 INDICATED THAT THE LEVEL OF RISK WAS NOT AT OR ABOVE THE
- 7 THRESHOLD THAT SHOULD RAISE CONCERN FOR THOSE THAT LIVE NEAR
- 8 THE OIL FIELD. SECOND POINT I'D LIKE TO MAKE, THOUGH, IS THAT
- 9 GIVEN THE SIZE OF THE OPERATION, THE FACT THAT THERE IS DENSE
- 10 RESIDENTIAL POPULATIONS IMMEDIATELY AROUND THE OIL FIELDS,
- 11 THERE IS A NEED FOR ONGOING VIGILANCE. AND I THINK THAT
- 12 VIGILANCE HAS BEEN DESCRIBED IN THE TESTIMONY YOU'VE HEARD SO
- 13 FAR. THIRD, WE WERE ASKED TO OPINE ON WHETHER IT WOULD BE OF
- 14 VALUE TO DO A DOOR-TO-DOOR HEALTH SURVEY TO DETERMINE IF THE
- 15 OIL FIELDS WERE ACTUALLY MAKING PEOPLE SICK. PARTICULAR
- 16 CONCERNS ABOUT CANCER AND RESPIRATORY CONDITIONS. AND WE DID
- 17 NOT BELIEVE THAT THAT WOULD BE A GOOD USE OF RESOURCES OR THAT
- 18 IT WOULD YIELD INFORMATION THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL. AND THE
- 19 REASON IS THAT THE HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT IS A MUCH, MUCH MORE
- 20 SENSITIVE METHOD FOR DETERMINING HEALTH RISK. THE LEVEL OF
- 21 RISK THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IS SO LOW THAT YOU WOULD NEVER
- 22 BE ABLE TO SEE THE EFFECTS OF THE OIL FIELD IN A GENERAL
- 23 POPULATION SURVEY WHERE THERE ARE SO MANY OTHER FACTORS
- 24 INFLUENCING HEALTH STATUS. WITH THAT SAID, THOUGH, WE HAVE MET
- 25 WITH COMMUNITY REPRESENTATIVES, AND WE DO APPRECIATE THE LEVEL



- 1 OF CONCERN. AND IN FURTHER DISCUSSIONS, WE'VE COME TO THE
- 2 CONCLUSION THAT IT WOULD BE REASONABLE TO DO WHAT I'VE CALLED
- 3 THE COMMUNITY SURVEY. I WOULDN'T CALL IT A HEALTH STUDY. BUT A
- 4 COMMUNITY SURVEY THAT WOULD BE DONE PERHAPS A YEAR OR TWO INTO
- 5 THIS PERIOD OF THE C.S.D. THAT WOULD BE ABLE TO ASSESS THE
- 6 IMPACTS OF THE OIL FIELDS ON THE NEIGHBORING POPULATIONS WITH
- 7 REGARD TO QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES, ODORS, NOISE, VIBRATION. WE
- 8 COULD INCLUDE QUESTIONS ON SPECIFIC HEALTH CONDITIONS. WE'D
- 9 HAVE TO WORD THOSE HEALTH CONDITIONS VERY CAREFULLY SO THAT WE
- 10 COULD COMPARE THE RESULT WITH OTHER COMMUNITIES AROUND THE
- 11 COUNTY FOR WHICH WE GET INFORMATION FROM OUR L.A. COUNTY
- 12 HEALTH SURVEY. I THINK IT WOULD BE USEFUL BACKGROUND
- 13 INFORMATION TO ASSESS WHETHER THERE IS ONGOING IMPACTS OF THE
- 14 OIL FIELDS IN NEIGHBORING COMMUNITIES. BUT I WOULD UNDERSCORE
- 15 THAT IT WOULD NOT BE A DEFINITIVE SORT OF CAUSE AND EFFECT
- 16 TYPE OF HEALTH STUDY. AND AGAIN THE BEST WAY TO ASSESS HEALTH
- 17 RISK IS THROUGH THE HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT WHICH WAS DONE AS
- 18 PART OF THE E.I.R. THANK YOU.

- 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL I'D JUST LIKE TO ADD THAT-- AND I
- 21 THINK THAT YOU HAVE CONCURRED WITH THIS-- THAT FROM OUR
- 22 DISCRETIONARY FUNDS, WE WILL TAKE \$155,000, TRANSFER IT TO THE
- 23 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SO THAT THEY CAN HAVE A SURVEY IN OCTOBER
- 24 OF 2010 TO EVALUATE THE HEALTH ISSUES AND TO BE ABLE TO HAVE A
- 25 BASELINE IN ORDER TO BE ABLE TO COMPARE IN THE FUTURE. AND IF



- 1 NO ONE WANTS ME TO PUT THAT MONEY IN, I WON'T. BUT I THINK
- 2 THAT IT'S A RESPONSIBLE THING IS TO PROVIDE THE MONEY TO THE
- 3 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH IN ORDER FOR THAT TO HAPPEN. IT WILL BE
- 4 PUBLIC HEALTH. WILL IT GO TO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH OR PUBLIC
- 5 HEALTH?

6

7 DR. PAUL SIMON: PUBLIC HEALTH.

8

- 9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IT WILL GO TO PUBLIC HEALTH. BUT IT CAN BE
- 10 TRANSFERRED TO THEM NOW SO THAT THE FUNDS WOULD BE AVAILABLE
- 11 FOR THAT KIND OF A SURVEY. ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU.

- 13 ELAINE LEMKE: MADAME CHAIR? I'M GOING TO COMPLETE THE STAFF
- 14 REPORT. MY NAME IS ELAINE LEMKE I'M PRINCIPAL DEPUTY COUNSEL
- 15 WHO HAS SAT AT THE COMMISSION FOR THE MAJORITY OF THESE
- 16 HEARINGS. AND THE ISSUES I WANTED TO ADDRESS TODAY IS THAT
- 17 DURING THE PROCESS, OUR OFFICE WAS ASKED ABOUT C.E.Q.A.
- 18 PROCEDURAL ISSUES, AMONG OTHER THINGS. THE KEY ONES IN THAT
- 19 REGARD WERE FIRST, THAT A LONGER PERIOD TO COMMENT ON THE
- 20 DRAFT E.I.R. WAS REQUIRED AND THEREFORE THE COUNTY SHOULD HAVE
- 21 EXTENDED THAT COMMENT PERIOD. AND THE SECOND COMMENT WAS THAT
- 22 THE E.I.R MUST BE RECIRCULATED. ON THOSE ISSUES, WITH RESPECT
- 23 TO THE COMMENT PERIOD, THE C.E.Q.A. GUIDELINES PROVIDE THAT AN
- 24 E.I.R. REVIEW PERIOD SHALL BE AT LEAST 30 DAYS, MUST BE 45
- 25 DAYS, WHEN LIKE HERE THE E.I.R. WAS SUBMITTED TO THE STATE



- 1 CLEARINGHOUSE, AND NOT MORE THAN 60 DAYS EXCEPT IN UNUSUAL
- 2 CIRCUMSTANCES. THE COUNTY SET A 60-DAY PERIOD, WHICH OBVIOUSLY
- 3 EXCEEDS THE 45 DAYS REQUIRED. AS TO RECIRCULATION,
- 4 RECIRCULATION OF A DRAFT E.I.R. IS ONLY REQUIRED IF
- 5 SIGNIFICANT NEW INFORMATION IS ADDED TO THE E.I.R. AFTER
- 6 PUBLIC NOTICE IS GIVEN OF ITS AVAILABILITY. IN HERE THE MAIN
- 7 ARGUMENT ASSERTING THAT RECIRCULATION WAS REQUIRED BECAUSE THE
- 8 NEW RECOMMENDED C.S.D. IS SUBSTANTIALLY DIFFERENT FROM THE ONE
- 9 ORIGINALLY SUBMITTED FROM THE APPLICANT P.X.P., AND THEREFORE
- 10 THE PROJECT HAS CHANGED. OUR OFFICE DOES NOT AGREE THAT
- 11 MODIFYING THE C.S.D. IN THIS WAY QUALIFIES AS SIGNIFICANT NEW
- 12 INFORMATION. IN FACT, THAT THE C.S.D. RECOMMENDED BY THE
- 13 PLANNING COMMISSION INCLUDES MITIGATION MEASURES OVER AND
- 14 ABOVE THOSE SET FORTH IN THE APPLICANT'S PROPOSED C.S.D.
- 15 REFLECTS THAT THE C.E.Q.A. PROCESS WAS WORKING. IT IS NOT
- 16 UNUSUAL, AS WANTON HERE, TO MAKE CHANGES IN A PROJECT THAT
- 17 REDUCE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AS A RESULT OF AN ANALYSIS IN A
- 18 DRAFT E.I.R. THERE ARE ONLY LIMITED CIRCUMSTANCES OR CHANGES
- 19 IDENTIFIED IN THE C.E.Q.A GUIDELINES THAT QUALIFY AS
- 20 SIGNIFICANT NEW INFORMATION AND THAT THE COUNTY IS CONSIDERING
- 21 A C.S.D. REVISED FROM THAT ORIGINALLY PROPOSED TO ADDRESS
- 22 ISSUES DISCUSSED IN THE DRAFT E.I.R. DOES NOT FALL INTO ANY OF
- 23 THOSE CATEGORIES. IN OTHER ARGUMENTS REGARDING RECIRCULATION,
- 24 RELATED TO CLAIMS ABOUT OVERALL INADEQUACY OF THE E.I.R., IN



- 1 OUR OPINION, THE DOCUMENT WILL WITHSTAND LEGAL CHALLENGES. I
- 2 THINK THAT CONCLUDES THE STAFF'S PRESENTATION.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU. WHAT I WILL DO AT
- 5 THIS POINT IS I WILL START CALLING UP-- THANK YOU VERY MUCH--
- 6 ELECTED OFFICIALS AND THEN ALSO SOME PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN
- 7 INVOLVED AND THEN ULTIMATELY WILL GET TO THE MASSIVE NUMBER OF
- 8 PEOPLE HERE WHO ARE OPPOSED. BUT WE'LL DO THIS GROUP FIRST AND
- 9 THEN WE WILL GET TO THE REST OF THE PEOPLE WHO ARE OPPOSED.
- 10 SOME OF THE ELECTED OFFICIALS ARE FOR, SOME ARE OPPOSED, SOME
- 11 HAVE NO POSITION. SO WE'LL HEAR FROM ALL OF THEM. BERNARD
- 12 PARKS, SCOTT MALSIN, WHO IS MAYOR OF CULVER CITY, COUNCILMAN
- 13 ARMENTA, FROM CITY OF CULVER CITY, COUNCILMAN SILBIGER, FROM
- 14 THE CITY OF CULVER CITY, WOULD YOU PLEASE COME FORWARD.
- 15 COUNCILMAN O'LEARY, WE'RE GOING TO CALL YOU UP, ALSO. WE WOULD
- 16 ASK YOU TO TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION THAT WE HAVE THIS NUMBER OF
- 17 PEOPLE WAITING TO SPEAK. ORDINARILY-- WE WOULD LIKE TO ASK
- 18 THAT YOU TRY TO KEEP WITHIN THE TWO MINUTES. ARE THE OTHER
- 19 COUNCIL PEOPLE HERE?

20

- 21 SCOTT MALSIN: ACTUALLY, MR. O'LEARY AND I ARE THE ONLY TWO
- 22 THAT ARE LEFT. THE OTHER TWO COUNCILMEMBERS FROM CULVER CITY
- 23 HAD TO RETURN TO WORK.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I'M SORRY. SAUNDRA DAVIS, WOULD YOU LIKE TO
- 2 COME FORWARD? SYLVIA CASTILLO? ALL RIGHT. YES, PLEASE, THANK
- 3 YOU.

4

5 SCOTT MALSIN: MAY I HAVE A COUPLE OF MINUTES, PLEASE?

6

7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SURE.

- 9 SCOTT MALSIN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I'M SCOTT MALSIN. I'M THE
- 10 MAYOR OF CULVER CITY. I'D LIKE TO SAY THAT I APPLAUD YOU FOR
- 11 TAKING BOLD STEPS TO ADDRESS ISSUES RELATING TO THE OPERATIONS
- 12 OF THE BALDWIN HILLS OIL FIELD, WHICH IN AGGREGATE CONSTITUTE
- 13 AN EXTREMELY INDUSTRIALIZED IN OUR HEAVILY POPULATED REGION,
- 14 ONE WHICH HAS OPERATED WITHOUT ADEQUATE REGULATION FOR
- 15 DECADES. IT IS CULVER CITY'S POSITION THAT THE PROCESS WHICH
- 16 HAS BROUGHT US TO THIS POINT HAS BEEN FLAWED, THE DOCUMENT
- 17 UPON WHICH THE E.I.R. HAS BEEN BASED THE COMMUNITY STANDARDS
- 18 DISTRICT ORDINANCE ITSELF HAS BEEN SIGNIFICANTLY CHANGED
- 19 SEVERAL TIMES SINCE THE D.E.I.R. WAS ISSUED. WE BELIEVE THAT
- 20 THE D.E.I.R. NEEDS TO BE RECIRCULATED. HOWEVER, SHOULD THE
- 21 COUNTY DECIDE TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE C.S.D., CULVER CITY
- 22 BELIEVES THAT THE FOLLOWING SIGNIFICANT ISSUES NEED TO BE
- 23 ADDRESSED WITHIN IT. THE C.S.D. SHOULD REQUIRE CONSOLIDATION
- 24 OF OIL WELL DRILLING. ALL NEW WELLS SHOULD BE SUBJECT TO A
- 25 DISCRETIONARY REVIEW PROCESS AND THERE SHOULD BE A LOWER CAP



- 1 THAN THE ONE WHICH THE STAFF MENTIONED ON THE NUMBER OF WELLS
- 2 THAT CAN BE DRILLED ANNUALLY WITHOUT ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL
- 3 REVIEW. THERE ARE NUMEROUS IMPLEMENTATION GUIDELINES NOTED IN
- 4 THE ORDINANCE WHICH HAVE YET TO BE SPELLED OUT. THESE
- 5 GUIDELINES ARE INTENDED TO FOCUS ON ISSUES SUCH AS NOISE AND
- 6 DUST MITIGATION AND VAPOR MONITORING. MITIGATION PLANS AND
- 7 GUIDELINES SHOULD BE DEVELOPED AND/OR REVIEWED BY INDEPENDENT
- 8 EXPERTS. ONGOING INCREMENTAL CLEAN UP OF THE OIL FIELDS SHOULD
- 9 BE REQUIRED. THERE ARE A MULTITUDE OF WELLS WHICH WERE
- 10 ABANDONED YEARS AGO WHEN STANDARDS WERE MUCH LESS STRINGENT
- 11 THAN THEY ARE TODAY AND MUCH LESS STRINGENT THAN THE RULES
- 12 THAT YOU MAY WELL BE ADOPTING SHORTLY. THERE SHOULD BE A PLAN
- 13 FOR THE EVENTUAL CONVERSION OF THE OIL FIELDS TO A NATURAL
- 14 STATE CONSISTENT WITH THE COUNTY'S GENERAL PLAN. NOW IS THE
- 15 TIME TO REOUIRE THAT P.X.P. IMPLEMENT MEASURES TO PREPARE THE
- 16 WAY FOR THE CREATION OF THE GREAT PARK OUR COMMUNITY SO
- 17 DESPERATELY DESIRES AND DESERVES. [APPLAUSE.] JUST A LITTLE
- 18 BIT LEFT IF IT'S ALL RIGHT.

19

20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: CERTAINLY.

- 22 SCOTT MALSIN: POTENTIAL HEALTH IMPACTS FROM OIL DRILLING
- 23 OPERATIONS CONTINUE TO BE A MAJOR CONCERN OF THE COMMUNITIES
- 24 SURROUNDING THE OIL FIELD. AS SUCH THERE NEEDS TO BE
- 25 PROVISIONS FOR PERIODIC HEALTH ASSESSMENTS IN THOSE



- 1 COMMUNITIES. OUR CONSTITUENTS DESERVE NOTHING LESS THAN THE
- 2 MOST STRINGENT STANDARDS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS FOR AIR
- 3 QUALITY ISSUES CONSIDERING THE SITUATION OF THESE OIL FIELDS
- 4 IN THE HEART OF OUR HEAVILY POPULATED REGION. ONE BASIC
- 5 OUESTION: ARE ALL OF THESE STRICTER NEW REGULATIONS INTENDED
- 6 TO APPLY RETROSPECTIVELY, THE FIRE SAFETY, THE AIR QUALITY
- 7 MEASURES? LASTLY I'D JUST LIKE TO NOTE FOR THE RECORD THAT
- 8 CULVER CITY HAS SUBMITTED EXTENSIVE COMMENTS THROUGHOUT THIS
- 9 PROCESS ON THE D.E.I.R. ON AUGUST 19TH, ON THE C.S.D. ON
- 10 SEPTEMBER 10TH, OCTOBER 1ST, OCTOBER 8TH AND FINALLY TODAY ON
- 11 THE F.E.I.R. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, CHAIR BURKE.

13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU.

12

14

15 MEHAUL O'LEARY: MADAME CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD.

- 16 CHRISTOPHER ARMENTA, AS HAS BEEN SAID ALREADY GARY SILBIGER
- 17 HAD TO LEAVE EARLIER AND SEND THEIR APOLOGIES. OBVIOUSLY
- 18 CULVER CITY BELIEVES THAT THE DRAFT E.I.R. SHOULD BE
- 19 RECIRCULATED. HOWEVER, IF THE COUNTY DECIDES TO MOVE FORWARD
- 20 ON THIS, THE CONSOLIDATION OF OIL WELL DRILLING IS A CONCERN
- 21 OF OURS. THE NUMBER OF WELLS SHOULD BE CAPPED AT 15 PER YEAR.
- 22 IMPLEMENTATION GUIDELINES OF OIL DRILLING OPERATIONS HAVE YET
- 23 TO BE DEVELOPED. I CAN SEE BY THE REPORT THAT YOU'RE WORKING
- 24 ON THAT, GOVERNING NOISE REDUCTION, DUST MITIGATION,
- 25 LANDSCAPING TO ADDRESS VISUAL IMPACTS. AND I THANK YOU FOR



- 1 THAT. WE SUGGEST INDEPENDENT EXPERTS IN THE AREA OF OIL FIELD
- 2 REGULATION. WE LOOK FOR OVERSIGHT, OBVIOUSLY. THE POTENTIAL
- 3 HEALTH IMPACTS ARE OF A MAJOR CONCERN OF OURS. PERIODIC HEALTH
- 4 ASSESSMENTS IS SOMETHING I BELIEVE ALSO THAT YOU'RE WORKING
- 5 ON. WE WOULD LIKE YOU TO GO AHEAD WITH THE SURVEYS. CANCER
- 6 CLUSTERS ARE A THING THAT WE HAVE NOTICED IN THE BEVERLY HILLS
- 7 AREA. AND WE WOULD BE AFRAID THAT SOMETHING LIKE THAT WOULD
- 8 HAPPEN OBVIOUSLY IN CULVER CITY, AND IT'S A MAJOR CONCERN OF
- 9 OURS. WE WOULD ALSO LIKE TO SEE THE ONGOING CLEAN UP OF THE
- 10 OIL FIELDS. AND THE PLAN OF EVENTUAL CONVERSION OF THE OIL
- 11 FIELDS TO ITS NATURAL STATE, CONSISTENT WITH THE COUNTY'S
- 12 GENERAL PLAN. OBVIOUSLY TARGETED CLOSURE DATES WOULD BE VERY
- 13 ACCEPTABLE TO US. AND OBVIOUSLY SUPERVISOR BURKE, SINCE THIS
- 14 IS PROBABLY THE LAST TIME I GET TO SPEAK TO YOU IN PUBLIC,
- 15 SINCE YOU ARE LEAVING OFFICE SOON, I WOULD LIKE TO TELL YOU
- 16 THAT CULVER CITY ABSOLUTELY APPRECIATES WHAT YOU HAVE DONE FOR
- 17 THEM. I HAVEN'T BEEN INVOLVED IN POLITICS IN CULVER CITY, BUT
- 18 YOU ARE A LEGEND FOR WHAT YOU HAVE DONE FOR US. AND THIS IS
- 19 NOW THE TWILIGHT. [APPLAUSE.] YOU ARE WRITING THE BOOK OF YOUR
- 20 LEGACY AND THIS IS NOW THE FINAL CHAPTER. AND I HOPE IT IS A
- 21 HAPPY ENDING. THANK YOU.

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANKS VERY MUCH. AND WE WON'T HAVE ANY
- 24 APPLAUSE. I SEE YOU HAVE YOUR SIGNS. YOU HAVE YOUR YES, YOUR
- 25 NOS. YOU CAN DO THAT OR YOU CAN DO LIKE THIS. NO MORE



- 1 APPLAUSE. THANK YOU. AND I'D LIKE TO ASK BILL PIAZZA TO COME
- 2 FORWARD. DR. FRED MURRAY, FREDERICK MURRAY. EARL HUTCHINSON.
- 3 WOULD THEY PLEASE COME FORWARD? YES. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

4

- 5 SAUNDRA DAVIS: GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS SAUNDRA DAVIS. BUT I
- 6 ALSO WOULD ASK THAT I BE ABLE TO READ WHAT MY OTHER ELECTED
- 7 BOARD MEMBER LEFT, AS WELL. SCOTT ZEIDMAN DURING THIS TIME?

8

- 9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AS LONG AS IT'S SHORT, SURE, GO RIGHT
- 10 AHEAD.

- 12 SAUNDRA DAVIS: I'LL READ HIS FIRST. IT SAYS, "GOOD MORNING MY
- 13 NAME SCOTT ZEIDMAN AND I HAVE LIVED IN CULVER CITY PRETTY MUCH
- 14 MY ENTIRE LIFE. I IMAGINE THAT YOUR POSITION AS COUNTY
- 15 SUPERVISOR IS CHALLENGING AFTER ALL, YOU ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR
- 16 THE HEALTH AND WELL-BEING OF MILLIONS AND MILLIONS OF LOS
- 17 ANGELES COUNTY RESIDENTS. MY POSITION AS A BOARD MEMBER OF THE
- 18 CULVER CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT IS NOT AS DIFFICULT AS
- 19 YOURS, BUT IT, TOO, HAS ITS OWN UNIQUE CHALLENGES. AS A MEMBER
- 20 OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATION, I AM RESPONSIBLE FOR THE HEALTH AND
- 21 WELL-BEING OF THOUSANDS OF ADULTS AND CHILDREN AS WELL AS
- 22 IMPORTANTLY FOR OVER 6,500 CHILDREN. THESE ARE CHILDREN WITH
- 23 SMALL AND DEVELOPING BODIES, CHILDREN WHO ARE MORE SUSCEPTIBLE
- 24 TO ILLNESS THAN ADULTS, CHILDREN HAVE NO CHOICE BUT TO GO TO
- 25 SCHOOL, AND CHILDREN WHO HAVE ABSOLUTELY NO VOTE. MANY, IF NOT



- 1 ALL OF THESE CHILDREN, ARE IN THE PROVERBIAL ZONE OF DANGER OF
- 2 ODOR EMISSIONS FROM THE OIL WELLS IF YOU ADOPT THE E.I.R. AND
- 3 C.S.D.. I WOULD ASK THAT YOU RECIRCULATE THE E.I.R. HOWEVER,
- 4 IF YOU ARE INCLINED TO, INSTEAD, TO APPROVE THE C.S.D., I
- 5 STRONGLY URGE YOU TO AMEND THE DRAFT C.S.D. AT PAGES 53 AND 54
- 6 TO ADDRESS TWO CATEGORIES OF ISSUES: THE QUANTITY OF WELLS AND
- 7 THE PROCESS FOR REVIEW OF WELL PERMIT APPLICATIONS. NUMBER
- 8 ONE, AMEND SECTION H-1 TO LIMIT NEW DRILLING AND REDRILLING
- 9 APPROVED THROUGH A DIRECTORS' REVIEW MUST BE LIMITED TO NO
- 10 MORE THAN 20 WELLS PER YEAR AND NO MORE THAN A NET INCREASE OF
- 10 WELLS IN ANY ONE YEAR. AND NUMBER TWO, AMEND SECTION H-2 TO
- 12 ADD LANGUAGE TO THE EFFECT THAT THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF NEW
- 13 WELLS, INCLUDING ANY WELLS APPROVED PER DIRECTORS' REVIEW
- 14 PERMITS, SHALL BE LIMITED TO 48 TOTAL NEW WELLS PER YEAR AND A
- 15 NET INCREASE OF NO MORE THAN 22 NEW WELLS PER YEAR. THE HEALTH
- 16 OF OUR RESIDENTS, OUR VISITORS AND QUITE IMPORTANTLY THE
- 17 HEALTH OF OUR CHILDREN IS IN YOUR HANDS. I URGE YOU TO ACT IN
- 18 THE BEST INTERESTS OF OUR CHILDREN AND AMEND THE C.S.D. AS
- 19 REOUESTED." THAT WAS FROM SCOTT ZEIDMAN. THIS IS FROM MYSELF.
- 20 GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS SAUNDRA DAVIS AND I AM ONE OF
- 21 CULVER CITY'S 10 ELECTED OFFICIALS. I'M A MEMBER OF THE CULVER
- 22 CITY BOARD OF EDUCATION, AND I RESIDE AT 5949 STONE VIEW DRIVE
- 23 IN THE CITY OF CULVER CITY. I LOOK OUT MY WINDOW AT THE OIL
- 24 FIELDS IN QUESTION. I AM ONE OF THOSE PEOPLE WHO WAS
- 25 RESPONSIBLE FOR THE HEALTH AND SAFETY OF THE 6,500 STUDENTS IN



- 1 THE CULVER CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT AND I ALSO AM SPEAKING
- 2 FOR THE 700,000 STUDENTS IN THE L.A.U.S.D. SCHOOL DISTRICT AND
- 3 THE 19,000 STUDENTS IN THE INGLEWOOD SCHOOL DISTRICT, NOT TO
- 4 MENTION THOSE STUDENTS IN THE BEVERLY HILLS SCHOOL DISTRICT
- 5 WHO HAVE LED THEIR OWN FIGHT FOR LIFE. I COME TO YOU WITH
- 6 THOSE CHILDREN IN THE CULVER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT AT THE
- 7 FOREFRONT OF MY CONCERN. I COME TO YOU WITH THE FUTURE OF MY
- 8 FAMILY AS A CONCERN. I COME TO YOU WITH THE FUTURE OF CULVER
- 9 CITY RESIDENTS AS WELL AS THE RESIDENTS OF BALDWIN HILLS VIEW
- 10 PARK, LADERA HEIGHTS AND OTHER SURROUNDING AREAS WHO WILL BE
- 11 AFFECTED BY YOUR DECISION HERE TODAY. I MENTION ADDITIONAL
- 12 CHILDREN IN AREAS TODAY BECAUSE RESEARCH SHOWS THAT THE TOXINS
- 13 CAN TRAVEL AS FAR AWAY AS BAKERSFIELD. I COME BEFORE YOU TODAY
- 14 WITH GREAT CONCERN. I CONTINUE TO BE AMAZED BY THE
- 15 DETERMINATION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO SUPPORT THE OIL
- 16 COMPANIES AND BIG BUSINESS CORPORATIONS WHILE SEEMINGLY
- 17 IGNORING THE GREATER GOOD OF THE PEOPLE, YOUR CONSTITUENTS. WE
- 18 THE PEOPLE HAVE VERY LEGITIMATE CONCERNS ABOUT OUR HEALTH, OUR
- 19 HOMES AND OUR OVERALL WELL-BEING. THIS IS THE THIRD TIME I'VE
- 20 COME AND SPOKEN ON THESE ISSUES. AND I FEEL THAT MY WORDS OF
- 21 CONCERN HAVE FALLEN ON SOMEWHAT DEAF EARS. THE POINTS I MAKE
- 22 HERE TODAY ARE POINTS WE'VE ALL MADE OVER AND OVER AGAIN. WE
- 23 ONLY ASK THAT YOU TAKE OUR HEALTH CONCERNS SERIOUSLY. WE ONLY
- 24 ASK THAT YOU TAKE OUR QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES SERIOUSLY. WE
- 25 ONLY ASK THAT YOU TAKE OUR ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS SERIOUSLY.



- 1 WE ONLY ASK THAT YOU TAKE OUR SAFETY ISSUES SERIOUSLY. WE ONLY
- 2 ASK THAT YOU TAKE THE HISTORY OF CATASTROPHIC OCCURRENCES
- 3 SERIOUSLY. AS AN ELECTED OFFICIAL, I CAN'T IMAGINE HOW I COULD
- 4 LIVE WITH MYSELF IF THE PEOPLE WHO ELECTED ME HAVE TO BEG FOR
- 5 MERCY AND I IGNORED THEIR PLEAS. WE THE PEOPLE ONLY ASK THAT,
- 6 NUMBER ONE, YOU REQUIRE A FULL COMPREHENSIVE AND INDEPENDENT
- 7 STUDY E.I.R. OF THE ACTUAL OIL FIELD LAND WITH ALL APPROPRIATE
- 8 AGENCIES PROVIDING DATA AND COMMENTS BEFORE ADOPTING ANY
- 9 POLICY ORDINANCE, C.S.D. RELATED TO OIL AND GAS OPERATIONS IN
- 10 THE BALDWIN HILLS OIL FIELD. THE KEY WORDS IN THIS REQUEST ARE
- 11 "COMPREHENSIVE" AND "INDEPENDENT." NUMBER TWO, THAT P.X.P. BE
- 12 ADEQUATELY INSURED FOR CATASTROPHIC EARTHQUAKE, SUBSIDENCE,
- 13 TOXIC CLOUD, EXPLOSIVE FAILURE OF THE BALDWIN HILLS OIL FIELD.
- 14 AND NUMBER THREE TO SOLICIT A PLEDGE FROM D.O.G.G.R. NOT TO
- 15 PERMIT ANY NEW WELLS UNTIL THE C.S.D. IS RESOLVED. AND AGAIN
- 16 PLEASE CONSIDER THE FACT THAT WE THE PEOPLE HAVE SUPPORTED YOU
- 17 THROUGH YOUR MANY YEARS OF SERVICE AND THE LEGACY YOU LEAVE
- 18 CAN BE A LEGACY OF GREATNESS OR A LEGACY OF DISDAIN. I THANK
- 19 YOU FOR THE TIME YOU'VE ALLOWED ME TO SPEAK AND MAY GOD BLESS
- 20 YOU IN YOUR DECISION TO MAKE THE RIGHT CHOICE AND DO THE RIGHT
- 21 THING FOR OUR CHILDREN, OUR FAMILIES AND OUR COMMUNITIES.

23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE.]

24



- 1 JENNY WOOD: HELLO. MY NAME IS JENNY WOOD AND I'M A SENIOR
- 2 FIELD REPRESENTATIVE FOR SPEAKER KAREN BASS AND I'M GOING TO
- 3 READ A LETTER FROM THE SPEAKER TODAY. "DEAR LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 4 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. I AM WRITING TO EXPRESS MY SUPPORT FOR
- 5 THE BALDWIN HILLS COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICT WITH THE
- 6 MODIFICATIONS PRESENTED TO YOU TODAY. FIRST AND FOREMOST I
- 7 APPLAUD THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY
- 8 STAFF, P.X.P., THE GREATER BALDWIN HILLS ALLIANCE AS WELL AS
- 9 MANY COMMUNITY MEMBERS AND GOVERNMENT AGENCIES INVOLVED IN THE
- 10 CREATION AND REVISION OF THE BALDWIN HILLS COMMUNITY STANDARDS
- 11 DISTRICT. THIS PROCESS AND COLLECTIVE EFFORT TO DEVELOP
- 12 REGULATIONS TO ADDRESS THE EXPANSION OF OIL DRILLING WITHIN
- 13 THE INGLEWOOD OIL FIELDS WILL HELP ENSURE THE PUBLIC HEALTH
- 14 AND SAFETY AS WELL AS PROTECT THE ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL
- 15 RESOURCES IN THE BALDWIN HILLS AREA FOR DECADES TO COME.
- 16 FURTHERMORE, I AM VERY APPRECIATIVE OF THE GREATER BALDWIN
- 17 HILLS ALLIANCE AND ALL THE COMMUNITY MEMBERS WHO HAVE
- 18 VOLUNTEERED THEIR TIME TO RESEARCH THE EFFECTS OF THE OIL
- 19 DRILLING, EFFECTIVELY EXPRESS THEIR CONCERNS AND POLICY
- 20 RECOMMENDATIONS AND ORGANIZE ON BEHALF OF THEIR COMMUNITY.
- 21 THESE EFFORTS HAVE AND WILL CONTINUE TO ENSURE GREATER
- 22 GOVERNMENT TRANSPARENCY AND ULTIMATELY A MORE EFFECTIVE C.S.D.
- 23 AS SPEAKER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY, AND A RESIDENT OF BALDWIN
- 24 VISTA, I SHARE MANY OF MY NEIGHBORS' CONCERNS REGARDING THE
- 25 IMPACT OF A HIGH NUMBER OF NEW WELLS IN AN URBAN AREA WITHOUT



- 1 ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW. I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THE
- 2 COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS REVISE THE C.S.D. IN TWO SPECIFIC
- 3 WAYS. FIRST, I SUPPORT DECREASING THE NUMBER OF WELL PERMITS
- 4 ALLOWED PER YEAR. THE CURRENT C.S.D. LIMITS THE OIL OPERATOR
- 5 TO 53 NEW AND REDRILLED WELLS PER YEAR THROUGH A DIRECTORS'
- 6 REVIEW PROCESS. OVER A 20-YEAR PERIOD. THAT WOULD ALLOW FOR
- 7 1,060 NEW WELLS WITHOUT A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT.
- 8 ADDITIONALLY, THE CURRENT OIL OPERATOR INDICATED THAT THE
- 9 ANNUAL NUMBER OF WELLS DRILLED IS REALISTICALLY FAR FEWER THAN
- 10 53. THEREFORE I ENCOURAGE THE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO
- 11 MODIFY THE C.S.D. TO REFLECT BOTH THE DESIRE OF THE COMMUNITY
- 12 AND THE EXPRESSED INTENTIONS OF THE OIL OPERATOR BY DECREASING
- 13 THE NUMBER OF NEW WELL PERMITS ALLOWED PER YEAR. SECOND, THE
- 14 C.S.D. SHOULD ENSURE THAT THE LOCAL COMMUNITY IS NOTIFIED EACH
- 15 TIME NEW WELL PERMITS ARE APPLIED FOR AND A DECISION IS MADE.
- 16 THIS SHOULD INCLUDE NOTICE TO THE COMMUNITY ADVISORY PANEL AS
- 17 WELL AS LOCAL HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATIONS. THIS ADDITION TO THE
- 18 C.S.D. WILL SIMPLY BUILD UPON THE REQUIREMENTS FOR COMMUNITY
- 19 ENGAGEMENT AND INVOLVEMENT ALREADY INCLUDED IN THE DOCUMENT.
- 20 AGAIN, I WOULD LIKE TO THANK THE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
- 21 FOR DEMONSTRATING STRONG COMMITMENT TO THE HEALTH AND WELL-
- 22 BEING OF LOS ANGELES RESIDENTS BY INITIATING THE C.S.D. IT IS
- 23 AN EXTREMELY SIGNIFICANT SET OF REGULATIONS THAT WILL HAVE A
- 24 POSITIVE IMPLICATION HERE IN LOS ANGELES AND NATIONWIDE. I CAN
- 25 APPRECIATE YOUR CONSIDERATION OF MY RECOMMENDATIONS AND LOOK



- 1 FORWARD TO FURTHER IMPROVEMENTS TO THE C.S.D. THANK YOU,
- 2 SPEAKER KAREN BASS."

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I WOULD LIKE TO SAY THAT THERE IS A
- 5 DRILLING PLAN THAT'S REQUIRED THAT HAS TO BE SUBMITTED IN
- 6 TERMS OF PROPOSED DRILLING. WHAT WE'LL DO IS WE'LL HAVE
- 7 RESPONSE TO ALL OF THE ISSUES BY THE EXPERTS AFTER EVERYONE
- 8 HAS TESTIFIED. THANK YOU VERY MUCH THOUGH FOR BEING HERE. I
- 9 APPRECIATE IT. AND THEN DR. FREDERICK MURPH. EARL HUTCHINSON,
- 10 OFARY HUTCHINSON, WOULD YOU PLEASE COME FORWARD? PLEASE STATE
- 11 YOUR NAME.

- 13 BILL PIAZZA: GOOD AFTERNOON MY NAME IS BILL PIAZZA. HONORABLE
- 14 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, MADAME CHAIR, I WORK FOR THE OFFICE OF
- 15 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH AND SAFETY, LOS ANGELES SCHOOL DISTRICT.
- 16 FOR THE SAKE OF BREVITY AND KEEPING WITH THE TIME CONSTRAINTS
- 17 THE DISTRICT WISHES TO COMMENT ON THE LACK OF TRANSPARENCY
- 18 ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT'S TECHNICAL DOCUMENTATION AS THE
- 19 CREATION OF THE C.S.D. AND SUBSEQUENT EXPANSION OF OIL FIELD
- 20 OPERATIONS. FOR EXAMPLE, THE E.I.R.'S ODOR EMISSION ANALYSIS
- 21 ACKNOWLEDGED THE DOWNWIND EXTENT OF PROCESS ODORS THROUGHOUT
- 22 THE LOCAL COMMUNITY, AND SUMMARILY MITIGATED THEIR EXTENT WITH
- 23 A LAUNDRY LIST OF MITIGATION MEASURES. UNFORTUNATELY, NO
- 24 EMISSION DATA, DISPERSION MODEL FILES, NOTHING WAS PROVIDED TO
- 25 REVIEW, VALIDATE THE ANALYSIS OR QUANTIFY THE EFFECTIVENESS OF



- 1 THE IDENTIFIED MITIGATION MEASURES TO ENSURE THAT PROCESS
- 2 ODORS WILL NOT AFFECT THE COMFORT AND REPOSE OF STUDENTS AND
- 3 STAFF ATTENDING WINDSOR HILLS MAGNET SCHOOL. THEREFORE THE
- 4 DISTRICT REQUESTS THAT YOU HOLD CERTIFICATION OF THE F.E.I.R.,
- 5 REQUIRE FULL DISCLOSURE AND ALLOCATE SUFFICIENT TIME TO REVIEW
- 6 THE TECHNICAL DOCUMENTATION REQUIRED UNDER THE AUSPICES OF
- 7 C.E.Q.A. WITHOUT SUCH CONSIDERATION, THE DISTRICT MUST OPPOSE
- 8 THE CREATION OF THE BALDWIN HILLS C.S.P. ALTHOUGH WE
- 9 APPRECIATE STAFF'S ASSURANCES, THERE IS LITTLE GUARANTEE THAT
- 10 THE PROPOSED STANDARDS AND OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES WILL BE
- 11 CONDUCTED IN A MANNER COMPATIBLE WITH THE SURROUNDING
- 12 COMMUNITY. I THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND CONSIDERATION.

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. EARL HUTCHINSON. I SAW
- 15 HIM. ERIC BOYD, DISTRICT DIRECTOR FOR STATE SENATOR MARK
- 16 RIDLEY-THOMAS. AND THEN WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO GO TO ONE
- 17 MINUTE IN ORDER TO GET THROUGH THE PEOPLE WHO ARE SPEAKING.
- 18 WELL, YOU KNOW, I'M TRYING TO HOLD EVERYONE HERE. THIS IS THE
- 19 NUMBER OF PEOPLE. AND I KNOW EVERYONE DOESN'T MIND HOW LONG WE
- 20 STAY, BUT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO CUT DOWN THE SPEAKERS. ALL
- 21 RIGHT, OKAY, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

22

23 SUP. MOLINA: WHAT HAPPENS?



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THEY CAN START APPLYING FOR DRILLING
- 2 TOMORROW.

3

4 SUP. MOLINA: SO THIS IS THE LAST DAY.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THAT'S RIGHT. WE GOT A VOLUNTARY EXTENSION
- 7 OF THE EMERGENCY ORDINANCE TO TODAY. AND SO THERE'S NO
- 8 LIMITATIONS STARTING TOMORROW.

- 10 DR. FREDERICK MURPH: MY NAME IS DR. FREDERICK O. MURPH. I'M
- 11 THE SENIOR MINISTER OF BROOKINS COMMUNITY AFRICAN METHODIST
- 12 EPISCOPAL CHURCH, HEAVILY INVOLVED IN THE COMMUNITY. I LIVE IN
- 13 THE LADERA AREA SO I'M DIRECTLY AFFECTED BY THE DECISION MADE
- 14 BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. AND I WANT TO COMMEND SUPERVISOR
- 15 BURKE FOR HER NUMBER OF YEARS OF OUTSTANDING SERVICE AND HER
- 16 COMMITMENT TO MAKE SURE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HAS DONE
- 17 ITS HOMEWORK IN TERMS OF COMING UP WITH INFORMATION IN TERMS
- 18 OF MAKING A GOOD DECISION. I'VE TALKED WITH THE PEOPLE AT
- 19 P.X.P., AND I HAVE TO COMMEND THEM FOR THEIR COMMITMENT TO
- 20 THIS COMMUNITY. RATHER THAN A LOT OF COMPANIES COMING IN AS
- 21 THEY DO AND OFTEN TAKING FROM THE COMMUNITY, P.X.P. HAS
- 22 COMMITTED TO PUT MONEY INTO THE COMMUNITY IN TERMS OF
- 23 PROGRAMS, IN TERMS OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT AND IN TERMS OF
- 24 MAKING SURE THAT THESE OIL FIELDS DO NOT GIVE OFF TOXIC FUMES
- 25 AND IMPACT THE ENVIRONMENT AROUND THEM. I'M ALSO AWARE, AFTER



- 1 HAVING DONE MY HOMEWORK, THAT WHETHER THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
- 2 DECIDES TO APPROVE THIS OR NOT, P.X.P. CAN STILL GO TO THE
- 3 STATE AND GET A LICENSE TO GO AHEAD AND DRILL, ANYWAY. BUT I
- 4 THINK IF WE WORK TOGETHER AS A COMMUNITY AND AS THE BOARD OF
- 5 SUPERVISORS, I THINK THAT WE CAN COME UP WITH A PLAN THAT
- 6 EVERYBODY CAN LIVE WITH. AND IF WE CONTINUE TO MONITOR THE
- 7 EFFORTS GOING ON IN THAT OIL FIELD, I THINK WE CAN COME UP
- 8 WITH SOMETHING THAT EVERYBODY CAN LIVE WITH. AND I HOPE WE CAN
- 9 DO THAT TODAY.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I'D LIKE TO ALSO CALL
- 12 UP ELAIN CHANG, DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROM S.C.R.A.G.
- 13 [APPLAUSE.] IRMA MUNOZ, LARK GALLOWAY.

- 15 ERIC BOYD: GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS ERIC BOYD,
- 16 DISTRICT DIRECTOR FOR STATE SENATOR MARK RIDLEY- THOMAS. I'M
- 17 HERE TO REPRESENT THE SENATOR AND TO SUBMIT HIS COMMENTS FOR
- 18 THE RECORD. I WILL SAY THAT I WORE MY ONLY GREEN SUIT IN
- 19 SOLIDARITY TODAY WITH THE G.B.H.A. THESE ARE THE SENATORS'
- 20 COMMENTS. "I BEGIN BY COMMENDING THE COMMUNITY MEMBERS WHO
- 21 HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN THIS ISSUE FOR THE PAST YEAR, ESPECIALLY
- 22 INDIVIDUALS REPRESENTING THE GREATER BALDWIN HILLS ALLIANCE
- 23 AND ALL OF ITS MEMBER ORGANIZATIONS FOR THE SIGNIFICANT
- 24 CONTRIBUTIONS THEY HAVE MADE VIA THEIR COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT
- 25 E.I.R., THEIR THOUSANDS OF MAN HOURS AND THEIR SUGGESTED



- 1 REVISIONS OFFERED ON ALL FOUR VERSIONS OF THE C.S.D.. IT
- 2 SHOULD BE NOTED-- AND THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SHOULD BE
- 3 REMINDED-- THAT THE G.B.H.A. WAS THE FIRST TO SUBMIT A VERSION
- 4 OF THE BALDWIN HILLS COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICT DOCUMENT.
- 5 THE G.B.H.A VERSION OFFERED RECOMMENDATION FOR THE HEALTH
- 6 CONSCIOUS AND ENVIRONMENTALLY RESPONSIBLE OPERATION OF THE
- 7 INGLEWOOD BALDWIN HILLS OIL FIELD AND CONTINUES TO BE THE ONLY
- 8 C.S.D. DOCUMENT THAT CONSIDERS THE IMPLICATIONS OF THE BALDWIN
- 9 HILLS MASTER PLAN ADOPTED BY THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 2002.
- 10 SOME OF THE PROVISIONS IN THE G.B.H.A. C.S.D. HAS CAUGHT THE
- 11 ATTENTION OF THE CHAIR OF THE OWN BOARD OF PLANNING
- 12 COMMISSIONERS, SO MUCH SO THAT IN THE FINAL VOTE ON THE
- 13 VERSION FOR C.S.D., HE ENCOURAGED THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO
- 14 FIRST HEED THE G.B.H.A.'S CALL FOR HEALTH ASSESSMENTS AS WELL
- 15 AS OTHER G.B.H.A. PROVISIONS. IN ADDITION TO ITEMS OUTLINED IN
- 16 THE G.B.H.A.'S COMMUNITY DRIVEN C.S.D., CONCERNS RANGING FROM
- 17 THE SIMPLE GRAMMATICAL AND/OR TYPOGRAPHICAL ERRORS TO GLARING
- 18 DISCREPANCIES, SUCH AS THE SIGNIFICANT DISPARITY BETWEEN THE
- 19 NUMBER OF NEW WELLS PER YEAR ALLOWABLE IN THE C.S.D.,
- 20 CURRENTLY 53, VERSUS 15 NEW WELLS ANNUALLY WHICH P.X.P.
- 21 DETAILS IN ITS OWN PUBLICLY DISTRIBUTED LITERATURE." I CAN SEE
- 22 MY TIME'S RUNNING SHORT, SO I'LL GIVE THE SENATOR'S CLOSING
- 23 REMARKS. HE STATES THAT, "THROUGHOUT THE TIME," AND IT'S BEEN
- 24 OVER A YEAR AND A HALF, HE'S BEEN INVOLVED WITH THIS ISSUE
- 25 THROUGH TOWN HALLS AND A TOUR OF THE FIELD ITSELF, STAFF



- 1 PARTICIPATION IN G.B.H.A. ET CETERA, "I'VE SEEN SOME
- 2 IMPROVEMENTS IN THE AREAS OF INCLUSIVENESS AND TRANSPARENCY OF
- 3 THIS PROCESS; HOWEVER, IT IS ABUNDANTLY THAT YOUR, MY, OUR
- 4 CONSTITUENTS CONTINUE TO HARBOR GRAVE CONCERNS FOR THE HEALTH
- 5 OF THEIR FAMILIES, THE STABILITY OF THEIR PROPERTY, WATER AND
- 6 SOIL QUALITY AND OVERALL IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED OIL FIELD
- 7 EXPANSION ON THE ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL HABITAT IN AND AROUND
- 8 THE FIELD UNLESS AND UNTIL SAID CONCERNS ARE SATISFACTORILY
- 9 ADDRESSED IN THE COLLECTIVE OPINION OF THE COMMUNITY, THIS
- 10 PROCESS, IN MY MIND, IS INCOMPLETE. AND THERE IS IMPORTANT
- 11 COLLABORATIVE WORK YET TO BE DONE. LET US NOT SQUANDER THE
- 12 OPPORTUNITY TO GET IT RIGHT IN THE INTEREST OF CONVENIENCE OR
- 13 EXPEDIENCY."

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YES. PLEASE STATE YOUR
- 16 NAME.

- 18 IRMA MUNOZ: GOOD AFTERNOON, CHAIR BURKE. MY NAME IS IRMA MUNOZ
- 19 AND I'M A RESIDENT AND HOMEOWNER IN BALDWIN VISTA AND THE
- 20 PRESIDENT, FOUNDER OF ENVIRONMENTAL EQUITY ORGANIZATION CALLED
- 21 MUJERES DE LA TIERRA. I'VE HEARD A LOT OF TESTIMONY THAT WAS
- 22 GIVEN BY THE EXPERTS THIS MORNING. LET ME GIVE YOU MY
- 23 VIEWPOINT AS A HOMEOWNER AND SOMEONE WHO WALKS THE
- 24 NEIGHBORHOOD AND THE OIL WELLS AND THE PARK ALMOST EVERY DAY.
- 25 THE SITE INSPECTIONS BY THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AND THE COMMENTS,



- 1 PARTICULARLY BY THE PUBLIC HEALTH OFFICIAL REALLY STIRRED ALL
- 2 SORTS OF EMOTIONS IN ME BECAUSE THE TRUTH OF THE MATTER IS
- 3 THAT THE MAJORITY OF THE KIDS WHERE I LIVE IN MY NEIGHBORHOOD
- 4 SUFFER FROM ASTHMA. I GOT INVOLVED FOUR YEARS AGO WHEN TWO OF
- 5 MY NEIGHBORS, BOTH FEMALE, ONE WHO LIVED RIGHT ACROSS THE
- 6 STREET, ONE WHO LIVED RIGHT NEXT TO ME WHOSE PARENTS BUILT
- 7 THEIR HOMES, BOTH DIED OF CANCER BEFORE TURNING 60 YEARS OLD.
- 8 AND SO ALL I'VE ASKED FROM THE VERY BEGINNING IS, CAN YOU TELL
- 9 ME IF THERE'S A CONNECTION BETWEEN WHAT GOES ON IN THE OIL
- 10 WELLS AND THE HEALTH OF THE COMMUNITY? IF YOU GO INTO MY
- 11 NEIGHBORHOOD, YOU WILL ALSO SEE THERE ARE A LOT OF HILLSIDES
- 12 HAVE FALLEN, A LOT OF CRACKED FOUNDATIONS. WE HAVE ONE
- 13 HOMEOWNER WHOSE KITCHEN HAS FALLEN THROUGH AND HAS HAD TO MAKE
- 14 NUMEROUS REPAIRS ON IT. AND SO ALL I'D ASKED FROM THE VERY
- 15 BEGINNING IS FOR A PARTICULAR COMMUNITY, FOR PEOPLE TO GO
- 16 DOOR-TO-DOOR AND ASK: WHAT HEALTH ISSUES THEY HAVE, WHAT
- 17 PROBLEMS THEY'VE HAD WITH THEIR HOME AND THEIR FOUNDATIONS.
- 18 AND THE ANSWER WAS: "WE RAN OUT OF TIME. WE DIDN'T HAVE TIME
- 19 TO DO IT." IT'S NOT GOING TO HELP US TO DO THIS IN TWO YEARS.
- 20 IT WILL NOT HELP TO DO IT IN FIVE YEARS. I DON'T CARE
- 21 PARTICULARLY WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN IN THE FUTURE, I WANT TO
- 22 KNOW WHAT'S HAPPENING TODAY AND WHAT'S HAPPENED IN THE PAST,
- 23 BECAUSE IT'S GOING TO HELP US WHAT WE CAN DO IN THE FUTURE.
- 24 FRANKLY IF THEY WANT TO START DRILLING OIL WELLS, LET THEM. I
- 25 THINK WE NEED AN ADDITIONAL 30 DAYS TO REALLY REVIEW THE



- 1 C.S.D., I HAVE NOT SEEN THE CURRENT C.S.D., AND AS A MEMBER OF
- 2 THE PUBLIC I TAKE OFFENSE THAT ANY ACTION WOULD BE TAKEN AND
- 3 VOTED ON A DOCUMENT THAT I HAVE NOT SEEN FOR REVIEW AND FOR
- 4 STUDY. THANK YOU.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, IT'S ON THE INTERNET. [LAUGHTER.] IT
- 7 IS AVAILABLE TO YOU. WE WILL CERTAINLY MAKE IT AVAILABLE TO
- 8 YOU.

9

- 10 SUP. MOLINA: WAIT A MINUTE. I WANT TO UNDERSTAND THIS. I WANT
- 11 TO UNDERSTAND THE POSITION. SO YOU'RE SAYING THAT YOU WOULD
- 12 RATHER THAT THEY CONTINUE TO DRILL NEW WELLS UNLIMITED UNTIL
- 13 WE DECIDE WHAT THIS RIGHT STANDARD SHOULD BE?

14

- 15 IRMA MUNOZ: YES. BECAUSE I DON'T THINK THAT TOMORROW THEY'RE
- 16 GOING TO BE DRILLING NEW OIL WELLS. THEY HAVE TO GO THROUGH A
- 17 PROCESS.

18

- 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NO, THERE IS NO PROCESS. THEY JUST HAVE TO
- 20 APPLY TO THE STATE.

- 22 IRMA MUNOZ: WELL, YOU KNOW WHAT? THE TRUTH OF THE MATTER IS IF
- 23 THEY DRILLED HOW MANY OIL WELLS LAST YEAR AND THE YEAR BEFORE
- 24 WITHOUT EVEN INFORMING US. THEY SUBMITTED NEGATIVE
- 25 DECLARATIONS TO THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA WITHOUT INFORMING US.



- 1 SO THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF OIL WELL DRILLING WITHOUT US BEING
- 2 INFORMED. MAYBE I'M CONFUSED ABOUT IT, BUT I'D LIKE TO HEAR
- 3 FROM AN EXPERT. IF IT IS TRUE THAT TOMORROW IF YOU DON'T TAKE
- 4 ACTION TODAY THAT THEY CAN START OIL DRILLING--

5

6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: APPLY TO THE STATE.

7

- 8 IRMA MUNOZ: I'VE BEEN TOLD THAT THEY HAVE TO APPLY, AND IT'S
- 9 NOT A MATTER OF 24 HOURS THAT THEY GET AN ANSWER.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, IT MAY BE A FEW MORE DAYS THAN THAT.
- 12 THEY CAN RESPOND TO YOU.

13

14 SUP. MOLINA: WHAT IS THE ANSWER?

15

16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MR. PEARSON, WOULD YOU LIKE TO EXPLAIN IT?

17

- 18 JOHN PEARSON: MY NAME IS JOHN PEARSON. IN ORDER TO DRILL
- 19 WELLS, THEY WOULD HAVE TO APPLY TO D.O.G.G.R. FOR PERMITS.
- 20 D.O.G.G.R. CAN TAKE ANYWHERE FROM TWO WEEKS TO A MONTH TO
- 21 ISSUE THOSE PERMITS. THERE ARE OTHER CASES WHERE IT'S BEEN A
- 22 COUPLE OF WEEKS AND THEY'LL ISSUE THE PERMITS NEEDED TO DRILL.

23

24 SUP. MOLINA: AND WHO HAS THE CONTROL AT THE STATE?



- 1 JOHN PEARSON: DIVISION OF OIL AND GAS AND GEOTHERMAL
- 2 RESOURCES.

3

- 4 LARI SHEEHAN: MR. PEARSON, DON'T THEY HAVE TO APPLY TO THE
- 5 COUNTY FIRST?

6

7 **JOHN PEARSON:** NO.

8

9 LARI SHEEHAN: THEY DON'T GO TO THE COUNTY FIRST FOR SIGN OFF?

10

- JOHN PEARSON: UNDER THE CURRENT-- AND I KNOW SOMEBODY ELSE MAY
- 12 WANT TO TALK TO THIS -- THE CURRENT COUNTY PROCESS. BUT NO,
- 13 D.O.G.G.R. HAS TO ISSUE PERMITS. THEY HAVE TO ISSUE IT BEFORE
- 14 THE COUNTY.

15

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S THE INFORMATION THAT SOMEBODY DOESN'T
- 17 KNOW. I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT PEOPLE UNDERSTAND. I WAS TOLD
- 18 THAT TODAY IS KIND OF THE ABSOLUTE DEADLINE. BECAUSE
- 19 OTHERWISE--

20

21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: FOR THEM TO APPLY TO D.O.G.G.R.

22

- 23 SUP. MOLINA: I UNDERSTAND. OTHERWISE THEY HAVE BY RIGHT
- 24 UNLIMITED NUMBERS.



1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: TO GO TO THE STATE.

2

- 3 SUP. MOLINA: I UNDERSTAND. THAT'S WHY I WANT TO CLARIFY THAT.
- 4 NOW WHETHER YOU BELIEVE IT OR NOT, THAT'S A DIFFERENT ISSUE.
- 5 BUT I JUST WANTED TO UNDERSTAND THAT FROM WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK
- 6 OF WHAT YOU INFORMED US, THIS IS ALMOST THE LAST DAY TO REALLY
- 7 GET THOSE STANDARDS IN IF THEY WANTED TO. I'M NOT SAYING THEY
- 8 WOULD, EITHER. THEY COULD START MAKING APPLICATIONS FOR 25 NEW
- 9 WELLS TOMORROW.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO I UNDERSTAND IT BECAUSE THIS IS NEW TO ME
- 12 THIS WHOLE D.O.G.G.R. PROCESS. IF THEY APPLIED AND GOT A
- 13 PERMIT, LET'S SAY THEY GOT A PERMIT IN TWO WEEKS FROM TODAY,
- 14 IS THAT PERMIT REVOCABLE IF THE COUNTY WERE TO PASS A C.S.D.,
- 15 A COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICT? ONCE YOU GET IT IS--

16

- 17 JOHN PEARSON: THAT PERMIT FROM D.O.G.G.R. SAYS YOU HAVE A
- 18 RIGHT TO DRILL A WELL. UNDER THE C.S.D., THERE ARE A NUMBER OF
- 19 STEPS THAT THE APPLICANT OR P.X.P. WOULD NEED TO TAKE BEFORE
- 20 THE COUNTY WOULD ISSUE A PERMIT FOR THE WELL. AND REALLY,
- 21 COUNTY'S ISSUING A LAND USE PERMIT.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S NOT MY QUESTION. SUPPOSE D.O.G.G.R.
- 24 ISSUED A PERMIT TOMORROW OR THE DAY AFTER TOMORROW. AND THEN
- 25 IN THREE WEEKS OR SIX MONTHS FROM NOW, LET'S SAY IN A MONTH



- 1 FROM NOW, THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ENACTS THE C.S.D., THE ONE
- 2 THAT IS BEFORE US TODAY FOR THE SAKE OF ARGUMENT, WHICH IS
- 3 MORE RESTRICTIVE THAN WHAT THE OIL COMPANY WOULD BE ENTITLED
- 4 TO APPLY TO D.O.G.G.R. FOR, MORE RESTRICTIVE THAN WHAT
- 5 D.O.G.G.R. WOULD GIVE THEM. D.O.G.G.R. WOULD JUST GIVE THEM
- 6 UNLIMITED RIGHTS, CORRECT?

7

- 8 JOHN PEARSON: YOU HAVE TO APPLY FOR A PERMIT FOR EACH WELL YOU
- 9 WANT TO DRILL.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THEY COULD APPLY FOR 10,000 WELLS. WHATEVER
- 12 THEY WANT.

13

14 **JOHN PEARSON:** WHATEVER NUMBER YEAR.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THERE IS NO LIMIT ON WHAT THEY COULD APPLY
- 17 FOR.

18

- 19 JOHN PEARSON: IF YOU'RE ASKING, I DO NOT KNOW UNDER D.O.G.G.R.
- 20 WHETHER THERE'S A STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS. IF THEY HAVEN'T USED
- 21 A PERMIT IN YEARS IS IT INVALID? I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO
- 22 THAT, HOW LONG THAT PERMIT'S GOOD FOR.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THAT'S THE QUESTION I'M REALLY ASKING, IS
- 25 IF THEY APPLY, IF NOTHING HAPPENED TODAY IF THEY APPLIED TODAY



- 1 AND GOT IT IN TWO WEEKS, FOR THE SAKE OF ARGUMENT, SAY THEY
- 2 GOT A RIGHT TO DRILL 1,000 NEW WELLS, AND WHAT WE WERE PROPOSE
- 3 TOGETHER DO IS ONLY TO ALLOW 500 NEW WELLS, JUST PICKING
- 4 NUMBERS OUT OF THE AIR, SO THEY WOULD NOW HAVE A PERMIT FROM
- 5 D.O.G.G.R. ALLOWING THEM TO DILL 1,000 NEW WELLS. SUPPOSE IN
- 6 DECEMBER, JANUARY, NOVEMBER WHATEVER THE DATE THAT THE BOARD
- 7 ENACTED A C.S.D. THAT SAID 500 NEW WELLS, DOES THAT CAUSE THE
- 8 D.O.G.G.R. PERMIT TO GET REVOKED? OR IS THAT WHAT'S NOW
- 9 CONTROLLING? ARE WE GOING TO BE CONSTRAINED BY WHAT D.O.G.G.R.
- 10 DOES IN THE NEXT FEW DAYS OR WEEKS?

11

- 12 ELAINE LEMKE: SUPERVISOR, I THINK I CAN PROBABLY ANSWER THAT
- 13 BETTER BECAUSE I THINK IT'S A LEGAL QUESTION. IF D.O.G.G.R.
- 14 ISSUES A PERMIT, I THINK IN THIS CASE WE COULD PROBABLY
- 15 ANALYZE IT UNDER TRADITIONAL VESTING RULES, WHICH WOULD BE,
- 16 THEY WOULD NOT ONLY NEED TO HAVE THE PERMIT, THEN THEY WOULD
- 17 NEED TO TAKE STEPS FORWARD TO ACTING ON THAT PERMIT AND
- 18 ACTUALLY EITHER BEGIN CONSTRUCTION OR SPEND FUNDS TO ACT ON
- 19 THAT PERMIT FOR THAT RIGHT TO BE VESTED. IF THEY HAVEN'T DONE
- 20 THAT AND WE PASS THE C.S.D., THEN THE C.S.D. COULD BE APPLIED
- 21 TO THAT WELL AND WE COULD STOP IT. IF THEY HAVE TAKEN THOSE
- 22 STEPS, THEY COULD PROCEED WITH DRILLING THAT WELL.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I UNDERSTAND. THIS IS YOUR STANDARD OIL REAL
- 25 ESTATE VESTING THING. SO NOW I WANT TO ASK YOU THE OIL EXPERT-



- 1 THAT'S THE WAY YOU'VE BEEN DESCRIBED TO ME. IF I GET A
- 2 PERMIT TO DRILL A WELL TODAY, REALISTICALLY HOW LONG DOES IT
- 3 TAKE ME TO GET, GO THROUGH THE LOGISTICS OF GETTING THE RIG UP
- 4 AND ALL THAT AND ACTUALLY GETTING OIL OF THE OF THE GROUND,
- 5 ASSUMING THERE'S OIL IN THE GROUND?

6

- 7 JOHN PEARSON: IF YOU HAVE A RIG UNDER CONTRACT, WHICH P.X.P.
- 8 HAS FIELDS IN BAKERSFIELD, THEY HAVE RIGS UNDER CONTRACT. IT'S
- 9 PROBABLY A WEEK TO GET THE RIG SET UP AND A WEEK TO DRILL THE
- 10 WELL AND THEN IT'S A WEEK TO COMPLETE THE WELL.

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THIS IS GOING TO MOVE OUICKLY.

13

- 14 JOHN PEARSON: YEAH, THESE ARE QUICK WELLS. I MEAN, THESE
- 15 WELLS, TYPICALLY FOR THIS OIL FIELD, THESE WELLS TAKE A WEEK
- OR 10 DAYS TO DRILL. THEN YOU HAVE A WEEK TO COMPLETE.

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THANK YOU. YOU ANSWERED.

19

- 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NOW WE ARE LIMITING THE NUMBER OF RIGS THAT
- 21 THEY CAN HAVE UNDER THE C.S.D. WE'RE LIMITING--

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LET ME ASK ANOTHER LEGAL QUESTION AND THEN
- 24 I'M DONE. HAVING HEARD THAT, IF I'M THE OIL DRILLER AND I GET



- 1 A PERMIT FROM THESE GUYS TOMORROW AND WITHIN A WEEK OR TWO I
- 2 HAVE THE RIG UP, THAT WOULD BE PROBABLY VESTING, WOULDN'T IT?

3

4 ELAINE LEMKE: I BELIEVE THAT WOULD BE VESTED.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO UNDER THE BEST OF CIRCUMSTANCES, IT WOULD
- 7 SEEM TO ME-- AND I JUST WANT TO BE CORRECTED IF I'M WRONG,
- 8 BECAUSE THIS IS NEW TO ME-- THAT IT IS A RIVER BOAT GAMBLE FOR
- 9 US NOT TO ACT IN SOME FASHION NOW BECAUSE IF WE DON'T AND HE
- 10 MOVES QUICKLY BEFORE WE WOULD ACT, HE COULD BE VESTED. THIS IS
- 11 NOT LIKE A SUBDIVISION WHERE A GUY IS GOING TO TAKE SIX MONTHS
- 12 TO A YEAR TO GET HIS ARCHITECTURAL DRAWING AND ALL THAT AND
- 13 YOU HAVE SOME TIME TO BREATHE. I DIDN'T REALIZE.

14

15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THAT'S WHY WE HAD AN ORDINANCE TO STOP IT.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I UNDERSTAND. I UNDERSTAND NOW. I DIDN'T
- 18 UNDERSTAND THE TIMING OF THIS. IS THAT A FAIR
- 19 CHARACTERIZATION? AM I CORRECT IN THAT? NOW WHICH BRINGS ME UP
- 20 TO REALLY THE LAST QUESTION. IF WE ACT TODAY, I ASSUME THAT
- 21 YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO--

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO HAVE AN ASSURANCE
- 24 FROM P.X.P. THAT THEY WILL WAIT FOR ONE WEEK TO FILE THE
- 25 APPLICATION TO D.O.G.G.R.



1
2 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** BECAUSE YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO--

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: RIGHT. AND WE WILL BRING THEM UP. THEY HAVE
- 5 SAID TO ME THAT THEY WILL WAIT THE WEEK. BUT WE WILL GET THEM
- 6 ON THE RECORD.

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY, THANK YOU.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: BUT THAT'S WHY WE HAD AN EMERGENCY
- 11 ORDINANCE TO STOP THE DRILLING. LARK.

- 13 LARK GALLOWAY: YES. MY NAME IS LARK GALLOWAY GILLIAM. I'M A
- 14 RESIDENT OF THE VIEW PARK AREA, THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF
- 15 COMMUNITY HEALTH COUNCIL AND A PROUD MEMBER OF THE GREATER
- 16 BALDWIN HILLS ALLIANCE. I HAVE A TESTIMONY HERE THAT I THINK I
- 17 HAVE TO BYPASS. BUT I WANT TO REMIND US THAT WE'RE HERE TODAY,
- 18 AND SUPERVISOR, WITH ALL DUE RESPECT, YOU SAID WE'VE LIVED,
- 19 WE'VE COEXIST WELL WITH THE P.X.P. AND THE COMMUNITY AND
- 20 THAT'S NOT TRUE. WE HAVE THE COLLAPSE OF BALDWIN HILLS
- 21 RESERVOIR AND WE LOST FIVE PEOPLE. ON MY BLOCK WE LOST, MAYBE
- 22 SOME OF THESE NAMES WILL MEAN SOMETHING TO YOU. DR. KENNETH
- 23 NEAL, IN MY LIFE TIME, DR. LEONARD TURO, ATTORNEY ZO ROSS,
- 24 MISS VIOLA SORA AND MY MOTHER ALL DIED OF CANCER IN THAT
- 25 COMMUNITY AND WE NEVER UNDERSTOOD. WE WERE PROUD WHEN THE



- 1 HOUSING COVENANTS CAME DOWN IN THE '60S AND WE WERE ABLE TO
- 2 MOVE THERE. LITTLE DID WE KNOW THIS WAS GOING TO COME BACK TO
- 3 BITE US. WE ARE ASKING YOU TO EXTEND 30 DAYS. SUPERVISOR, I'M
- 4 A LITTLE CONFUSED. I THOUGHT THE COUNTY DID HAVE A ROLE IN THE
- 5 PERMITTING PROCESS. SO THIS IS THROWING ME HERE. I FIND IT
- 6 DIFFICULT TO BELIEVE THAT D.O.G.G.R. WOULD APPROVE THESE WELLS
- 7 GIVEN THE FACT THAT WE KNOW THAT THERE'S AN E.I.R. OUTSTANDING
- 8 THAT INDICATES THAT THERE ARE RISKS THAT HAVE TO BE MITIGATED.
- 9 SO I THINK IT WOULD BE VERY IRRESPONSIBLE ON THE PART OF THE
- 10 STATE. AND I WISH THERE WAS SOMEONE HERE FROM D.O.G.G.R. TO
- 11 ADDRESS THIS. I DON'T BELIEVE THAT THEY CAN DO IT IN 30 DAYS.
- 12 AND WE'RE ASKING YOU FOR THAT TIME SO THAT WE CAN ADDRESS
- 13 ISSUES ABOUT THE CAP ON THE NUMBER OF WELLS, THAT WE CAN
- 14 ADDRESS ISSUES AROUND PUBLIC NOTIFICATION. WE BELIEVE THAT
- 15 THERE SHOULD BE A NET CAP AS DESCRIBED BY THE CITY COUNCIL,
- 16 CULVER CITY COUNCIL PREVIOUSLY, THAT WILL PROTECT THE
- 17 INTERESTS. WE CANNOT HAVE 1,000 WELLS. WE CAN'T HAVE 700 NEW
- 18 WELLS ON THIS OIL FIELD. THE EXPOSURE AND THE RISK IS TOO
- 19 GREAT. THE E.I.R. HAS DEMONSTRATED THAT AND WE'RE ASKING FOR
- 20 AN OPPORTUNITY TO GET IT RIGHT BECAUSE IT'S TAKEN US 84 YEARS.
- 21 WE THOUGHT WE WERE PROTECTED. IT HAS TAKEN US 84 YEARS TO GET
- 22 HERE TODAY. AND YOUR FAILURE TO ACT RESPONSIBLY UPON THE
- 23 REOUEST OF THIS COMMUNITY MAKES YOU COMPLICIT WITH THIS OIL
- 24 COMPANY IN KILLING PEOPLE LIKE THE FIVE PEOPLE THAT I HAVE
- 25 JUST NAMED. I WANT THE MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY WHO ARE HERE



- 1 TO STAND BECAUSE THEY MAY NOT GET A CHANCE. THESE ARE FOLKS
- 2 WHO COULDN'T TAKE OFF WORK LIKE P.X.P. AND WANTED YOU TO HAVE
- 3 THEIR MESSAGE OF CONCERN TODAY. I WISH I HAD MORE TIME.

4

5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. DR. ELAIN CHANG.

6

- 7 DR. ELAIN CHANG: GOOD AFTERNOON. MADAME CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE
- 8 BOARD. MY NAME IS ELAIN CHANG, DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER WITH
- 9 THE SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT. I SIMPLY
- 10 WOULD LIKE TO STATE THAT WE APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITY WORKING
- 11 WITH YOUR STAFF AND OUR AIR QUALITY CONCERNS HAVE BEEN
- 12 ADDRESSED AND WE'D LIKE TO THANK YOUR STAFF FOR MAKING
- 13 APPROPRIATE CHANGES IN RESPONSE TO OUR COMMENTS, THANK YOU.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND YOU WILL BE PART OF THE
- 16 INTERGOVERNMENTAL GROUP, WHICH INCLUDES D.O.G.G.R., THAT WILL
- 17 HAVE FULL MONITORING. A.Q.M.D. WILL BE PART OF THIS GROUP.

18

19 DR. ELAIN CHANG: WE WILL BE HAPPY TO PARTICIPATE.

- 21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: TO IMPLEMENT AND TO REVIEW. ALL RIGHT. WE
- 22 HAVE JOHN KUECHLE FROM GREATER BALDWIN HILLS ALLIANCE.
- 23 GRETCHEN HARDISON, SANDRA HAMLAT. MARCIA HANSCOM. WOULD YOU
- 24 ALL PLEASE COME FORWARD? JOHN KUECHLE, GRETCHEN HARDISON,
- 25 SANDRA HAMLAT, MARCIA HANSCOM. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.



1

- 2 JOHN KUECHLE: HI, MY NAME IS JOHN KUECHLE. I'M A RESIDENT OF
- 3 CULVER CITY AND A MEMBER OF THE GREATER BALDWIN HILLS
- 4 ALLIANCE. I WAS GOING TO TALK ABOUT THE NEED TO REDUCE THE
- 5 NUMBER OF WELLS, BUT IN LIGHT OF THE RECENT CONVERSATION ABOUT
- 6 THE D.O.G.G.R. SITUATION, I WOULD LIKE TO ADD SOME INPUT ON
- 7 THAT. SENATOR MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS PUT TOGETHER A TELEPHONE
- 8 CONFERENCE CALL WITH HAL BOPP WHO IS THE HEAD OF D.O.G.G.R.
- 9 AND IN THAT CONVERSATION, HE TOLD US THAT BEFORE D.O.G.G.R.
- 10 WOULD CONSIDER ANY SORT OF PERMIT, THE APPLICANT HAD TO COME
- 11 UP TO THEM WITH A LAND USE PERMIT FROM THE RELEVANT LOCAL
- 12 ENTITY. IT IS TRUE THAT UNDER THE OLD PROCEDURE, THAT IS AN
- 13 OVER-THE-COUNTER PERMIT THAT IS GIVEN BY THE COUNTY, BUT IT IS
- 14 NOT SOMETHING THAT IS GIVEN IMMEDIATELY, IT TAKES SOME TIME TO
- 15 GET THAT PERMIT AND D.O.G.G.R. SAID IT WOULD NOT CONSIDER A
- 16 REOUEST. ALSO IT IS NOT TRUE THAT THEY WOULD RESPOND IN A WEEK
- 17 OR TWO. THE LAST REQUEST WAS THERE FOR SIX MONTHS WHILE THEY
- 18 CONSIDERED WHAT SORT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW WAS NECESSARY.
- 19 AND HE TOLD US THAT THEY WOULD REQUIRE A FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL
- 20 REVIEW IF NEW REQUESTS CAME UP TO THEM BEFORE THE COUNTY
- 21 CERTIFIED ITS OWN ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW, ITS OWN E.I.R. THANK
- 22 YOU.

23

24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES?



- 1 SANDRA HAMLAT: GOOD AFTERNOON, MADAME CHAIR AND BOARD OF
- 2 SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS SANDRA HAMLAT AND I'M A MEMBER OF THE
- 3 ALLIANCE. TODAY I'D LIKE YOU TO CONSIDER A DEADLINE FOR P.X.P.
- 4 TO PULL OUT OF THE BALDWIN HILLS AS WELL AS CONSOLIDATION OF
- 5 THE OIL WELLS IN CERTAIN AREAS AND LIMITING THE CONCENTRATION
- 6 OF WELLS IN OTHER AREAS THAT ARE CLOSE TO SENSITIVE RECEPTORS,
- 7 SUCH AS HOMES. THE E.I.R. CONSIDERED A 20-YEAR TIME HORIZON.
- 8 AND THEREFORE I THINK THERE SHOULD BE A DEADLINE THAT'S
- 9 ATTACHED TO THAT, WHETHER IT BE 20 YEARS OR 10 YEARS. AND THIS
- 10 COULD BE THEN ASSOCIATED WITH A TOTAL CAP ON WELLS. AS HAS
- 11 BEEN MENTIONED BEFORE, THERE WOULD BE-- THERE COULD BE A CAP
- 12 OF 20 NEW WELLS UNDER A DIRECTOR'S REVIEW WITH A NET INCREASE
- 13 OF 10 NEW WELLS. ADDITIONALLY I'D LIKE YOU TO CONSIDER
- 14 LIMITING THE NUMBER OF WELLS UNDER A C.U.P. PROCESS TO 20 NEW
- 15 WELLS AND 10 NET. IN DOING SO, YOU WOULD BE ABLE TO CREATE
- 16 ISLANDS OF OIL OPERATIONS SURROUNDED BY OPEN SPACE THAT WOULD
- 17 BUFFER THE COMMUNITY FROM THE OPERATIONS IN ADDITION TO
- 18 ALLOWING THE LAND TO REMEDIATE BEFORE IT COULD BE USED FOR ITS
- 19 NEXT AND HIGHEST USE.
- 21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. COUNCILMAN BERNARD
- 22 PARKS HAS COME IN. WE'D LIKE TO ASK HIM TO COME FORWARD, TOO.
- 23 WE CALLED HIM EARLIER.

24



- 1 GRETCHEN HARDISON: GRETCHEN HARDISON, CITY OF LOS ANGELES,
- 2 ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT. THE ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS
- 3 DEPARTMENT WAS DIRECTED BY THE LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL TO
- 4 REVIEW AND SUBMIT COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT E.I.R. AND RECENTLY
- 5 REQUESTED TO TAKE A LOOK AT THE FINAL E.I.R., AS WELL.

6

7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WOULD YOU SPEAK INTO THE MICROPHONE.

- 9 GRETCHEN HARDISON: GRETCHEN HARDISON WITH THE CITY OF LOS
- 10 ANGELES, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT. WE APPRECIATE THE
- 11 COUNTY'S RESPONSES TO OUR COMMENTS AND THE ADDITIONAL
- 12 INFORMATION THAT HAS BEEN ADDED TO THE DOCUMENT. I WOULD NOTE
- 13 JUST A FEW REMAINING THINGS. WITH RESPECT TO THE COMMUNITY
- 14 ADVISORY PANEL, IT'S IMPORTANT TO IDENTIFY THE
- 15 RESPONSIBILITIES AND THE ACTIVITIES OF THE PANEL SO THAT WE
- 16 CAN ENSURE MEANINGFUL INPUT TO THIS PROCESS AS IT MOVES
- 17 FORWARD. WITH RESPECT TO THE NUMBER OF NEW DRILLING SITES PER
- 18 YEAR, WE APPRECIATE THE ANNUAL DRILLING PLAN AND SUGGEST THAT
- 19 THE COUNTY HAVE A MECHANISM TO REDUCE THE TOTAL NUMBER OF NEW
- 20 WELLS PER YEAR FOR THE PROTECTION OF THE PUBLIC AND THE
- 21 ENVIRONMENT AS THAT IS NEEDED. WITH RESPECT TO CAPPING OF OLD
- 22 WELLS, WE SUGGEST THAT THERE BE AN ADDITIONAL PLAN PREPARED TO
- 23 TAKE A LOOK AT THE NUMBER OF INACTIVE WELLS ON THE SITE RIGHT
- 24 NOW AND ESTABLISH A PROCESS FOR CAPPING AND ABANDONING THOSE.
- 25 THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME.



1

2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

- 4 BERNARD PARKS: THANK YOU, MADAME CHAIR. AND TO THE HONORABLE
- 5 BOARD. I'M BERNARD PARKS, COUNCILMAN EIGHTH DISTRICT AND I
- 6 APOLOGIZE FOR BEING LATE. WE HAD A FINANCE DAY TODAY AT CITY
- 7 COUNCIL. BUT LET ME JUST SAY THANK YOU FOR THE LEADERSHIP FOR
- 8 DEALING WITH THE BALDWIN HILLS COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICT
- 9 PLAN AND THE DRAFT E.I.R. THIS PROCESS HAS SPANNED OVER
- 10 SEVERAL YEARS IN THE MAKING AND IS BEFORE YOU TODAY. I WOULD
- 11 JUST ASK PERSONALLY AND HOPE THAT YOU WILL ACT WITH THE WISDOM
- 12 AND PRUDENCE THAT IS IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE COMMUNITY AND
- 13 ITS CONSTITUENTS THAT ARE IMPACTED. MY OFFICE HAS WORKED WITH
- 14 A NUMBER OF COMMUNITIES EVEN THOUGH THIS OIL FIELD IS
- 15 PRIMARILY WITHIN L.A. COUNTY BOUNDARIES. WE HAVE SEVERAL
- 16 ASSOCIATIONS IN THE EIGHTH DISTRICT WITH BALDWIN HILLS, VIEW
- 17 PARK, WINDSOR HILLS, CULVER CITY, LADERA, ALSO THE BALDWIN
- 18 HILLS CONSERVANCY AND THE GREATER BALDWIN HILLS ALLIANCE AND
- 19 ALSO WEST L.A. AND I HAPPEN TO BE A PROPERTY OWNER IN THE
- 20 WINDSOR HILLS AREA. UNDER MY DIRECTION, L.A. CITY COUNCIL HAS
- 21 PASSED FOUR MOTIONS DIRECTING CITY DEPARTMENTS TO MOBILIZE AND
- 22 EXAMINE THE C.S.D. AND THE DRAFT E.I.R. OUR ENVIRONMENTAL
- 23 AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT WHICH YOU JUST HEARD FROM HAS TAKEN THE
- 24 LEAD TO CREATE THE CITY'S POSITION IN THIS PROCESS ALONG WITH
- 25 THE CITY ATTORNEY AND THE CITY'S PLANNING DEPARTMENT. AND WE



- 1 ALSO BROUGHT IN A NUMBER OF STATE AGENCIES TO COMMENT ON THE
- 2 E.I.R. ONE OF THE CRITICAL DIRECTIVES THAT I HAVE ASKED OUR
- 3 CITY ATTORNEY TO EXPLORE IS THE POSSIBILITY OF WHETHER YOU CAN
- 4 LEGALLY CEASE OIL DRILLING IN THE OPERATION. WE HAVE BEEN TOLD
- 5 VERY CLEARLY THAT THIS ISSUE, FROM THE CITY ATTORNEY, THAT
- 6 THERE IS NO LEGAL OPTION AS FAR AS STOPPING DRILLING IN THIS
- 7 AREA. ANOTHER CENTRAL QUESTION WAS EXPLORED THROUGH OUR OFFICE
- 8 WITH SUPERVISOR BURKE IN DETERMINING WHETHER AN EXTENDED
- 9 PERIOD COULD BE AFFORDED IN THE PROCESS, AND WE WERE CLEARLY
- 10 ARTICULATED THE RESPONSE THAT THIS WOULD NOT OCCUR. SO WE HAVE
- 11 SPENT ALL OF OUR ENERGIES ATTEMPTING TO DEVOTE THE REMAINING
- 12 PART OF OUR TIME IN CREATING THE STRONGEST C.S.D. POSSIBLE.
- 13 THE CITY'S EFFORT IN THIS PROCESS HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN WITH THE
- 14 INCLUSION OF THE COMMUNITY AND INPUT FROM A VARIETY OF PEOPLE.
- 15 THE MOST SIGNIFICANT ISSUES THAT HAVE COME TO OUR ATTENTION BY
- 16 LEADERS IN THE COMMUNITY ARE THE NOISE ISSUES, THE ODOR
- 17 ISSUES, THE HEALTH RISKS FOR TOXIC POLLUTANTS, MONITORING
- 18 TOXIC POLLUTION EMISSIONS, DEEP DRILLING, FLARING, SANCTIONING
- 19 AND AUDITING. THE CITY'S EFFORTS IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE
- 20 COMMUNITY'S GUIDANCE HAS ALSO LED US TO MANY SIGNIFICANT
- 21 MITIGATION ISSUES. THE CAPPING OF THE NUMBER OF WELLS
- 22 PERMITTED PER YEAR, THE INCLUSION OF THE COMMUNITY REVIEW
- 23 PROCESS, THE CURRENT COMPREHENSIVE OIL FIELD CLEAN UP PROCESS,
- 24 INDEMNITY AND INSURANCE CONSIDERATION, IMPLEMENTATION OF
- 25 GUIDELINES AND PROVISIONS IN THE C.S.D., SANCTIONS FOR NON



- 1 COMPLIANCE BY THE OIL FIELD OPERATOR, ABANDONMENT AND UNUSED
- 2 WELLS PLAN, CONSIDERATION OF THE FUTURE LAND USE OF THE OIL
- 3 FIELD FOR PARK LAND. ALSO THE MEASUREMENT OF SUBSIDENCE BY THE
- 4 COUNTY'S DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS WAS DISCONTINUED IN 1976.
- 5 IT'S ALSO A REOUEST TO RE-ESTABLISH. ONE AREA THAT I BELIEVE
- 6 THE COUNTY NEEDS TO PLAY A CRITICAL ROLE IS IN THE OVERSIGHT
- 7 OF THE PRIMARY REGULATORY AGENCIES THAT RELATE TO THE OIL
- 8 FIELD. IT'S ESSENTIAL THAT THE COUNTY HOLD THE FOLLOWING STATE
- 9 AGENCIES ACCOUNTABLE FOR DOING THEIR JOB. THE ONES THAT WE'VE
- 10 HAD CONTACT WITH ARE THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
- 11 AGENCY, DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCE CONTROL, CALIFORNIA AIR
- 12 RESOURCES BOARD, THE STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD, THE
- 13 SOUTH COAST AIR MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT
- 14 OF CONSERVATION AND RELATED AGENCIES, CALIFORNIA GEOLOGICAL
- 15 SURVEY AND THE DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND GEOLOGICAL RESOURCES.
- 16 THOSE ARE THE DEPARTMENTS I BELIEVE WILL BE IN THE BEST
- 17 POSITION TO REGULATE AND THAT WE SHOULD NOT ALLOW THEM, AS WE
- 18 SAW RECENTLY WITH D.O.G.G.R., COMPLETE A REPORT WITH NO
- 19 ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES ADDRESSED.

21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOUR TIME HAS EXPIRED.

- 23 BERNARD PARKS: OKAY. I RESPECTFULLY THANK YOU FOR THIS
- 24 OPPORTUNITY.

25

20



1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU.

2

- 3 MARCIA HANSCOM: HONORABLE SUPERVISORS, MARCIA HANSCOM WITH THE
- 4 COASTAL LAW ENFORCEMENT ACTION NETWORK AND BALLONA INSTITUTE.
- 5 I GUESS WHAT STUNS ME MOST ABOUT THIS ENTIRE CONVERSATION IS
- 6 HOW DID WE GET FROM THE IDEA OF CREATING A VERY LARGE NATURE
- 7 AND RECREATION PARK AND DIMINISHING OIL DRILLING TO INCREASED
- 8 OIL DRILLING. IT SEEMS LIKE THIS IS ECHOING THE IN ALICE IN
- 9 WONDERLAND UPSIDE DOWN POLICIES THAT ARE HAPPENING ON OUR
- 10 NATIONAL POLITICAL SCENE. AND I'D LIKE TO ECHO THE RATIONAL,
- 11 EDUCATED AND ENLIGHTENED RESPONSE TO THIS. WE ARE NOT GOING TO
- 12 DRILL OUR WAY OUT OF THIS PROBLEM. NOW, OUR PRESIDENT GEORGE
- 13 BUSH DID THE DIAGNOSIS. HE SAID WE'RE ADDICTED TO OIL. AL GORE
- 14 HAS SUGGESTED THE PROGNOSIS. THE PLANET IS DYING. IF AN
- 15 ALCOHOLIC IS TOLD THAT THEY ARE DYING OF LIVER DISEASE, THE
- 16 RESPONSE IS NOT TO BREAK OUT THE TEQUILA AND WILD TURKEY.
- 17 [LAUGHTER.] WE HAVE GOT TO LOOK AT ALTERNATIVES. AND I WOULD
- 18 BEG YOU TO AT LEAST CONDITION THIS TO MAKE SURE THAT A
- 19 SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF PROFITS OR ANY MONEY THE COUNTY GETS
- 20 MUST BE INVESTED IN ALTERNATIVE VEHICLE FUEL TECHNOLOGY. THAT
- 21 HAS GOT TO BE OUR PRIORITY.

22

23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE ABSOLUTELY AGREE WITH THAT.



- 1 MARCIA HANSCOM: PLEASE CONSIDER THE IMPACTS TO THE BALLONA
- 2 CREEK ESTUARY, THE BALLONA WETLANDS AND SANTA MONICA BAY.

3

4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: KNOCK KNOCK, CAN YOU GET HER ATTENTION? I'M
- 7 SORRY. JUST TRYING TO GET YOUR ATTENTION. I HAVE A QUESTION.
- 8 SO WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION TO US?

9

- 10 MARCIA HANSCOM: WELL, I THINK THAT IF YOU ARE GOING TO APPROVE
- 11 THIS, ONE, THERE HAS TO BE A CAP.

12

13 SUP. MOLINA: AND UNDER THIS ONE IT DOESN'T HAVE A CAP?

14

15 MARCIA HANSCOM: WE SUPPORT THE LESSER NUMBER.

16

17 SUP. MOLINA: I UNDERSTAND. BUT UNDER THIS ONE IS THERE A CAP?

18

- 19 MARCIA HANSCOM: WE SUPPORT THE GREATER BALDWIN HILLS ALLIANCE
- 20 CAP RECOMMENDATION.

21

22 SUP. MOLINA: WHICH IS WHAT AMOUNT? WHAT IS THAT CAP AMOUNT?

23

24 MARCIA HANSCOM: EXCUSE ME?



1 SUP. MOLINA: WHAT IS THE NUMBER?

2

- 3 MARCIA HANSCOM: I BELIEVE IT'S 50 A YEAR. THAT'S ENTIRELY TOO
- 4 HIGH.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: ISN'T THAT INCLUDED HERE? THERE'S A CAP ON THIS
- 7 ONE.

8

9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I WILL COME UP WITH A CAP, TOO.

10

- 11 MARCIA HANSCOM: AND WE WOULD ALSO RECOMMEND YOU HAVING A
- 12 CONDITION THAT SAYS THAT SOME PERCENTAGE OF THE REVENUES FROM
- 13 THIS HAS TO GO TOWARD ALTERNATIVE FUEL TECHNOLOGIES. WE'VE GOT
- 14 TO BE ADDRESSING THAT, NOT JUST CONTINUING TO DRILL.

15

16 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY. THAT'S A DIFFERENT ISSUE.

17

- 18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SANDRA HAMLAT, MARCIA
- 19 HANSCOM AND KEN KUTCHER. DAVID MCNEILL, PLEASE COME FORWARD.
- 20 AS I CALL YOUR NAME. CARMEN BELL. JONATHAN LEONARD. PLEASE
- 21 COME FORWARD. IF YOU CAN'T HEAR ME, CARMEN BELL, JONATHAN
- 22 LEONARD, DAVID MCNEILL. WE CALLED KEN KUTCHER AND HE'S ALREADY
- 23 HERE WITH HIS GREEN ON.



- 1 KEN KUTCHER: THANK YOU, MADAME CHAIR, MY NAME'S KEN KUTCHER,
- 2 I'M A RESIDENT OF CULVER CITY. I'M GOING TO TAKE A DIVERSION
- 3 FROM MY PLANNED REMARKS. I HAVE SUBMITTED THREE LETTERS TO THE
- 4 SUPERVISORS YESTERDAY OUTLINING OUR CONCERNS. I WANT TO DEAL
- 5 WITH THE TIMING ISSUE THAT'S COME UP THIS AFTERNOON. AND IN
- 6 PARTICULAR I WANT TO POINT TO THE CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL
- 7 OPINION REGARDING D.O.G.G.R.'S OBLIGATION TO COMPLY WITH
- 8 C.E.O.A. THIS TIES IN WITH MR. KUECHLE'S COMMENTS. IF THE OIL
- 9 OPERATOR DECIDES TO GO UP TO D.O.G.G.R. AND SEEK PERMITS,
- 10 UNLESS THE E.I.R. IS CERTIFIED BY THE COUNTY, D.O.G.G.R. IS
- 11 GOING TO HAVE TO GO THROUGH ITS OWN ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW
- 12 BEFORE IT CAN ISSUE ANY PERMITS. THAT'S WHAT HAPPENED THE LAST
- 13 TIME. THEY PREPARED INITIAL STUDY NEGATIVE DECLARATION. WE
- 14 SUBMITTED EXTENSIVE COMMENTS AND FOR SIX MONTHS D.O.G.G.R.
- 15 TOOK NO ACTION WITH RESPECT TO PENDING PERMITS FOR THIS
- 16 OPERATOR FOR THIS OIL FIELD. SO THERE'S NO TIMING CONCERNS
- 17 THAT SHOULD PRESS YOU TO ACT TODAY. THANK YOU.

18

19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU.

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MADAME CHAIR?

22

23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WOULD YOU LIKE TO RESPOND?



- 1 BRUCE MCCLENDON: YES, BEFORE KEN LEAVES, CAN WE ASK HIM TO
- 2 CLARIFY WHAT THE RECOMMENDATION IS FROM G.B.H.A. WITH RESPECT
- 3 TO THE CAP THAT THEY'VE BEEN ADVOCATING?

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, WE COULD GET BACK TO THAT ON THE CAP.
- 6 BUT IN TERMS OF HE HAS MADE HIS STATEMENT AND WE ALL ARE
- 7 FAMILIAR WITH WHAT HAPPENED, THE DELAY IN D.O.G.G.R. AT THE
- 8 TIME TWO YEARS AGO OR ELSE WE WOULD NEVER HAVE HAD AN
- 9 EMERGENCY ORDINANCE. WE WOULDN'T HAVE HAD IT. YOU REQUESTED
- 10 IT. YES.

- 12 DAVID MCNEILL: DAVID MCNEILL, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FROM THE
- 13 BALDWIN HILLS CONSERVANCY. TODAY THE BOARD MUST PARSE THE
- 14 RESPONSIBILITIES OVERSIGHT TO THE AGENCIES WHO WILL SERVE THE
- 15 BEST INTERESTS OF THE PUBLIC. WADING THROUGH THE C.S.D. AND
- 16 THE E.I.R., THERE ARE PLENTY OF CLAUSES THAT WILL STRENGTHEN
- 17 REGULATIONS AND IMPROVE THE WAY OPERATIONS ARE CONDUCTED IN
- 18 THE BALDWIN HILLS. HOWEVER, ITEMS THAT NOW COME TO THE
- 19 FOREFRONT ARE NOT EASILY ADDRESSED. ACCURATE MAPPING AND THE
- 20 PLANNED WELLS FOR THE NEW WELLS AS WELL AS ACCURATE MAPPING OF
- 21 THE ABANDONED WELLS HAS NOT BEEN PRODUCED THUS FAR. INCLUDING
- 22 A PLAN FOR OIL LEASE RESTORATION, CLEANUP OF CONTAMINATED SOIL
- 23 AND PROPER REMOVAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL LIABILITIES IN A TIMELY
- 24 BASIS. LIMITING THE AMOUNT OF WELLS TO A FAIR DRILLING PLAN
- 25 THAT ACHIEVES A NET GAIN OF 10 WELLS PER YEAR UNDER DIRECTORS'



- 1 REVIEW AND A NET GAIN OF 22 WELLS PER YEAR UNDER C.U.P. MUST
- 2 BE CONSIDERED. THESE ITEMS REMAIN UNRESOLVED OR MIRED IN
- 3 JURISDICTIONAL STALEMATE WITH REGARDS TO WHEN YOU CAN APPLY OR
- 4 HOW THEY CAN APPLY OR WHO IS RESPONSIBLE. IN YOUR
- 5 DELIBERATIONS I ASKED THAT YOU CONSIDER THE COST OF
- 6 INVESTIGATING A CLEAN UP ALL CONTAMINATED SOIL, GROUND WATER,
- 7 AND/OR METHANE LEAKS AND ANY OTHER HISTORICAL LIABILITIES THAT
- 8 AWAIT THE FUTURE STEWARDS OF THE LAST LARGE OPEN SPACE IN THE
- 9 BALLONA CREEK WATERSHED. PROMPT ACTION ON PART OF THE OPERATOR
- 10 TO IDENTIFY AND ADDRESS THOSE LIABILITIES IN TANDEM WITH THE
- 11 PURSUIT OF NEW OIL IS NOT UNREASONABLE, IT'S EXPECTED. AND
- 12 WITHOUT A TIMELINE OR STRATEGY TO EXIT, WE WOULD NOT LIKE TO
- 13 HAND OVER A PASS TO CREATE MORE ENVIRONMENTAL LIABILITIES
- 14 UNTIL THE EXISTING LIABILITIES ARE UNCOVERED AND DEALT WITH IN
- 15 A TRANSPARENT AND FAIR MANNER, THAT ENSURES THE FUTURE OF THE
- 16 BALDWIN HILLS INCLUDES CLEAN SOIL, CLEAN WATER AND SAFE
- 17 COMMUNITIES AS WELL AS PARK LANDS.

18

- 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. JONATHAN LEONARD AND CARMEN
- 20 BELL. AND WE HAD WE'D LIKE TO CALL UP E. KOBLER, HELEN PRSHA,
- 21 JOHN DUNLAP. WE'RE GOING TO START ON THIS LIST. YES, PLEASE.

- 23 CARMEN BELL: MY NAME IS CARMEN BELL. I LIVE IN CULVER CITY
- 24 SENIOR HOUSING WITH HUNDREDS RESIDENTS. I'M 85 YEARS OLD.
- 25 THANKS TO GOD, WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO BE ENJOYING A CLEAR AIR.



- 1 WE NEED YOUR PROTECTION BECAUSE A LOT OF SENIORS RESIDENTS
- 2 HAVE ASTHMA AND HEART CONDITION. WE NEED YOUR HELP. PLEASE
- 3 HELP US TO LIVE ANOTHER MORE YEARS.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. I HOPE YOU LIVE A LOT MORE
- 6 YEARS. JONATHAN LEONARD? E. KOBLER, HELEN PRSHA, JOHN DUNLAP,
- 7 PLEASE COME FORWARD. MR. LEONARD, WOULD YOU STATE YOUR NAME?
- 8 AND THEN ALSO OPA YOUNG. WE'RE CALLING ALL THE OPPOSED NOW.
- 9 YES, PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.

- 11 JONATHAN LEONARD: JONATHAN LEONARD. I'M A RESIDENT OF THE
- 12 COMMUNITY. GOING BACK TO THE BALDWIN HILLS RESERVOIR
- 13 COLLAPSING, I WAS ON DUTY AS A FIREFIGHTER AT THAT EVENT. AND
- 14 OVER A PERIOD OF YEARS I'VE LIVED IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD. ONE OF
- 15 THE THINGS THAT I NOTICED HERE THAT MOST OF THE PEOPLE THAT
- 16 ARE HERE BECAUSE THEY ARE HOMEOWNERS. WHEN WE BOUGHT LAND IN
- 17 THE AREA, WE WERE DENIED THE MINERAL RIGHTS BASED ON THE FACT
- 18 THAT WE WAIVED THEN WHEN WE PURCHASED OUR HOMES. I KIND OF
- 19 LOOK AT WHAT HAPPENED IN ALASKA. IF THIS MONEY'S BEEN MADE IN
- 20 THAT HILL, WHY NOT RETURN IT BACK TO THE COUNTY THE AMOUNT OF
- 21 MONEY THAT THEY PROFITED IN DRILLING OIL ON THAT HILL? IF YOU
- 22 LOOK AT THE DEMOGRAPHICS AND THE LAY OF THE LAND, THE ONLY
- 23 EARTHQUAKE, THE MAJOR PORTION OF DAMAGE ON AN EARTHQUAKE WAS
- 24 FROM FAIRFAX TO VERMONT. BETWEEN THAT AREA, THERE'S OIL WELLS
- 25 ON VERMONT, BUD LONG, ALONG THAT SAME PATH PRESIDENT STRAITS,



- 1 WASHINGTON, ADAMS AND JEFFERSON. THE BUILDINGS COLLAPSED. THE
- 2 OLD SYNAGOGUE'S COLLAPSED. AND NOW WE'RE INTO A SITUATION
- 3 WHERE THEY'RE GOING TO ALLOW PEOPLE TO TAKE MORE OIL OUT OF
- 4 THE HILL. WHAT ARE THEY GOING TO RETURN TO THE COMMUNITY?
- 5 BECAUSE OUR WATER TABLE, WE HAVE 7 OIL WELLS IN LOS ANGELES IN
- 6 THE UNINCORPORATED AREA THAT SUPPLIES THE WATER FOR THE WHOLE
- 7 AREA. HOW MUCH POLLUTION IS GOING TO BE CREATED TO DESTROY OR
- 8 TO POISON THE WATER THAT WE DRINK? THAT IS BASICALLY MY
- 9 COMPLAINT IS THAT WHAT DO WE GET AS MINERAL RIGHTS AS CITIZENS
- 10 IN THE COMMUNITY? THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

11

12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. E. KOBLER, JOHN DUNLAP.

13

14 JOHN DUNLAP: I'M JOHN DUNLAP.

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: HELEN PRSHA, OPA YOUNG, ROBERT GARCIA. MR.
- 17 GARCIA, YOU'RE COMING FORWARD? PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.

- 19 JOHN DUNLAP: JOHN DUNLAP. I LIVE IN THE BALDWIN VISTA AREA.
- 20 I'VE BEEN THERE 13 YEARS. I HAVE HAD A NUMBER OF NEIGHBORS,
- 21 LONG-TIME NEIGHBORS DIE OF CANCER. IT'S NOT ROCKET SCIENTISTS
- 22 TO FIGURE OUT HOW MUCH HEALTH DAMAGE THE OIL WELLS ARE DOING
- 23 TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD. IF YOU REVIEW THE DEATH RECORDS OF THE
- 24 PEOPLE WHO LIVE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD, YOU WILL FIND A LARGE
- 25 NUMBER OF THEM WHO HAVE DIED OF CANCER. AND I SURMISE IT HAS



- 1 PROBABLY BEEN CAUSED BY THE VAPORS AND POLLUTANTS FROM THE OIL
- 2 FIELDS. I ALSO HAVE DAMAGE TO MY HOME. I HAVE CRACKS IN THE
- 3 WALLS, FOUNDATION DAMAGE AS WELL A NUMBER OF MY NEIGHBORS. I
- 4 WOULD INVITE THE COMMISSIONERS TO COME TO OUR NEIGHBORHOOD AND
- 5 VIEW THE DAMAGE DONE BY THEM SLOPE AND SLANT OIL DRILLING IN
- 6 OUR NEIGHBORHOOD. THANK YOU.

8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. AND THERE IS A PROCESS FOR ALL

- 9 OF THOSE THINGS TO BE REVIEWED ON SUBSIDENCE. THOSE ARE IN THE
- 10 C.S.D..

7

11

14

19

21

23

JOHN DUNLAP MY QUESTION IS THE COUNTY OR THE P.X.P. GOING TO

13 PAY FOR THE DAMAGE TO MY PROPERTY?

- 15 >>SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, THERE'S A PROCESS, FIRST OF ALL, TO
- 16 ESTABLISH THAT THERE WAS NO OTHER REASON FOR THE DAMAGE. AND
- 17 ALL OF THAT DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS CAN TELL YOU EXACTLY.
- 18 WE'LL GO THROUGH THE PROCESS OF HOW IT'S GOING TO WORK.
- 20 JOHN DUNLAP: ALL RIGHT.
- 22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO FILE A COMPLAINT.
- 24 **JOHN DUNLAP:** THANK YOU.



1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOU'VE ALWAYS HAD THAT RIGHT. BUT YOU HAVE

2 IT SPECIFICALLY UNDER THIS.

3

4 JOHN DUNLAP: WELL A LOT OF US DON'T KNOW WHAT OUR RIGHTS ARE.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. YES MR. GARCIA, AND THEN HAZEL
- 7 STOREY, D.C., I THINK THAT'S DOROTHY DECAYETTE JEFF KESSLER.

8

- 9 ROBERT GARCIA: GOOD AFTERNOON. ROBERT GARCIA, EXECUTIVE
- 10 DIRECTOR AND COUNSEL OF THE CITY PROJECT, AND PROUD MEMBER OF
- 11 THE GREATER BALDWIN HILLS ALLIANCE. I HAVE ONE MINUTE, SO I
- 12 WILL BE BRIEF. ONE, THERE NEEDS TO BE A CAP ON THE NUMBER OF
- 13 WELLS. AND ON OCTOBER 20TH, MR. KUTCHER SUBMITTED A DETAILED
- 14 PROPOSAL FOR ONE, 20 NEW WELLS AND TWO, A NET INCREASE OF 10
- 15 WELLS, AND THREE, IF THERE'S ANYTHING ABOVE THAT, THERE HAS TO
- 16 BE A C.U.P. PROCESS. SECOND THE OIL FIELD CLEANUP MUST START
- 17 NOW NOT WHEN THE OIL FIELDS ARE DRIED UP. THIRD,
- 18 IMPLEMENTATION GUIDELINES MUST BE PREPARED NOW. FOUR, THE
- 19 REGULATIONS MUST REFLECT A LONG TERM PLAN AND VISION FOR PARK
- 20 LAND. AND FINALLY WE DO CALL FOR THE RECIRCULATION OF THE
- 21 E.I.R. IT IS NOT ADEQUATE. IT UTTERLY IGNORES THE CIVIL RIGHTS
- 22 AND SOCIAL JUSTICE ISSUES. AND I CONCUR WITH MR. KUTCHER.
- 23 THERE IS NO TIME PRESSURE. YOU SHOULDN'T LET P.X.P. BULLY YOU
- 24 INTO TAKING ACTION NOW. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I HAVE A HANDOUT.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR
- 2 WORK ON THIS.

3

4 ROBERT GARCIA: THANK YOU.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WOULD ALL THOSE PEOPLE WHO WE HAVE CALLED
- 7 PLEASE COME FORWARD? HAZEL STOREY, DOROTHY DECAYETTE, JEFF
- 8 KESSLER? GLORIA BAILEY. DEBRA WILEY. MARGRIT CHEESEBORO. ODIE
- 9 JOHNSON. PLEASE COME FORWARD. DIANE SHER. [INAUDIBLE]

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. ALL OF THOSE PEOPLE WHOSE NAMES
- 12 I HAVE CALLED, PLEASE COME FORWARD. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.

13

- 14 DOROTHY DECAYETTE: MADAME CHAIRMAN, I'M DOROTHY DECAYETTE, I
- 15 WOULD LIKE TO YIELD MY POSITION TO ONE OF THE REPRESENTATIVES.
- 16 BALDWIN HILLS ALLIANCE.

17

18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ABSOLUTELY. YOUR MINUTE WILL GO TO THEM.

19

20 DOROTHY DECAYETTE: OKAY, THANK YOU.

21

- 22 **DEBORAH WILEY:** GOOD AFTERNOON AND I'M DEBORAH WILEY, I'D LIKE
- 23 TO YIELD MY ONE MINUTE TO GARY GLESS.

24

25 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. AND YOUR NAME IS?



1

- 2 ODIE JOHNSON: GOOD AFTERNOON MY NAME IS ODIE JOHNSON. MY
- 3 CONCERN, AND I LIVE IN THE COMMUNITY OF WINDSOR HILLS. AND I
- 4 HAD A MEMBER PASSED ALREADY. AND I THINK THERE WAS A LOT OF
- 5 SICKNESS FROM THE AREA THAT CAME FROM APPARENTLY OIL AND WHAT
- 6 HAVE YOU. I ALSO HAVE A PERSON IN MY HOUSEHOLD NOW THAT'S
- 7 DISABLED AND I AM REALLY CONCERNED BECAUSE OF THE HEART AND
- 8 THE KIDNEYS AND WHATEVER. THANK YOU.

9

10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

11

12 ODIE JOHNSON: I ANTICIPATE YOU WORKING ON IT. THANK YOU.

13

14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOUR NAME, PLEASE. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME?

15

- 16 MARGRIT CHEESEBORO: MY NAME? MY NAME IS MARGRIT CHEESEBORO AND
- 17 I'M HERE, AND I PASSED OUT THIS COLORED PAPER THAT YOU JUST
- 18 GOT. AND I WOULD LOVE FOR YOU TO LOOK AT IT. THESE ARE THE
- 19 FIRST TWO-- THESE ARE TWO PAGES OUT OF THE E.I.R. AND THE
- 20 COLOR PAGE INDICATES THE CANCER RATE THAT IS PRODUCED IN 2005.
- 21 THIS WAS FROM THE E.I.R. THIS WAS P.X.P.'S MONEY PAYING FOR
- 22 IT.

23

24 SUP. MOLINA: THIS ONE RIGHT HERE?



- 1 MARGRIT CHEESEBORO: THAT'S THE ONE. AND YOU CAN SEE THAT THE
- 2 BLUE AREA IS 1,200 TIMES THE CANCER RATE THAN WOULD BE
- 3 ACCEPTABLE BY ANY STANDARDS. AND THE WHITE IS OF COURSE GOOD
- 4 AIR. AND YOU CAN SEE IT'S NOT ONLY AFFECTING THE BALDWIN HILLS
- 5 PEOPLE. IT'S AFFECTING THE ENTIRE L.A. BASIN. AND THE SECOND
- 6 PAGE I HAVE IS THE POUNDS PER YEAR. THAT'S THE POISON THAT
- 7 THEY PUMP OUT. AND THAT WAS FROM 2006 AND 2007 FROM THE
- 8 EXISTING PUMPS. NOW, CAN YOU IMAGINE 1,000 MORE OIL WELLS? HOW
- 9 MUCH MORE POISON WE ARE FORCED TO BREATHE IN? THIS IS
- 10 INCREDIBLE THAT NOBODY HAS EVEN LOOKED AT THAT. AND THEN THE
- 11 NEXT TWO PAGES ARE BECAUSE I CANNOT TALK VERY LONG, THE NEXT
- 12 THE TWO PAGES ARE AN ADVERTISEMENT THAT WE GOT FROM SOME
- 13 INVESTMENT COMPANIES TO ENTICE US TO INVEST IN P.X.P. AND
- 14 OTHER OIL COMPANIES AND SEE HOW MUCH MONEY WE COULD MAKE WITH
- 15 TAX INCENTIVES. SO THAT JUST FROM DRILLING. CAN YOU IMAGINE?
- 16 THAT'S WHAT THEY'RE DOING. THE WHOLE THING IS MONEY. IT IT'S
- 17 NOT TO GET OIL. IT'S JUST MONEY. AND THEY DON'T CARE ABOUT THE
- 18 PEOPLE BEING DYING. THEY DON'T CARE ABOUT PEOPLE HAVING TO
- 19 BREATHE IN BAD AIR. NO, THEY DON'T. AND THEY WANT YOU TO THINK
- 20 THAT YOU HAVE NO TIME TO EXTEND THIS. LET ME TELL YOU THAT THE
- 21 GOVERNOR JUST SIGNED A BILL THAT INDUSTRIES CANNOT-- THEY HAVE
- 22 TO REDUCE BY 25 PERCENT THE CO2 EMISSIONS. AND THESE PEOPLE,
- 23 P.X.P., THEY ALREADY PRODUCE OVER 25 PERCENT MORE THAN IS
- 24 ALLOWED.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND SO THAT'S WHY WE'RE TRYING TO SHUT IT
- 2 DOWN. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.

3

- 4 DIANE SHER: MY NAME IS DIANE SHER. I LIVE IN WESTCHESTER. I
- 5 ALWAYS GET MAILINGS TO COME TO THESE MEETINGS. I'M NOT REALLY
- 6 SURE WHY THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES IS NOT ENGAGED BECAUSE I'M
- 7 CERTAINLY AFFECTED. I'VE BEEN INVOLVED IN THIS PROCESS FOR A
- 8 YEAR AND A HALF. I'VE LEARNED A LOT ABOUT INGLEWOOD DEEP. WE
- 9 CAN SOLVE THIS BY EVERYBODY JUST PARKING THEIR CARS AND
- 10 STOPPING DRIVING AND JOINING MASS TRANSIT.

11

12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ABSOLUTELY.

13

- 14 DIANE SHER: BUT I WOULD LIKE TO THANK THE COUNTY FOR
- 15 INITIATING THIS PROCESS. THE E.I.R., I BELIEVE, IS THE FIRST
- 16 THAT'S BEEN DONE ON THE OIL FIELDS EVER. I AM NOW AN EDUCATED
- 17 CITIZEN. I WOULD LIKE FOR YOU TO PASS THIS, SUBJECT TO
- 18 AMENDMENT OR SOMETHING, BECAUSE THIS CAN GO ON AND ON UNTIL
- 19 THE PAPER STACKS GROW AND GROW AND GROW. WELL, I GUESS I JUST
- 20 TRUST MY ELECTED OFFICIALS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

21

- 22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. HAZEL STOREY, JEFF
- 23 KESSLER AND GLORIA BAILEY.



- 1 HAZEL STOREY: MY NAME IS HAZEL STORY AND I WOULD LIKE TO DEFER
- 2 MY TIME TO SOMEONE ELSE.

3

4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

5

- 6 GLORIA BAILEY: MY NAME IS GLORIA BAILEY AND I YIELD MY TIME TO
- 7 BALDWIN HILLS ALLIANCE REPRESENTATIVE.

8

- 9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT THANK YOU. JEFF KESSLER, HAZEL
- 10 STOREY. DR. SUZANNE DEBENEDITIIS I THINK WE COMMUNICATED WITH
- 11 DELYNA DIOP, VINE HA THOMPSON. MARCELLA TRAMMELL. ELNOUS
- 12 LEWIS. RANDALL PAULIN. GWEN SHAFFER. MICHELLE WEINER. WE WANT
- 13 TO GET PEOPLE UP WHEN YOU HEAR YOUR NAME. GARY GLESS. SHEILA
- 14 SMITH. AND GARY GLESS. I THOUGHT YOU WERE HERE. BILL SMITH, DO
- 15 YOU HEAR ME? MOLLY LEE WELINSKY?

16

17 [INAUDIBLE.]

18

19 >>SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY. ALL RIGHT PLEASE COME FORWARD.

20

- 21 DR. SUZANNE DEBENEDITIIS: HONORABLE SUPERVISORS, I'D LIKE TO
- 22 KNOW HOW MANY PEOPLE CAN GIVE ME THEIR MINUTE, PLEASE? CAN WE
- 23 JUST STOP THE CLOCK LONG ENOUGH TO FIND OUT?



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WHO WANTS TO GIVE HER YOUR MINUTES? I HAVE
- 2 TO CALL YOUR NAME BEFORE YOU -- HE'S SAYING HE DOESN'T WANT TO
- 3 DO THAT. BUT IF THIS LADY WANTS TO GIVE HER YOURS? WELL WHEN
- 4 YOU'RE CALLED, YOU CAN GIVE IT TO HER. WE ALREADY CALLED YOU.
- 5 AND YOUR NAME IS? ELNOUS LEWIS IS GIVING YOU HERS. GO RIGHT
- 6 AHEAD.

- 8 DR. SUZANNE DEBENEDITIIS: HONORABLE SUPERVISORS, WE NEED TO
- 9 PUT IN STEP 1 AS THE FIRST STEP OF THE C.S.D., AND THAT'S TO
- 10 HAVE P.X.P. PUT UP ENOUGH REAL MONEY TO COVER CATASTROPHIC
- 11 EARTHQUAKE. CONTRARY TO THE EVIDENCE THAT THEY'VE KIND OF
- 12 SKEWED, AND I CAN GET DICK MEEHAN TO VALIDATE THAT WHO THEY
- 13 OUOTE BUT, THEN THEY TURN AND SAY HIS STEP'S INSIGNIFICANT.
- 14 THE TYPE OF DRILLING CAN BRING ON THE BIG ONE AND YET THERE'S
- 15 NO MONEY FOR IT. SO I'M ASKING THAT BE STEP ONE. AND STEP TWO
- 16 BE THAT ALL THE PROVISIONS, THE MONITORING, THE AIR QUALITY,
- 17 HAS TO BE IN FIRST SO WE AVOID A THING LIKE THE FUMIGATION
- 18 THAT WE SUFFERED TWO YEARS AGO. I'M DR. SUZANNE DEBENEDITIIS
- 19 AT THE TIME, I LIVE IN CULVER CREST. THERE IS LACK OF
- 20 TRANSPARENCY ALSO IN THE PROCESS. COMMISSIONER BELLAMY INVITED
- 21 US TO BE PART OF IT. I WAS PERSONALLY DISINVITED, AND I'M A
- 22 SOCIAL ETHICIST DOCTOR AT U.S.C. I DON'T KNOW WHY EXCEPT THAT
- 23 I ASK OUESTIONS SUCH AS THESE, WHICH WILL GO TO THE STATE
- 24 ATTORNEY GENERAL IF THE COUNTY DOES NOT CHOOSE TO ADDRESS
- 25 THEM. WHAT ARE THE COSTS OF THE CATASTROPHIC EARTHQUAKE



- 1 INDUCED BY ENHANCED RECOVERY DRILLING? WHO IS FISCALLY
- 2 RESPONSIBLE FOR CLEAN UP AND RESULTING DAMAGES TO HUMAN LIFE
- 3 AND PROPERTY? WHAT HAPPENS WHEN THE OPERATOR DECLARES IN ITS
- 4 S.C.C. REPORT IT DOESN'T HAVE ENOUGH MONEY AND COULD GO
- 5 BANKRUPT? WHO PAYS IF THEY'RE LEGALLY PERMITTED BY COUNTY
- 6 ORDINANCE AS THE C.S.D. TO DO THIS, GIVEN THAT THE COUNTY HAS
- 7 INDEMNIFIED ITSELF IN IN THIS ORDINANCE FROM THESE DAMAGES?
- 8 AND WOULD THIS ADVERSELY AFFECT THEIR BANKRUPT INSURANCE
- 9 CARRIERS SO THEY BECOME INEFFECTIVE AND CANNOT MAKE ALL THE
- 10 COVERAGE PAYOUTS TO THEIR INSURED PROPERTY AND HOMEOWNERS? AND
- 11 WHAT ARE THE COSTS IF THE STATE WOULD HAVE TO BEAR GIVEN THE
- 12 SCENARIO? I PRAY AND BEG THAT THESE GET ADDRESSED IN THE WEEK,
- 13 THE MONTH, WHATEVER TIME WE HAVE SO WE HAVE A GOOD STRONG
- 14 C.S.D.. AND I THANK YOU FOR ALL THE WORK YOU HAVE DONE ON IT.
- 15 THANK YOU.

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. AND WE WILL HAVE THOSE. IF YOU
- 18 LEAVE THIS, WE WILL MAKE SURE YOU GET THE RESPONSES. I DON'T
- 19 KNOW WHETHER WE CAN DO MUCH MORE THAN THE PRESIDENT, THAN THE
- 20 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT DID ON THE INSURANCE COMPANIES. WE'RE NOT
- 21 GOING TO HAVE 500 MILLION TO PUT BEHIND THEM.

- 23 DR. SUZANNE DEBENEDITIIS: RIGHT, THAT'S WHY WE HAVE TO TAKE
- 24 THE PREVENTIVE PROACTIVE STEPS SO IT'S A TRUE LEGACY TO YOU,
- 25 SUPERVISOR. THANK YOU.



1

2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. AND WE SHOULD HAVE CATHERINE

3 LAWS, ELIZABETH KERMAN RUTH CRAFT STARTING UP. STATE YOUR

4 NAME.

5

6 MOLLY LEE WELINSKY: WHO GOES FIRST?

7

8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOU CAN GO FIRST.

9

- 10 MOLLY LEE WELINSKY: OH ME. I'M MOLLY LEE WELINSKY. I LIVE IN
- 11 CULVER CITY IN TARA HILL. I AM VERY ACTIVE MEMBER OF THREE
- 12 DEMOCRATIC CLUBS INCLUDING THE CULVER CITY DEMOCRATIC CLUB.
- 13 AND I'M HERE BECAUSE I WAS WOKEN UP BY 2006 WHEN THEY PUT SOME
- 14 STUFF IN THE AIR AND IT MADE ME, YOU KNOW, IT MADE ME GO TO
- 15 THE BATHROOM. SO ANYWAY. [LAUGHTER.] I WANT TO PUT UP-- I WANT
- 16 TO SAY THAT THERE ARE 436 ACTIVE WELLS PUMPING 24/7 AND 1,600
- 17 HOLES IN THE PROPERTY. CAN THIS GROUND TAKE MORE PRESSURE FROM
- 18 1,000 WELLS? AND SPEAKING OF 1,000 WELLS, I HAVE THIS THING
- 19 FROM P.X.P. WHERE IT SAYS HERE, "MYTH NUMBER 1 P.X.P. IS
- 20 PROPOSING AN EXPANSION OF 1,000 NEW WELLS, MORE THAN 50 PER
- 21 YEAR IN THE NEXT 20 YEARS. IN FACT, P.X.P. HAS NO PLANS,
- 22 APPLICATIONS OR PERMITS FOR 1,000 WELLS. P.X.P. ESTIMATES ON
- 23 AVERAGE 15 TO 20 NEW WELLS OPERATING EACH YEAR WITH 7 TO 8
- 24 WELLS CLOSING EACH YEAR." HAVE YOU SEEN THIS?



1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES, I HAVE.

2

- 3 MOLLY LEE WELINSKY: OKAY. SO IT SEEMS TO ME IF THAT'S ALL
- 4 THEY'RE TELLING US THAT THIS IS WHAT THEY'RE GOING TO DO, WHY
- 5 ARE WE GIVING THEM 53? [APPLAUSE.]

6

7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU.

8

- 9 MOLLY LEE WELINSKY: AND JUST ONE OTHER THING. I READ WHAT YOU
- 10 GAVE US AND ON PAGE 7 OF 9, IT SAYS "THREE CONSIDERATIONS.
- 11 CONSIDER REQUIRING REVISED HEALTH RISK PLAN. CONSIDER
- 12 REQUIRING MONITORS ALONG THE FAULT LINE TO MONITOR FOR
- 13 MIGRATING GASES AMONG THE FAULT LINES. AND CONSIDER
- 14 ESTABLISHING A MECHANISM FOR SUBSIDENCE RELATED COMPLAINTS."
- 15 SO PLEASE CONSIDER IT TODAY. ADD IT TODAY.

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IT'S IN THERE. THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE READING
- 18 FROM IS WHAT IT PROVIDES. THANK YOU. YES, PLEASE STATE YOUR
- 19 NAME.

- 21 SHEILA SMITH: GOOD EVENING. I'M SHEILA SMITH. AND I WANT TO
- 22 ASK YOU WHAT KIND OF AMERICA ARE YOU LOOKING FOR? ARE YOU
- 23 LOOKING FOR A POLLUTED AMERICA? OR A GREEN AMERICA? IT IS
- 24 REALLY-- IT SAYS THE MEASURE OF ALL OF US AND IT IS HOW WE ARE
- 25 DEFINING OURSELVES BY HOW WE RESPOND TO CHALLENGES. THERE ARE

11

13

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 THOSE OF US WHO ARE FORWARD THINKING WHO UNDERSTAND WHAT
- 2 AMERICA MUST DO CITIES AND COUNTIES IN ORDER TO PRESERVE THE
- 3 LEGACY AND THE HEALTH FOR ALL OF OUR CHILDREN. AND WE ARE
- 4 WILLING TO MAKE THAT SACRIFICE. AND THAT IS WHY PRECISELY WE
- 5 ARE LOOKING VERY CAREFULLY AT FRANKLY WHO WE VOTE FOR AND WHO
- 6 WE HAVE IN OFFICE BECAUSE WE DO WANT PEOPLE WHO CAN FACE A
- 7 CHALLENGE. I KNOW THIS IS EXTREMELY HARD FOR ALL OF YOU. I
- 8 KNOW THAT WE ARE NOT THE PEOPLE WHO WERE IN THOSE FIELDS OR IN
- 9 THIS AREA 80 YEARS AGO. WE ARE DIFFERENT PEOPLE. BUT WE ARE
- 10 JUST AS COMMITTED TO OUR HEALTH AND LEGACY FOR ALL OF US.

12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MORE SO. THANK YOU. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.

14 BILL SMITH: BILLY SMITH I'M A RETIRED STATIONARY ENGINEER.

- 15 I'VE SEEN THE RESULTS OF BAD OIL MANAGEMENT AND POLLUTION. I
- 16 TALKED TO SOME OF MY COLLEAGUES WHO ARE STILL IN THE BUSINESS,
- 17 AND THEY GAVE ME THIS FOLDER FROM THE SOUTH COAST MANAGEMENT
- 18 WHO CONTROLS THE POLLUTION AND MONITORS THE POLLUTION IN SOUTH
- 19 BAY AREA. IT'S OUITE EXTENSIVE. IT'S WRITTEN BY A REAL EXPERT
- 20 ON WHAT SHOULD BE DONE TO BRING THE EMISSIONS DOWN IN OUR
- 21 NEIGHBORHOOD. AND WE'VE BEEN BOTHERED WITH TOXIC GAS, OIL
- 22 SMELLS IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD EVER SINCE I'VE BEEN THERE. I'VE
- 23 BEEN THERE 33 YEARS. AND YOU'VE GOT TO REALIZE WE HAVE FOUR
- 24 SCHOOLS IN THE AREA OF WHERE THESE OIL WELLS ARE DRILLED.
- 25 ANOTHER 1,000 OIL WELLS WOULD BE DEVASTATING. I TALKED TO A



- 1 PERSON AT THE FIRE DEPARTMENT ON WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IF ONE OF
- 2 THESE WELLS CATCH ON FIRE AND HE SAID THE CLOSEST PERSON THAT
- 3 COULD HANDLE IT WOULD BE THE HAZ.MAT. PEOPLE 20 MILES AWAY.
- 4 AND I WOULD SAY IN 20 MINUTES THE WHOLE NEIGHBORHOOD WOULD BE
- 5 ON FIRE. AND I NOTICE OVER IN THE OIL WELL THERE'S A LOT OF
- 6 BRUSH, DRIED BRUSH AND BIG TANKS OF TOXIC FUEL THAT WOULD BE
- 7 DEVASTATING TO OUR NEIGHBORHOODS. PLEASE CONSIDER THIS FOR OUR
- 8 KIDS.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ABSOLUTELY. AND THE PEOPLE YOU REFERRED TO
- 11 WILL BE PART OF THE MONITORING TEAM. THE PEOPLE YOU'RE
- 12 REFERRING TO THAT YOU HELD THAT UP, THEY'RE GOING TO BE PART
- 13 OF THE MONITORING TEAM. MULTI AGENCY MONITORING TEAM THAT THEY
- 14 WILL BE THERE INVOLVED.

15

- 16 BILL SMITH: I HAVE ONE COMPANY THAT WAS DEALING IN THE OIL
- 17 BUSINESS. IT'S HIGHEST TOXIC WASTE IN THE UNITED STATES. THEY
- 18 OWN THE SUPERFUND CLEAN UP AND IT'S BEEN EMPTY FOR 12, 14 TO
- 19 15 YEARS. AND WE DON'T WANT THAT TO HAPPEN IN OUR
- 20 NEIGHBORHOOD.

21

22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ABSOLUTELY. THANK YOU.

23

24 BILL SMITH: I'D LIKE TO LEAVE THIS WITH YOU.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES, PLEASE. THANK YOU. YES, PLEASE STATE
- 2 YOUR NAME AND THEN MR. HUTCHINSON.

- 4 GARY GLESS: MY NAME IS GARY GLESS. I'M THE WINDSOR HILLS
- 5 HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION PRESIDENT. I'M HERE RESPECTFULLY
- 6 REOUESTING THAT THIS DECISION BE OVERHELD FOR COMMENT. I'VE
- 7 GIVEN YOU INFORMATION HERE THAT I'D LIKE YOU TO CONSIDER.
- 8 FIRST IS THE U.S. GEOLOGICAL STUDY. IT STATES THAT LUBRICATING
- 9 FAULTS WITH WATER AND SOME OTHER SUBSTANCE INJECTING HIGH
- 10 PRESSURE FLUIDS DEEP INTO THE GROUND IS KNOWN TO CAUSE
- 11 EARTHQUAKES. THIS WOULD BE A DANGEROUS PURSUIT IN ANY
- 12 POPULATED AREA. ONE MIGHT BE TRIGGERING A DAMAGING EARTHOUAKE.
- 13 SECONDLY I'VE RECEIVED PAPERWORK JUST THIS SATURDAY FROM THE
- 14 ESTEEMED INDEPENDENT EXPERT, DICK MEEHAN AND DOUGLAS HAMILTON,
- 15 WHO YOU'VE USED-- WHO DID THE ROSS HAMILTON EXPLOSION PAPER,
- 16 AND HAS BEEN REFERRED TO IN THE STUDIES OF THE C.S.D. IN
- 17 LETTERS. THAT STATES, "EARTHQUAKES MAY BE TRIGGERED BY THE OIL
- 18 FIELD OPERATIONS IN THIS SETTING, AND A POTENTIAL OF EXTREME
- 19 PUBLIC HAZARDS ARE INVOLVED BASED ON THE WORK TO DATE, WE
- 20 AGREE THAT THE CONCLUSION WHICH WAS ADVANCED TO THE COUNTY BY
- 21 THE APPLICANTS, THAT THERE IS NO SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL
- 22 CONCERNS IN RESPECT TO TO GEOLOGICAL HAZARDS, IS NOT
- 23 CONSISTENT TO THE FACTS OR AS FAR AS I CAN SEE BY THE E.I.R.
- 24 ITSELF. THIS IS BY RICHARD L. MEEHAN, THE CONSULTING PROFESSOR
- 25 OF BLOOM EARTHQUAKE CENTER IN STANFORD UNIVERSITY. I HERE ALSO



- 1 HAVE THOUSANDS OF SIGNATURES OF COMMUNITY LEADERS,
- 2 ORGANIZATIONS AND THOUSANDS OF YOUR CONSTITUENTS WHO ALL
- 3 REQUIRE A FULL COMPREHENSIVE AND INDEPENDENT STUD OF THE
- 4 E.I.R., OF THE ACTUAL OIL FIELD AND THE LAND WITH ALL OF THE
- 5 APPROPRIATE AGENCIES PROVIDING DATA AND COMMENT BEFORE
- 6 ADOPTING ANY POLICY, ORDINANCE, C.S.D. RELATED OIL AND GAS,
- 7 OPERATIONS IN THE BALDWIN HILLS OIL FIELD. SECONDLY, THAT
- 8 P.X.P. ADEQUATELY ENSUE--

9

10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, SOMEONE GAVE HIM A MINUTE EXTRA.

11

12 GARY GLESS: I ACTUALLY RECEIVED TWO EXTRA MINUTES.

13

14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SO WE'RE LETTING YOU GO ON.

- 16 GARY GLESS: -- THE P.X.P BE ADEQUATELY ENSUED FOR CATASTROPHIC
- 17 EARTHQUAKES, SUBSIDENCE, TOXIC CLOUD, EXPLOSION, FAILURE IN
- 18 THE BALDWIN HILLS OIL FIELD. AND TO SOLICIT A PLEDGE FROM
- 19 D.O.G.G.R. NOT TO PERMIT ANY NEW WELLS UNTIL THE C.S.D. IS
- 20 RESOLVED. FURTHERMORE, OUR HOMES ARE CRACKING, OUR WALLS AND
- 21 FOUNDATIONS. IT IS TURNING OUR COMMUNITY INTO AN UNMARKETABLE
- 22 AREA. OUR LIVES AND OUR HOMES ARE BEING DESTROYED. THE E.I.R.
- 23 HAS BECOME AN E.I.R. ON A SET OF POLICIES INSTEAD OF ON THE
- 24 LAND. LET'S HAVE ALL THE INDEPENDENT AGENCIES THAT HAVE ASKED
- 25 TIME TO STUDY THE FIELD TO DO SO. LET'S NOT LET THE PROFITS OF



- 1 P.X.P. BEFORE THE PEOPLE. WE CANNOT AFFORD ANY LAWSUITS IN
- 2 TODAY'S ECONOMY. TRUST ME, WHEN P.X.P. WILL NOT ALLOW THE
- 3 COUNTY TO MAKE ANY CHANGES OR REVISIONS IN THE FUTURE WITHOUT
- 4 A LEGAL BATTLE.

5

6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. YOUR TIME HAS EXPIRED.

7

8 GARY GLESS: I HAD AN EXTRA MINUTE.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOU HAD TWO MINUTES EXTRA. GO AHEAD. GO
- 11 RIGHT AHEAD. PLEASE FINISH.

12

- 13 GARY GLESS: LET THEM VEST INTO ONE MORE WELL SO WE CAN DO ALL
- 14 THE STUDIES RIGHT, OKAY. WE RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THAT THE
- 15 DECLARATION THAT YOU DO NOT PUT THIS ON THE FAST TRACK TO
- 16 DISASTER AND HAVE IT HELD OVER.

17

- 18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. CATHERINE LAWS,
- 19 ELIZABETH KERMAN AND RUTH CRAFT. NATALIE BURKELEY, DAVID
- 20 KERMAN, CLAIR CHISHOLM, ROSALIE KIRSCH, NINA MOSBY, FELECIA
- 21 RICHARD, PRONOUNCED RISHART. THEY'RE COMING FORWARD. ANNETTE
- 22 ROSS. FRAN BAKER, DR. MAKALANI KIKONGO. [INAUDIBLE.] OKAY.
- 23 BETTY GRAVES. OKAY. THANK YOU.

24

25 **SPEAKER:** (OFF-MIKE).



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: BETTY GRAVES, EDITH SEIGEL, IDELE
- 3 STAPHOLTZ, GRANVILLE BEALS. ALL RIGHT. YES. MR. HUTCHINS,
- 4 PLEASE GO FORWARD. OH, YOU WANT THE LADY TO GO FIRST, OR ARE
- 5 YOU GOING FIRST?

6

7 SPEAKER: SHE'S GOING TO YIELD ONE MINUTE.

8

9 SPEAKER: I'D LIKE TO YIELD MY MINUTE.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WE WERE GOING TO GIVE YOU MORE
- 12 THAN ONE ANYONE ANYHOW. LISA ZENON, TAMA WILLS, YOSHIKO PLAIR,
- 13 ANDREA LEDE, ROGER MAXWELL, VALENA DISMUKES, MARGARET THOMAS.
- 14 FAYE WASHINGTON. IT WOULD BE NICE TO SEE IF THEY-- ALL RIGHT.
- 15 PLEASE. YES, HE'S GOING TO HAVE THREE MINUTES. ALL RIGHT.
- 16 EVERYONE COME FORWARD AND YOU'LL GET TO SPEAK. HAVE A SEAT,
- 17 SIR. HAVE A SEAT.

18

19 SPEAKER: THANK YOU.

20

- 21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. MR. HUTCHINSON, PLEASE GO
- 22 FORWARD.

- 24 EARL HUTCHINSON: SUPERVISOR BURKE AND SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY
- 25 AND SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, ALWAYS A PLEASURE. IN THIS CASE,



- 1 IT'S NOT A PLEASURE. OF COURSE A PLEASURE TO SEE THE WORKINGS
- 2 OF THE SUPERVISORS THAT GREET YOU AND SEE HOW THINGS ARE
- 3 HAPPENING IN THE COUNTY, BUT WHEN I SAY IT'S NOT A PLEASURE,
- 4 I'M WEARING A DIFFERENT HAT TODAY, EVEN THOUGH I SIGNED UP AS
- 5 PRESIDENT OF THE POLICY URBAN ROUND TABLE WHICH IS, AS YOU
- 6 WELL KNOW, OUR PUBLIC ADVOCACY GROUP. I'M A HOMEOWNER TODAY
- 7 AND I'M SPEAKING AS A HOMEOWNER. I HAVE LIVED IN THE AREA FOR
- 8 OVER 20 YEARS, AND I HAVE WATCHED THE DEVELOPMENT OF THIS OIL
- 9 FIELD FOR 20 YEARS. NOW, FOR THE FIRST 15 YEARS, I LIVE ON
- 10 LABRAYA, WINDSOR HILLS. FOR THE FIRST 15 YEARS, NOT A PROBLEM.
- 11 IT WAS A SMALL, SLEEPY, FAMILY, SEVERAL FAMILY-OWNED
- 12 OPERATIONS, NO PROBLEMS. THEY WERE GOOD CORPORATE CITIZENS.
- 13 THEY LIVED IN THE COMMUNITY, THEY WORKED THERE, MANY OF THEM
- 14 ACTUALLY DID LIVE IN THE COMMUNITY, WORKED THERE, AND THERE
- 15 WAS NOT A REAL PROBLEM. ALL THE THINGS THAT WE'VE HEARD TODAY
- 16 ABOUT THE ENVIRONMENT, ALL THE THINGS WE'VE HEARD ABOUT THE
- 17 NOISE, ALL OF THE THINGS WE'VE HEARD ABOUT THE VIBRATIONS, ALL
- 18 THE THINGS WE'VE HEARD ABOUT THE POLLUTANTS AND CONTAMINATION,
- 19 THAT WAS NOT A MAJOR PROBLEM FOR MOST OF THAT TIME. 2002,
- 20 SUPERVISOR BURKE, YAROSLAVSKY AND ANTONOVICH, WE SAW A CHANGE.
- 21 I SAW A CHANGE PERSONALLY. I BEGAN TO SEE SOMETHING IN MY
- 22 HOUSE, NAMELY CRACKS. WHAT IS THIS ALL ABOUT? WE HAD
- 23 GEOLOGISTS THAT CAME OUT, WE HAD SOIL EXPERTS THAT CAME OUT.
- 24 THEY COULDN'T FIGURE IT OUT UNTIL ONE DAY WE FIGURED OUT
- 25 SOMETHING. A NEW COMPANY HAS NOW BOUGHT INTO WHAT USED TO BE



- 1 STOCKER RESOURCES, NAMELY P.X.P. A LOT OF DRILLING GOING ON.
- 2 WE'RE SEEING NEW THINGS. WE'RE SMELLING THINGS THAT WE DIDN'T
- 3 SMELL BEFORE. WE'RE ALSO HEARING THINGS THAT WE DIDN'T HEAR
- 4 BEFORE AND WE'RE ALSO EXPERIENCING SOUND VIBRATIONS THAT WERE
- 5 ACTUALLY BREAKING APART NOT ONLY MY HOUSE BUT ALSO THE HOUSES
- 6 OF NEIGHBORS AROUND THERE. NOW A LOT OF THIS IS NOT ADDRESSED
- 7 IN THE PLAN THAT'S BEEN PUT FORTH. HOWEVER, I CAN TELL YOU
- 8 FROM A FIRSTHAND EXPERIENCE POINT OF VIEW, WE FEEL IT UP
- 9 THERE. ALSO, DOZENS AND DOZENS OF OTHER HOMEOWNERS BEGAN TO
- 10 SEE AND SAY THE SAME THING. WE'RE HAVING PROBLEMS WITH OUR
- 11 HOUSE, WE'RE SEEING THE CRACKS, WE SMELL THE STUFF IN THE AIR.
- 12 WE'RE EXPERIENCING THE NOISE FACTOR, AND WE'RE ALSO SEEING
- 13 BASICALLY WHAT HAS BECOME A TOXIC DUMP UNDER THE AUSPICES OF
- 14 P.X.P., WHAT USED TO BE STOCKER RESOURCES. IT'S AFFECTING
- 15 EVERYBODY NOT ONLY ON MY BLOCK, SURROUNDING BLOCKS, BUT IN THE
- 16 AREA. SO WHAT ARE WE TO DO? NEEDLESS TO SAY, A LOT OF THE
- 17 HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATIONS GOT TOGETHER AND, OF COURSE, OVER THE
- 18 LAST YEAR AND A HALF YOU CERTAINLY WITNESSED THE HEARINGS,
- 19 YOU'VE CERTAINLY WITNESSED AND HEARD THE TESTIMONY, YOU'VE
- 20 HEARD IT TODAY, YOU'VE SEEN THE PETITIONS. I HAVE TO SAY THIS,
- 21 WHEN YOU HAVE SUPERVISOR BURKE AND SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY AND
- 22 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, YOU CAN'T IGNORE THOUSANDS, LITERALLY
- 23 THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE THAT HAVE SIGNED THESE PETITIONS THAT HAVE
- 24 EXPERIENCED THE PROBLEMS WITH THEIR HOMES, THAT WERE CERTAINLY
- 25 AWARE OF THE HAZARDS OVER THERE AND AFFECTED BY IT. YOU CAN'T

23

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- I IGNORE THAT. WE OFTEN HEAR THIS TERM, RUSH TO JUDGMENT. I
- 2 THINK IT'S IMPORTANT IN THIS CASE, WHAT'S A FEW MORE WEEKS,
- 3 WHAT'S EVEN A FEW MORE MONTHS WHEN YOU'RE PLAYING WITH THE
- 4 LIVES OF SO MANY PEOPLE THAT ARE IMPACTED IN THIS COMMUNITY
- 5 AND YOU'VE ALREADY HEARD FROM EVERYBODY THAT'S MARCHED UP HERE
- 6 ABOUT THE HAZARDS. WHAT IS A FEW MORE WEEKS? A LITTLE BIT OF
- 7 DELAY, STEP BACK, GET SOME MORE INDEPENDENT -- THERE ARE A
- 8 NUMBER OF PEOPLE THAT WILL TESTIFY ABOUT THE INADEQUACIES OF
- 9 THE E.I.R.. LET'S HEAR FROM THEM, BECAUSE THEY'RE WILLING TO
- 10 TESTIFY AND THEY'RE WILLING TO PUT THEIR NAME ON A DOCUMENT
- 11 THAT SAYS THAT THIS IS NOT ADEQUATE, WHAT YOU HAVE HERE, AND I
- 12 THINK IT'S IMPORTANT. DO NOT, DO NOT IGNORE OF THE WILL OF THE
- 13 PEOPLE BECAUSE YOU HEAR THEM HERE TODAY, YOU SEE THEM HERE
- 14 TODAY AND MANY WHO COULD NOT BE HERE TODAY HAVE SIGNED THOSE
- 15 PETITIONS. THEY ARE CONCERNED. SUPERVISOR BURKE, THESE ARE
- 16 YOUR NEIGHBORS. THESE ARE RESIDENTS. THESE ARE HOMEOWNERS.
- 17 THESE ARE TENANTS THAT LIVE IN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD, SO WE ARE
- 18 IMPLORING YOU, WE'RE PLEADING WITH YOU, TAKE A LITTLE BIT MORE
- 19 TIME. DON'T RUSH TO JUDGMENT. DELAY IT A LITTLE BIT MORE.
- 20 FURTHER STUDY IS STILL NEEDED, IT'S STILL DEMANDED. THAT IS
- 21 THE WILL OF THE PEOPLE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, SUPERVISOR BURKE
- 22 AND SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE HAVE A PROVISION, OFARY HAS SOME

25 SUPERVISION IN THERE, SINCE WE HAVE TO DO THIS, SINCE YOU CALL



1 US EVERY WEEK. OKAY. YES. AND THEN WE WANT TO CALL MAURICE

2 WASHINGTON, PHYLLIS KOSSOFF, MARCIA JAMES. OKAY. PLEASE START.

3

- 4 RANDALL PAULIN: MY NAME IS RANDALL PAULIN AND I WAS CALLED A
- 5 LITTLE EARLIER. I BELIEVE I MAY HAVE BEEN GIVEN A MINUTE OR
- 6 TWO BY OTHERS, BUT I WILL BE BRIEF. I'M A RESIDENT OF ARCH
- 7 CREST DRIVE IN WINDSOR HILLS. I MOVED MY SMALL FAMILY THERE A
- 8 SHORT TIME AGO AND DISCOVERED THIS ACTIVITY, AND THE CONCERN
- 9 THAT I WANT TO EXPRESS HERE IS THAT THIS IS NOT JUST AN-- IT'S
- 10 NOT JUST MORE OF WHAT'S BEEN GOING ON. THERE'S A CHANGE OF
- 11 CHARACTER IN THE TYPE OF EXPLORATION BEING DONE. IT'S MORE
- 12 INVASIVE, IT REACHES FURTHER OUT INTO THE NEIGHBORHOODS, IT
- 13 HAS POTENTIAL FOR FURTHER BEHAVIOR, AND THE MONITORING HAS TO
- 14 BE DONE FARTHER OUT AS WELL. THERE'S NO-- I HAVEN'T HEARD, NOT
- 15 MUCH, IN THE WAY OF CLEAR DISCUSSION ON HOW THIS NEW TYPE OF
- 16 PRESSURE INJECTION AND EVERYTHING ELSE AFFECTS THE SUBSIDENCE
- 17 AND UPLIFT AND ANY OTHER POSSIBLE MATERIAL COMING OUT OF THE
- 18 GROUND, INCLUDING OTHER TYPES OF GASES AND SUCH IN THE GREATER
- 19 NEIGHBORHOOD, STANDING UP TO TWO TO THREE MILES OUT FROM THE
- 20 FIELD, SO I WANTED TO EXPRESS THAT THAT IS A CONCERN.

21

22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE'LL ASK A RESPONSE TO THAT.

23

24 RANDALL PAULIN: PARDON?



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE'LL ASK FOR A RESPONSE. THANK YOU. WOULD
- 2 YOU LIKE TO HAVE A SEAT? YES, PLEASE.

3

- 4 FRAN BAKER: HI. MY NAME IS FRAN BAKER AND I'M A RESIDENT OF
- 5 BALDWIN VISTA. I'VE LIVED THERE FOR OTHER 40 YEARS. I SAW THE
- 6 DAM BREAK BECAUSE WE USED TO PLAY AROUND THERE.

7

8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I SAW IT BREAK, TOO.

9

- 10 FRAN BAKER: WE USED TO HANG OUT IN THE OIL FIELDS. THERE WAS
- 11 ABOUT 25 TO 30 OF US CHILDREN THAT WOULD ALWAYS RUN AROUND AND
- 12 PLAY TOGETHER, AND AS WE'RE ALL COMING BACK HOME TO TAKE CARE
- 13 OF OUR PARENTS, THERE'S NOT SOMETHING THAT'S NOT WRONG WITH
- 14 ANY OF US. WE HAVE NEUROLOGICAL DISEASES, ASTHMA, BRONCHITIS,
- 15 CANCER. I'M CLAIMING THAT I'M A FOURTH-TIME CANCER SURVIVOR
- 16 ALREADY, BUT THERE'S SOMETHING WRONG WITH EACH ONE OF MY
- 17 GENERATION THAT GREW UP IN BALDWIN HILLS, SO ANY STUDY THAT
- 18 COMES IS GOING TO BE COMING FOR MY GRANDCHILDREN. THEY NEED TO
- 19 SEE WHAT HAPPENS TO MY GENERATION THAT GREW UP THERE AND THAT
- 20 YOU SEE RUN AROUND THE WELLS AND PLAY IN THE DAMS AND JUST,
- 21 YOU KNOW, ASK US. WE'RE THE STUDY. WE KNOW WHAT HAPPENED TO
- 22 US.

- 24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I'M NOT SURE EVERYONE KNOWS THAT. THE DAM
- 25 IS NOW THE KENNETH HAHN RECREATIONAL PARK, THE AREA WHERE THE



- 1 DAM ACTUALLY BROKE IS THE ONE THAT WE CALL THE OLYMPIC AREA.
- 2 IT'S NOW KENNY HAHN PARK.

3

- 4 FRAN BAKER: RIGHT. AND ON DECEMBER 14TH OF THIS YEAR, IT'S
- 5 GOING TO BE 45 YEARS, AND IT BROKE, IF A LOT OF PEOPLE DON'T
- 6 REMEMBER, BECAUSE THERE WAS WATER BEING PUMPED, BECAUSE OF THE
- 7 OIL WELLS. IT DAMAGED IT, AND A LOT OF PEOPLE DON'T KNOW THAT
- 8 WE'RE ACTUALLY A MAN MADE HILL. WE WERE FLAT GROUND, DIRT WAS
- 9 BROUGHT IN TO MAKE THESE HILLS. WE'RE NOT ROCK, WE'RE NOT
- 10 GRANITE. WE ARE A MAN MADE HILL, SO THAT'S HOW COME WE HAVE A
- 11 LOT OF MOVEMENT.

12

13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

- 15 GRANVILLE BEALS: I'VE BEEN TOLD THAT A KIND LADY HAS YIELDED
- 16 ME A MINUTE OF HER TIME. THANK YOU. MY NAME IS GRANVILLE
- 17 BEALS. I'M A LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF LADERA HEIGHTS, AND
- 18 PIGGYBACKING ON SOME OF THE COMMENTS PEOPLE HAVE MADE, I WOULD
- 19 LIKE TO SAY THAT BECAUSE OF THE OBVIOUS VISUAL BLIGHTS,
- 20 BESIDES THE OBVIOUS VISUAL BLIGHT THAT THE OIL FIELDS ARE TO
- 21 ONE OF THE LAST GREAT OPEN SPACES IN ANY URBAN ENVIRONMENT IN
- 22 OUR COUNTRY, AND THE OBVIOUS NEGATIVE IMPACT THAT THEY HAVE ON
- 23 THE AIR AND SOIL QUALITY OF THE AREA, THERE ARE MANY OTHER
- 24 CONCERNS THAT WE HAVE REGARDING THEM, AND I'M ONLY GOING TO
- 25 SPEAK TO ONE RIGHT NOW, THE INTEGRITY OF THE GEOLOGY WHICH



- 1 SUPPORTS THOUSANDS OF HOMES, BUSINESSES AND APARTMENT
- 2 COMPLEXES IN THE AREA, WHICH IS BEING CONTINUALLY COMPROMISED
- 3 BY THE DRILLING THAT HAS ALREADY TAKEN PLACE AND CONTINUES AS
- 4 WE'RE SPEAKING RIGHT NOW. IN PARTICULAR, IT GIVES -- WE LIVE IN
- 5 A SEISMICALLY ACTIVE AND ABSOLUTELY UNPREDICTABLE, VOLATILE
- 6 AREA AND THAT THIS IS A PROPOSAL, I WOULD CONTEND, SOMEWHAT
- 7 RECKLESS IN NATURE IN THAT THE SAFETY AND WELL BEING OF TENS
- 8 OF THOUSANDS OF SURROUNDING RESIDENTS WOULD BE PASSED OFF AS
- 9 PART OF THE-- AND THIS IS, OUOTE, "UNAVOIDABLE ENVIRONMENTAL
- 10 EFFECTS ASSOCIATED WITH POTENTIAL FUTURE OIL PRODUCTION
- 11 OPERATIONS AT THE INGLEWOOD OIL FIELD, " UNQUOTE. I SEE THIS AS
- 12 A GIANT SINKHOLE IN THE MAKING, IF WE ARE TO CONTINUE IGNORING
- 13 RENEWABLE ENERGY TECHNIQUES AND DEVELOPMENT AND EXPLORATION IN
- 14 FAVOR OF EXPANDING DRILLING IN OUR AREA THAT ALWAYS SUFFERS
- 15 FROM THE PRESENCE OF THESE OIL FIELDS THAT CURRENTLY EXIST,
- 16 AND ALTHOUGH THE DEVELOPMENT OF A C.S.D., ALBEIT IT A LITTLE
- 17 TOO LATE, I HAVE TO SAY, IS A GOOD CONCEPT FOR ESTABLISHING
- 18 OPERATING PROCEDURES AND PROTOCOLS TO ENSURE FOR THE SAFETY
- 19 AND OPTIMAL ENVIRONMENT AND THE THOUSANDS OF RESIDENTS IN THE
- 20 SURROUNDING AREA, THIS PROPOSAL IS A BREEDING GROUND FOR
- 21 LOOPHOLES AND CONTINUANCES AND IS SERIOUSLY SLANTED TO
- 22 P.X.P.'S INTERESTS ONLY, AND INSTEAD OF MONITORING DEVICES
- 23 INSTALLED ON VARIOUS PIECES OF EQUIPMENT, HOW NICE, HOW ABOUT
- 24 INSTALLING DEVICES THAT, UPON DETECTION POTENTIAL ACCELERATION
- 25 OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES, GAS, ET CETERA, ABOUT TO BE RELEASED INTO



- 1 OUR AREA, THAT THERE BE ALTERNATIVE DEVICES INSTALLED THAT
- 2 WOULD SHUT DOWN THE EQUIPMENT THAT MIGHT BE POTENTIALLY
- 3 VIOLATING ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS BEFORE ANY RELEASE, AND
- 4 THEREBY ELIMINATE TOXIC ADMISSION AND THE NEEDS FOR THESE
- 5 MONITORING DEVICES ON THEIR PIECES OF EQUIPMENT. SHOW US SOME
- 6 LOVE, NOT LOVE CANAL.

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. MARCIA JAMES, NEIL
- 9 RUBENSTEIN, ROBERT MCNAMARA.

10

11 **SPEAKER:** (OFF-MIC.)

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, SHE'S ALREADY SPOKEN SO IT'S TOO LATE
- 14 NOW, BUT YOU CAN TAKE IT TO HER, YES. ALL RIGHT. YOU'VE
- 15 ALREADY SPOKEN ONCE. THANK YOU. I KNOW, BUT, YEAH, HE CAN COME
- 16 UP AND SPEAK OR GIVE IT TO SOMEONE ELSE, BUT YOU'VE ALREADY
- 17 SPOKEN AND PEOPLE GAVE YOU ADDITIONAL TIME.

18

19 **SPEAKER:** (OFF-MIC.)

20

- 21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. OKAY. WE HAVE REVEREND FRAN
- 22 TAYLOR, DR. KENDALL PRICE, EUNICE RIDGILL. WE ASK YOU TO COME
- 23 FORWARD. YES. I'M SORRY YOU HAD TO STAND UP SO LONG.

24

25 MARCIA JAMES: THAT'S ALL RIGHT.



1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOU JUST YIELDED YOUR TIME? OKAY. AND YOUR 2 3 NAME WAS? ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. AND WHO DID YOU YIELD TO? 4 5 **SPEAKER:** (OFF-MIC.) 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. AND WHO DID YOU 7 8 YIELD TO? OKAY, ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. YES, PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME. 9 10 MARCIA JAMES: I'M MARCIA JAMES AND I LIVE IN THE BALDWIN HILLS 11 AREA IN CLOVERDALE, AND WE LOST HALF OF OUR HILL BECAUSE OF 12 THE DRILLING BACK WHEN WE HAD THE VERY THE HEAVY RAINS IN THE 13 '80S. BUT MY GREATEST CONCERN RIGHT NOW, BECAUSE THE CONCERN 14 15 OF SAFETY AND HEALTH HAS BEEN REALLY TALKED ABOUT A LOT AND I 16 THINK YOU UNDERSTAND THAT. MY REAL CONCERN RIGHT NOW IS HOW MANY SUPERVISORS HAVE BEEN LISTENING TO US. SUPERVISOR BURKE, 17 18 YOU'VE BEEN HERE THE ENTIRE TIME, BUT HOW WILL THE OTHER FOUR 19 MEMBERS KNOW WHAT OUR CONCERNS IF THEY'RE NOT HEAR TO HEAR THEM? 20 21 22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, SUPERVISOR MOLINA IS RIGHT OVER HERE

AND THESE TWO SUPERVISORS ARE RIGHT HERE, AND ONE IS MISSING.



- 1 MARCIA JAMES: DO THEY GET A RECORDING OF WHAT WE'RE SAYING?
- 2 HOW WILL THEY KNOW HOW WE REALLY FEEL?

3

4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THEY'RE LISTENING NOW.

5

- 6 MARCIA JAMES: NO, BUT SOMETIMES YOU'VE BEEN THE ONLY ONE HERE.
- 7 THAT'S MY POINT.

8

- 9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ANY TIME THEY ARE-- THEY HAVE TO BE IN THIS
- 10 AREA-- THEY ARE ALLOWED TO GO TO THE BATHROOM, BUT IN THE
- 11 BATHROOM--

12

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND WE HAVE MICROPHONES IN THE BATHROOM.

14

- 15 MARCIA JAMES: OKAY. THAT ANSWERS MY QUESTION. I WAS CONCERNED
- 16 ABOUT THAT, AS A FORMER TEACHER, HOW DOES THIS DEMOCRACY WORK,

17

18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE HAVE MICROPHONES IN THE BATH ROOMS.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I SHOULD SAY WE HAVE SPEAKERS IN THE
- 21 BATHROOM, NOT MICROPHONES. [LAUGHTER.]

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE HAVE SPEAKERS IN THE BATHROOM. AND NEIL
- 24 RUBENSTEIN, ROBERT MCNAMARA, REVEREND FRAN TAYLOR. KENDALL
- 25 PRICE. EUNICE RIDGILL.



1

- 2 ROBERT MCNAMARA: MY NAME IS ROBERT MCNAMARA. I LIVE IN CULVER
- 3 CITY. MOST OF WHAT I HAVE TO SAY HAS ALREADY BEEN SAID. A FEW
- 4 THINGS SAID DURING THE TAG TEAM PRESENTATION HERE I WANTED TO
- 5 MAKE A NOTE OF. I HEARD REFERENCE TO MASSIVE PUBLIC OUTREACH
- 6 EFFORTS, 169 PEOPLE GIVING TESTIMONY, 300 QUESTIONNAIRES
- 7 PASSED OUT, NOT NEARLY ENOUGH. THE PEOPLE IN MY COMMUNITY HAVE
- 8 ONLY A VAGUE AWARENESS OF WHAT'S GOING ON. HOW MANY TENS OF
- 9 THOUSANDS NEED TO SHOW UP ON YOUR DOORSTEP, SUPERVISOR BURKE,
- 10 TO GET THIS KNOWN TO YOU, HOW OBJECTIONABLE THIS IS. THIS
- 11 E.I.R. IS NOT AN EXHAUSTIVE DOCUMENT. IT MUST BE RECIRCULATED.
- 12 THERE IS NO RUSH, AS SOMEONE ALREADY SAID, D.O.G.G.R. IS NOT
- 13 GOING TO APPROVE THIS WITHOUT THE COUNTY INPUT, BECAUSE 20,
- 14 30, 40 YEARS FROM NOW WHEN OIL CONSUMPTION IS NOT THE FOCUS OF
- 15 OUR ECONOMY, THE REASON WE GO TO WAR AND A SOURCE OF
- 16 ENVIRONMENTAL DEGRADATION, WHAT ARE OUR GRANDCHILDREN GOING TO
- 17 SAY WHEN THEY LOOK BACK AND SEE, WE SAID THROUGH THIS OIL
- 18 COMPANY, A THOUSAND MORE WELLS IN OUR BACKYARD, SURE, COME ON.

19

20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

- 22 ROGER MAXWELL: YOU CALLED MY NAME EARLIER. IT'S ROGER MAXWELL.
- 23 I LIVE IN CULVER CITY, CALIFORNIA. MADAME CHAIR, MEMBERS OF
- 24 THE BOARD, I'M AGAINST THE E.I.R. AND THE C.S.D. AS IT'S
- 25 CURRENTLY PRESENTED. I THINK IT'S FLAWED. THE ORIGINAL ONE DID



- 1 NOT EVEN MENTION THE MASSIVE GAS LEAK IN JANUARY 2006, WASN'T
- 2 EVEN REPORTED IN THAT REPORT. I THINK AT THIS TIME WE NEED A
- 3 WISE DECISION, NOT NECESSARILY A SMART DECISION. YOU'RE ALL
- 4 SMART, BUT WE NEED SOME WISDOM HERE ON THIS. THE INGLEWOOD OIL
- 5 FIELD DOES, IN FACT, NEED TO BE UNMATCHED AS FAR AS ITS E.I.R.
- 6 AND THE C.S.D.. IT NEEDS TO HAVE HIGH DEVELOPMENTAL STANDARDS.
- 7 IT NEEDS TO HAVE SAFETY STANDARDS FOR 2008 RATHER THAN THE
- 8 COBBLED ORDINANCE THAT EXISTED SINCE 1924. I'D LIKE TO BRING
- 9 UP A POINT REGARDING CULVER CITY DOG PARK, WHICH HAPPENS TO BE
- 10 RIGHT NEXT TO THE P.X.P. DRILLING, AND IT ALSO HAS THREE OIL
- 11 WELLS WITHIN 50 METERS OF IT, ONE RIGHT ACROSS THE STREET.
- 12 LAST WEEK, A WATER MAIN BROKE UP ON THE P.X.P. PROPERTY, AND
- 13 IT FLOODED OUT THE DOG PARK, WHICH IS RIGHT BELOW IT. IT TOOK
- 14 SOME PERIOD OF TIME TO REPAIR THOSE CONDITIONS. THAT WAS
- 15 WATER. THAT WAS NOT OIL. AGAIN, THE THREE OIL WELLS ARE WITHIN
- 16 50 METERS OF THE DOG PARK. I WOULD LIKE TO SAY THAT THE E.I.R.
- 17 NEEDS FURTHER INVESTIGATION, IT'S NOT COMPLETED AT ALL. AGAIN,
- 18 WE NEED A WISE VOTE AND NOT A SMART VOTE. THERE WERE SMART
- 19 VOTES WHEN THE D.M.V. EXPERTS STATED THEY WOULD NEVER NEED
- 20 MORE THAN THREE LETTERS AND THREE NUMBERS ON THE CALIFORNIA
- 21 LICENSE PLATES. THE TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS IN THE PAST TORE UP
- 22 THE STREET RAILS, STREET CAR RAILS FROM POMONA TO LONG BEACH
- 23 TO LOS ANGELES.

24

25 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU.

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 ROGER MAXWELL: THOSE WERE EXPERTS TESTIFYING. 2 3 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. 4 5 ROGER MAXWELL: THANK YOU. 6 7 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, YOU'VE ALREADY SPOKEN ONCE. 9 SPEAKER: THE TIME WAS DEFERRED TO THE ALLIANCE. 10 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OH, ARE YOU-- YOU'RE THE ALLIANCE, SPEAKING 12 13 FOR THE ALLIANCE? OKAY. 14 SPEAKER: THANK YOU. 15 16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ORDINARILY--17 18 19 SPEAKER: I APPRECIATE THAT. 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: --WE DON'T DO THAT. ALL RIGHT. YES. PLEASE 21 22 STATE YOUR NAME. 23 REV. FRAN TAYLOR: I'M REVEREND FRAN TAYLOR. I'M A RESIDENT OF 24

BALDWIN HILLS AND I'M HERE ON BEHALF OF MY PARENTS WHO ARE IN

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 THEIR MID- 90S, THEY'VE BEEN RESIDENTS OF THE BALDWIN HILLS
- 2 AREA FOR APPROXIMATELY 45 YEARS. THEY'VE ALSO BEEN VERY ACTIVE
- 3 IN THE COMMUNITY AS WELL. I'M SPEAKING REALLY TO WHAT HAS BEEN
- 4 SAID BEFORE IN TERMS OF WHAT DO WE GET OUT OF IT AND I WOULD
- 5 LIKE FOR THE SUPERVISORS TO SUPPORT THE EFFORTS OF THE
- 6 COMMUNITY DOING SOMETHING OUTSIDE OF WHAT YOU ARE ABLE TO DO,
- 7 AND SO WHAT I'M PROPOSING IS A -- TO DEVELOP AND MAINTAIN A
- 8 FIDUCIARY FUND DERIVED PRINCIPALLY FROM THE P.X.P. DRILLING
- 9 COMPANY AND OWNERS OF THE INGLEWOOD OIL FIELD. THE FORMATION
- 10 OF THIS TRUST SHALL BE NAMED FOR FRANK AND KERRY JONES WHO
- 11 HAVE BEEN RESIDENTS OF THE BALDWIN HILLS ESTATES FOR 45 YEARS.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND IF YOU
- 14 WILL PASS THAT ON, WE WILL MAKE IT PART OF THE RECORD.

15

16 **REV. FRAN TAYLOR:** OKAY.

17

18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

- 20 SPEAKER: SUPERVISOR BURKE, I'M IMPRESSED WITH YOUR ENERGY.
- 21 KEEP THIS THING GOING. DEMOCRACY IS A WONDERFUL THING, AND
- 22 APPRECIATE THE PATIENCE OF THE MANY PEOPLE OUT HERE WHO HAVE
- 23 THE OTHER SIDE TO SPEAK TO. BUT ANYWAY, THIS IS A REMARKABLE
- 24 EXPERIENCE. I'M GLAD TO BE HERE. ON A PERSONAL LEVEL, I LIVE
- 25 IN CULVER CREST, LIVED THERE FOR 35 YEARS. MY WIFE AND I ARE



- 1 BOTH CANCER SURVIVORS. I'M NOT GOING TO BLAME THAT ON THE OIL
- 2 COMPANIES, BUT NO ONE IN OUR FAMILY HAS HAD CANCER, AND WE
- 3 DON'T KNOW WHERE WE GOT IT FROM, SO WE JUST WHETHER IT MAY
- 4 HAVING SOME EFFECT. I THINK TO TAKE A LOOK AT THE GROUND, I
- 5 HAVE NOT SEEN THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION OF THE STATUS
- 6 OF OUR GROUND UP ON THAT HILL. I'VE NEVER SEEN THAT. NOW MAYBE
- 7 IT'S ALL BEEN DONE, I THINK THAT NEEDS TO BE WIDELY CIRCULATED
- 8 BECAUSE IF I CAN SEE THAT, I MIGHT SAY, "OH, WE'RE IN GREAT
- 9 SHAPE OR MAYBE WE'RE NOT IN GRATE SHAPE." GREAT SHAPE.

10

11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE'RE GOING TO DETERMINE THAT.

- 13 SPEAKER: GOOD. LOOKING FORWARD TO THAT. AND FINALLY, THERE'S A
- 14 LINE OR TWO IN THE REPORT BY BRUCE MCCLENDON WHICH SAYS THIS:
- 15 "THE REMAINING UNAVOIDABLE ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS ASSOCIATED
- 16 WITH POTENTIAL FUTURE OIL PRODUCTION ACTIVITY HAVE BEEN
- 17 REDUCED TO AN ACCEPTABLE LEVEL, " AND HERE'S THE LINE, "AND ARE
- 18 OUTWEIGHED BY SPECIFIC HEALTH AND SAFETY ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND
- 19 ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS. I HAVE NOT SEEN ANY SPECIFIC HEALTH
- 20 AND SAFETY BENEFITS, I'VE NOT SEEN ANY SOCIAL ENVIRONMENTAL
- 21 BENEFITS. AS A MATTER OF FACT, I'VE SEEN NO BENEFITS. I THINK
- 22 THE WORST THING THE COUNTY COULD DO IS TO ADD TO THE QUESTION
- 23 OF-- IT'S NOT GOING TO COST US ANYTHING. HERE'S THE FISCAL
- 24 IMPACT. THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT WILL NOT RESULT IN ANY
- 25 SIGNIFICANT NEW COSTS, BUT WHAT ARE THE PAYOFFS. WHAT IS THE



- 1 COUNTY GETTING? I KNOW WE ARE, BUT THAT'S THE ONLY THING I
- 2 THINK THAT CAN JUSTIFY GOING INTO THIS, SO ANYWAY, SOMETIMES I
- 3 WOULD LIKE TO HEAR WHAT THE COUNTY IS GETTING OUT OF IT, WHICH
- 4 MEANS WHAT WE ARE GETTING OUT OF IT.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. WE'RE HOPING NOT TO HAVE ANY
- 7 LAWSUITS BECAUSE WE TACKLE IT FIRST. ALL RIGHT. YES. NEIL
- 8 RUBENSTEIN, DR. KENDALL PRICE, EUNICE RIDGILL, DEON-- DR. DEAN
- 9 NIELSON PRICE, MARTI CONNOLLY, RONALD WOODS, DAMIAN NAGAMI,
- 10 TIM GABRIEL, MARK JAY SALKIN ARNOLD SACHS. PLEASE COME
- 11 FORWARD. SCOTT ZELLMAN, JEFF COOPER, MARTIN SCHLAGETTER, JAMES
- 12 ALAMILLO. ALL RIGHT. AS SOON AS YOU GET UP HERE, WE CAN START.
- 13 AND DAMIEN GOODMAN, YOU'RE COMING UP VERY SOON, RIGHT AFTER
- 14 KATHY KNIGHT AND PATRICIA MCPHERSON.

- 16 KEN KUTCHER: THANK YOU, KEN KUTCHER. I APPRECIATE AND THANK
- 17 THE MEMBERS OF THE AUDIENCE FOR THE DEFERRED TIME FOR THIS. I
- 18 WANTED TO ADDRESS THE NUMBER OF WELLS THAT THE GREATER BALDWIN
- 19 HILLS ALLIANCE IS RECOMMENDING FOR THE C.S.D.. THESE DEAL WITH
- 20 PROVISIONS THAT APPEAR ON PAGES 53 AND 54 OF THE DRAFT
- ORDINANCE, THEY'RE IN SECTIONS H.1, H.2 LITTLE A. WITH RESPECT
- 22 TO H.1, WHICH DEALS WITH THE DIRECTOR'S REVIEW PERMIT,
- 23 P.X.P.'S OWN LITERATURE INDICATES THAT THEY ANTICIPATE 15 TO
- 24 20 WELLS PER YEAR. THE PROVISION IS PRESENTLY DRAFTED SAYS 53.
- 25 53 SHOULD BE REPLACED WITH A MAXIMUM OF 20 WELLS PER YEAR.



- 1 P.X.P.'S LITERATURE ALSO INDICATES THAT THEY WOULD BE
- 2 ABANDONING AT THE RATE OF 7 TO 8 WELLS PER YEAR AND THEREFORE
- 3 THERE SHOULD BE A NET INCREASE OF NO GREATER THAN 10 WELLS IN
- 4 ANY ONE YEAR. SO THAT WOULD BE OUR CHANGE WITH RESPECT TO
- 5 SECTION H.1, TO CROSS OUT 53, REPLACE IT WITH 20 AND TO ADD AT
- 6 THE END OF THE SENTENCE, AND A NET INCREASE OF NO MORE THAN 10
- 7 WELLS IN ANY ONE YEAR. WITH RESPECT TO H.2, WHICH IS THE
- 8 PROVISION DEALING WITH THE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT PROCESS.
- 9 HERE IS WHERE THE NUMBER SHOULD COME FROM THE E.I.R.. THE
- 10 NUMBER THAT APPEARS IN THE C.S.D. SAYS 53. THAT'S THE WRONG
- 11 NUMBER. THAT NUMBER, 53 PER YEAR, ACCOUNTS FOR ALSO WELLS THAT
- 12 ARE IN CULVER CITY. IF YOU NET THOSE OUT, YOU END UP WITH AN
- 13 AVERAGE OF 48 PER YEAR. SO THAT'S 48 PER YEAR IN THE COUNTY OF
- 14 LOS ANGELES. THE E.I.R. ALSO MAKES ASSUMPTIONS WITH RESPECT TO
- 15 RATES OF ABANDONMENT.

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I THOUGHT YOU WERE GOING TO USE THIS IN
- 18 CULVER CITY.

- 20 KEN KUTCHER: AND SO WHEN YOU DISCOUNT THE AVERAGE ABANDONMENT,
- 21 BECAUSE D.O.G.G.R. SAYS YOU CAN'T CONTROL ABANDONMENT, YOU
- 22 CAN'T MANDATE IT, SO YOU NEED TO LOOK AT NET NUMBER. THE NET
- 23 NUMBER, BECAUSE THE ABANDONMENT RATE IS 26 A YEAR, THE NET
- 24 NUMBER OUT OF 48 WOULD BE 22 A YEAR. SO WE SUGGEST THAT THE



C.U.P. PROCESS ALLOW UP TO 48 NEW WELLS PER YEAR WITH NO MORE

2 THAN 22 NET. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

3

4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR

5 HELP AND YOUR SERVICES.

6

7 DR. DEON PRICE: I'M DR. DEON NIELSON PRICE, I LIVE IN CULVER

8 CREST, AND I AM VERY APPRECIATIVE OF ALL THE WORK THAT HAS

9 BEEN DONE FOR THE FUTURE. I THINK THAT WE HAVE-- IT WOULD BE

10 VERY IRRESPONSIBLE FOR THE COUNTY AND THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

11 TO GO FORWARD WITH FUTURE PLANS FOR FUTURE DRILLING OF ANY

12 SORT WITHOUT HAVING THOSE STUDIES DONE THAT WERE MENTIONED

13 THAT THERE IS GOING TO BE A GROUND STUDY, THAT THERE IS GOING

14 TO BE A HEALTH STUDY. THOSE NEED TO BE DONE BEFORE ANYTHING

15 GOES FORWARD. LIKE MY HUSBAND MENTIONED, WE'RE BOTH CANCER

16 SURVIVORS. WHEN WE MOVED TO CULVER CITY, WE KNEW IT WAS AN

17 UNSTABLE HILLSIDE BECAUSE THE HOUSE THAT WE BOUGHT HAS A POLE

18 IN IT, A METAL POLE THAT GOES THROUGH THE-- RIGHT THROUGH THE

19 MIDDLE OF THE HOUSE AS THE STABILIZER IN CASE OF EARTHOUAKE

20 BECAUSE WE DO LIVE ON A FAULT. SINCE THEN, THERE HAS BEEN THE

21 NORTH PROJECT, WHICH GOES AROUND OUR HOUSE ON BOTH SIDES DEEP

22 IN THE EARTH, THERE HAS BEEN HILL SLIDES, MAJOR LANDSLIDES

23 THAT HAVE COST MILLIONS OF DOLLARS AND SEVERAL HOMES, AND SO

24 WE THINK THESE STUDIES ARE VERY IMPORTANT. THANK YOU.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU, AND THERE'S TIME FRAMES. IF YOU
- 2 LOOK AT THE C.S.D., THERE'S A TIME FRAME OF WHEN THESE HAVE TO
- 3 GET IN AND THE MOST IS LIKE 180 DAYS FOR ALL OF THEM TO BE
- 4 COMPLETED. ALL RIGHT. STATE YOUR NAME AND THEN I'M GOING TO
- 5 CALL YOU.

6

- 7 MARK SALKIN: MY NAME IS MARK SALKIN, REPRESENTATIVE OF THE
- 8 NEIGHBORHOOD OF THE CULVER CREST NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION,
- 9 REPRESENTING 525 HOMEOWNERS. I THINK THE ONE THING THAT KIND
- 10 OF ASTOUNDS ME TODAY IS THAT WE ARE WITNESSING AN ALREADY DONE
- 11 DECISION IN THE BEST TRADITION OF WHAT IS NOW GOING ON IN
- 12 WASHINGTON AND SACRAMENTO. FRANKLY, SUPERVISOR BURKE, AND I
- 13 HAVE RESPECTED YOU FOR MANY YEARS, I SEE YOU HAVE ALREADY MADE
- 14 UP YOUR MIND, AND I WISH THAT THE OTHER REPRESENTATIVES HERE
- 15 WOULD NOT REPEAT THE CONVENIENCE, THE EASINESS, THE MISGUIDED
- 16 LISTENING TO EXPERTS THAT GOES ON IN WASHINGTON THAT LED US TO
- 17 OUR CURRENT DEBACLE. WE HAVE THE SAME THING GOING ON IN
- 18 SACRAMENTO TODAY WITH ELECTED OFFICIALS WHO PROGNOSTICATE
- 19 BUDGETS THAT THEY KNOW THEY CANNOT MEET. WE DIG OURSELVES
- 20 DEEPER INTO THESE HOLES AS A MATTER OF CONVENIENCE, AND FOR
- 21 THE VESTED INTEREST OF MONEY. PARTIES. PLEASE RESPECT US.
- 22 DON'T LEAVE BEHIND A MESS.

- 24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, LET ME SAY TO YOU THAT MANY OF THE
- 25 PEOPLE WHO HAVE COME HERE, THAT WE HAVE MET WITH, WE'VE



- 1 NEGOTIATED WITH, WE WORK WITH, WE'VE MADE CHANGES IN ORDER TO
- 2 MEET THEIR CONCERNS. WHEN YOU SAY-- IT WAS NOT JUST HAVING THE
- 3 MEETING. YOU REMEMBER WE STARTED OUT WITH ONE C.S.D., WE HAD
- 4 AN E.I.R., WE THEN HAD A NEW C.S.D. THAT WAS MADE AS A
- 5 RESPONSE TO THE COMMENTS ON THE E.I.R. AND THE FIRST C.S.D. WE
- 6 THEN HAVE THIS C.S.D. BEFORE US. THIS HAS BEEN A TWO-YEAR
- 7 PROCESS, AND BELIEVE ME, INPUT-- MANY OF THE PEOPLE HERE
- 8 TESTIFIED ONE WAY. WE'VE BEEN TALKING TO THEM AND TRYING TO
- 9 TAKE THEIR-- AND HAVE CHANGED THIS TO MEET SOME OF THEIR
- 10 CONCERNS. TODAY, THEY HAVE TO RESPOND, AND I RESPECT THE FACT
- 11 THAT THEY HAVE A CONSTITUENCY AND THEY HAVE TO SAY WHATEVER IS
- 12 NECESSARY TO KEEP THAT CONSTITUENCY HAPPY, BUT WE HAVE ALSO
- 13 SPENT TREMENDOUS TIME RESPONDING TO THEIR CONCERNS.

14

- 15 MARK SALKIN: SUPERVISOR BURKE, YOU'RE OPERATING FROM A FEAR OF
- 16 LAWSUITS, FEAR OF MAKING A MISTAKE. THE MISTAKE YOU'RE GOING
- 17 TO MAKE IS NOT ALLOWING THE COMMUNITY'S WISHES TO BE HEARD IN
- 18 LIGHT OF THE LEGACY YOU WISH TO LEAVE BEHIND.

19

- 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YES, STATE
- 21 YOUR NAME. NO, YOU STATE YOUR NAME FIRST, THE LADY IN THE
- 22 CENTER.

- 24 KATHY KNIGHT: MY NAME IS KATHY KNIGHT. I LIVE IN SANTA MONICA.
- 25 I DROVE OVER HERE TODAY TO SUPPORT THE FAMILIES IN THE BALDWIN



- 1 HILLS. I SAW NEWS PROGRAMS ALL WEEKEND ON CHANNEL 4, 5 AND 7
- 2 ABOUT HOW CONCERNED THESE FAMILIES ARE. I SAW THE CHILDREN AND
- 3 I GOT REALLY CONCERNED. I WAS A SOCIAL WORKER FOR LOS ANGELES
- 4 COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF ADOPTIONS FOR 4 1/2 YEARS, I WAS IN
- 5 CHARGE OF MY CLIENTS' HEALTH AND SAFETY TO PROTECT THEM. I
- 6 WANT TO PROTECT THESE CHILDREN IN THE BALDWIN HILLS AND THEIR
- 7 FAMILIES ALSO FOR THEIR HEALTH AND SAFETY. YOU CAN PLEASE DO A
- 8 FULL E.I.R. ON THIS PROJECT. YOU CAN DO IT. IT WAS DONE
- 9 NEARBY--

10

11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE DID IN THE E.I.R..

12

13 KATHY KNIGHT: WHAT?

14

15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE DID AN E.I.R.

16

- 17 KATHY KNIGHT: THEY WANT MORE DISCLOSURE ON THE SITUATION
- 18 CURRENTLY. PLEASE DO THAT. ALSO, HOW FAR IS THE SLANT DRILLING
- 19 GOING TO GO? I WANT TO KNOW THAT. AND ALSO HAVE YOU COMPLIED
- 20 WITH S.B.-18 AND CONSULTED WITH THE LOCAL TRIBE ON THIS
- 21 POLICY. THANK YOU.

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THERE WILL BE
- 24 ARCHAEOLOGISTS ON STAFF ON SITE AT ALL TIMES AT ANY TIME
- 25 THERE'S A DIG.

3

7

18

20

24

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1

2 KATHY KNIGHT: I DON'T KNOW IF YOU'VE CONSULTED WITH THE TRIBE.

4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I DON'T KNOW. WE'LL CHECK TO SEE IF WE'VE

- 5 CONSULTED WITH THE TRIBE, BUT CERTAINLY WE WILL. MR. SACHS.
- 6 DAMIEN GOODMAN, MICHAEL BAUER.

8 ARNOLD SACHS: VERY QUICKLY. ARNOLD SACHS. JUST TO REVIEW, WHEN

- 9 BEVERLY HILLS HAD A PROBLEM WITH DRILLING AT THE HIGH SCHOOL,
- 10 THE PROCEDURE THAT WAS FOLLOWED, I'D HOPED THAT THE COUNTY
- 11 WOULD USE IT AS A BACK-- A BINDING FOR WHATEVER PROCEDURE
- 12 THEY'RE GOING THROUGH RIGHT NOW. IF IT WAS FOUND THAT IT WAS
- 13 NOT IN THE BEST INTERESTS IN BEVERLY HILLS TO DRILL, MAINTAIN
- 14 OIL WELLS AT THE HIGH SCHOOL, I CERTAINLY DON'T THINK THAT
- 15 IT'S IN THE BEST INTEREST FOR THEM TO MAINTAIN THE OIL FIELD
- 16 IN BALDWIN HILLS. IT'S JUST THE LOCATION OF-- THAT'S THE
- 17 MATTER HERE. IT SHOULD BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT. THANK YOU.

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DELANEY SMITH. I'M SORRY. WHAT'S YOUR NAME?

- 21 **JEANETTE VOSBURG:** I'M JEANETTE VOSBURG AND PATRICIA MCPHERSON
- 22 HAS CEDED HER TIME TO ME AND THEN I'LL CEDE MY TIME TO HER. IS
- 23 THAT OKAY?

25 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** DO YOU MEAN YOU'RE SWITCHING POSITIONS?



- 2 JEANETTE VOSBURG: IT'S THE IN ORDER IN WHICH WE WANT TO
- 3 PRESENT. ON JUNE 27TH, 2006, THE COUNTY ADOPTED THE EMERGENCY
- 4 ORDINANCE FINDING P.X.P.'S OIL GAS DRILLING OPERATIONS, A
- 5 CURRENT AND IMMEDIATE THREAT TO THE PUBLIC'S HEALTH, SAFETY
- 6 AND WELFARE, AND THUS HALTED FURTHER DRILLING OPERATIONS. THIS
- 7 HALT CAME AS A RESULT OF P.X.P.'S ENVIRONMENTAL HARM CAUSED
- 8 BY-- FROM MULTIPLE 2006 P.X.P. DRILLING ACTIVITIES AND
- 9 INCIDENTS THAT CAUSED CLOUDS OF TOXINS TO BLANKET THE
- 10 SURROUNDING COMMUNITY CAUSING PUBLIC OUTCRY AND PANIC. BURKE
- 11 THEN PLEDGED TO PREPARE AN E.I.R., NOT AN E.I.R. OF THE C.S.D.
- 12 BUT AN E.I.R. TO ASSESS THE EFFECTS OF EXISTING AND FUTURE
- 13 PRODUCTION ACTIVITIES ON THE SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES. BURKE
- 14 AND THE COUNTY BROKE THAT PLEDGE BY INSIDIOUSLY SWITCHING THE
- 15 PROMISED E.I.R. ON P.X.P. OPERATIONS TO AN E.I.R. DONE ON
- 16 P.X.P. C.S.D. POLICY, A POLICY CREATED FOR A SITE THAT IS
- 17 STILL UNSTUDIED, UNKNOWN. BURKE HAS HELPED P.X.P. TO AVOID
- 18 MEANINGFUL SCRUTINY AND FULL DISCLOSURE. THE COUNTY STILL HAS
- 19 THE OPPORTUNITY AND LEGAL RIGHT TO REQUIRE THE PROMISED E.I.R.
- 20 ON THE OIL FIELD. THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT
- 21 STATES THAT AN E.I.R. IS REQUIRED FOR ANY PROJECT OR ACTIVITY
- 22 THAT HAS REASONABLE POSSIBILITY TO HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT
- 23 ON THE ENVIRONMENT. P.X.P.'S PROJECT AND ACTIVITIES HAVE BEEN
- 24 ONGOING FOR SEVERAL YEARS AND ARE ACKNOWLEDGED BY THE C.S.D.'S



1 E.I.R. TO BE ACTIVITIES TO HAVE THE POSSIBILITY OF CAUSING

2 ENVIRONMENTAL DAMAGE.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOUR TIME HAS EXPIRED.
- 5 THANK YOU. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.

6

- 7 JAMES ALAMILLO: MY NAME IS JAMES ALAMILLO. I'M WITH HEAL THE
- 8 BAY. I WANT TO SPEAK TO YOU ON INADEQUACY AND INCOMPLETENESS
- 9 OF THE CURRENT E.I.R. BEFORE YOU, OF WHICH THE C.S.D. IS BASED
- 10 ON AND I'M REALLY URGING YOU TO RECIRCULATE THAT DOCUMENT. FOR
- 11 EXAMPLE, WITH RESPECT TO WATER RESOURCES. THERE'S NO DATA IN
- 12 THE E.I.R. REGARDING SURFACE STORM WATER POLLUTION. THERE'S NO
- 13 FLOW RATES, THERE'S NO POLLUTANT LOADINGS, AND IN POLLUTANT
- 14 LOADINGS,, YOU NEED SURFACE FLOWS, YOU NEED CONCENTRATION
- 15 LEVELS. THERE'S NO DISCUSSION ON THAT. HOW ARE YOU GOING TO
- 16 COMPLY WITH T.M.D.L.S, TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOADS ASSOCIATED
- 17 WITH BALLONA CREEK? ALSO, THERE'S NOTHING IN THE E.I.R. THAT
- 18 DEALS WITH THE POLICIES THAT YOU GUYS EVEN ADOPTED. FOR
- 19 EXAMPLE, TWO MONTHS AGO, YOU INITIATED THROUGH A RESOLUTION
- 20 THAT WE WERE IN A DROUGHT AND REQUIRED STAFF AND DEPARTMENTS
- 21 TO CUT BACK ON POTABLE WATER CONSUMPTION. YET IN THIS
- 22 DOCUMENT, P.X.P. PLANS TO EXPAND THEIR POTABLE WATER
- 23 CONSUMPTION BY 75 PERCENT WITH NO DISCUSSION ABOUT WATER
- 24 REUSE, WATER RECLAMATION.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THEY WOULD BE SUBJECT TO ALL OF THOSE
- 2 RULES.

3

4 JAMES ALAMILLO: IT'S NOT IN THE DOCUMENT.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IT'S SUBJECT TO COUNTY ORDINANCES. PLEASE
- 7 STATE YOUR NAME. MR. GOODMAN, DO YOU WANT TO COME FORWARD?
- 8 YOU'VE GOT TO HAVE YOUR EMOTIONAL STATEMENT. WE EXPECT YOUR
- 9 SPEECH EACH TIME. COME HAVE A SEAT.

- 11 DAMON NAGAMI: THANK YOU. DAMON NAGAMI WITH THE NATURAL
- 12 RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL. WE SUPPORT THE GREATER BALDWIN
- 13 HILLS ALLIANCE AND OPPOSE THE C.S.D. IN ITS CURRENT FORM. FOUR
- 14 OUICK POINTS. FIRST THE C.S.D. DOES NOT MEET COMMUNITY
- 15 STANDARDS. CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE BUT IT'S NOT ENOUGH. SECOND,
- 16 WE'VE HEARD A LOT OF TALK ABOUT LET'S JUST AMEND IT, PASS IT
- 17 NOW AND AMEND IT. IT MAY NOT BE SO EASY TO AMEND THE C.S.D.
- 18 LATER. THE AREA COVERED BY THE C.S.D. HAS NO RESIDENTS, SO
- 19 MAYBE ONLY THE COUNTY OR P.X.P. WILL HAVE THE RIGHT TO PROPOSE
- 20 CHANGES, SO WHY NOT GET IT RIGHT THE FIRST TIME? THIRD, THE
- 21 SKY'S NOT GOING TO FALL IF THE C.S.D. IS NOT APPROVED NOW.
- 22 D.O.G.G.R. STILL HAS TO COMPLY WITH C.E.Q.A., SO THERE ARE NO
- 23 TIMING CONCERNS HERE. FOURTH, THE E.I.R. IS FLAWED. IT
- 24 VIOLATES C.E.Q.A. AND NEEDS TO BE REVISED AND RECIRCULATED. AS
- 25 A RESULT OF RUSHING THROUGH THIS PROCESS, THIS E.I.R. WILL NOT



- 1 WITHSTAND LEGAL SCRUTINY. THIS IS A TWO-YEAR PROCESS? WHAT'S
- 2 ANOTHER COUPLE OF MONTHS WHEN IT COMES TO THE COMMUNITY'S
- 3 HEALTH AND SAFETY? WE ASK YOU TO DIRECT COUNTY STAFF TO REVISE
- 4 AND RECIRCULATE THE E.I.R. AND REVISIT THE COMMUNITY'S
- 5 REMAINING COMMENTS TO ENSURE C.S.D. REFLECTS THE CONSENSUS
- 6 COMMUNITY VIEW.

7

9

12

14

21

8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. CLINT SIMMONS.

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN I JUST ASK A QUESTION? HE MADE A

11 STATEMENT. CAN I ASK THE ATTORNEY A QUESTION?

13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: COUNTY COUNSEL.

15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: COUNTY COUNSEL. THE STATEMENT WAS JUST MADE

- 16 THAT D.O.G.G.R. HAS TO COMPLY WITH C.E.Q.A.. WELL, EVERYBODY
- 17 HAS TO COMPLY WITH C.E.Q.A., BUT MY QUESTION AND THE
- 18 IMPLICATION WAS D.O.G.G.R. WOULD HAVE TO DO AN ENVIRONMENTAL
- 19 IMPACT REPORT. WOULD THEY HAVE TO DO AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
- 20 REPORT?
- 22 **ELAINE LEMKE:** THEY WOULD BE REQUIRED TO DO AN INITIAL STUDY
- 23 AND DETERMINE WHAT SORT OF ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS THEY WOULD
- 24 HAVE TO DO. THEY'VE ALREADY DONE THAT. THEY DID A NEGATIVE
- 25 DECLARATION. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY WOULD CONCLUDE IN THE



- 1 FUTURE BUT MY ASSUMPTION IS THEY WOULD DO A NEGATIVE
- 2 DECLARATION.

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHEN WOULD THEY DO A NEGATIVE DECLARATION?

5

- 6 ELAINE LEMKE: I DON'T REMEMBER THE SPECIFIC TIME FRAME ON
- 7 THAT. I THINK IT WAS SHORTLY ABOUT THE TIME THAT THE URGENCY
- 8 ORDINANCE WAS PASSED.

9

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO RELATIVELY RECENTLY.

11

12 **ELAINE LEMKE:** YES.

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WHEN WAS IT? BUT WE'VE DONE THE E.I.R.
- 15 THOUGH.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THEN I THINK THE QUESTION IS, IF WE DID
- 18 NOTHING, WHAT WOULD HAPPEN. IF WE DID NOTHING, AND THESE-- THE
- 19 OIL COMPANY WENT TO THE STATE AND APPLIED FOR THEIR OIL
- 20 DRILLING, WHATEVER D.O.G.G.R. GIVES, OIL DRILLING PERMITS, DO
- 21 YOU BELIEVE THAT THEY COULD GET AWAY WITH NEGATIVE
- 22 DECLARATIONS IN THE ABSENCE OF A CERTIFIED E.I.R. FROM US?



- 1 ELAINE LEMKE: IT'S AN EXISTING OIL FIELD WITH OPERATIONS. WHAT
- 2 THE COURT WOULD HOLD, I DON'T KNOW. I THINK THAT THEY WOULD
- 3 HAVE A STRONG ARGUMENT IN SUPPORT OF A NEGATIVE DECLARATION.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THEY WERE DRILLING UP UNTIL WE PASSED THE
- 6 URGENCY ORDINANCE. NO, THEY'RE NOT DRILLING NOW BECAUSE WE
- 7 HAVE A MORATORIUM, BUT UP TO THE TIME WE ACTUALLY PASSED THE
- 8 MORATORIUM, THAT'S WHAT THE PEOPLE ARE TESTIFYING ABOUT.

9

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, I UNDERSTAND.

11

12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES?

- 14 DR. DELANEY SMITH: I'M DR. DELANEY SMITH, PHYSICIAN AND LONG-
- 15 TIME RESIDENT OF THE OF THE COMMUNITY AND I REPRESENT ALSO THE
- 16 BALDWIN HILLS ALLIANCE. JUST IN LOOKING AT THEIR LITERATURE,
- 17 P.X.P. HAS BEEN IN PLACE SINCE 1992, WHICH MEANS IT APPEARS
- 18 THAT BACK IN 2001, THEY WERE OPERATING AS THE LA JOLLA
- 19 DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION. AND I WAS PRESENT AT THAT TIME AND I
- 20 OFFERED DOCUMENTATION BASED UPON STUDIES THAT CAME OUT IN THE
- 21 1950S THAT THOSE WHO LIVE AROUND THE AREA OF THE INGLEWOOD OIL
- 22 FIELD HAD AN INCREASED INCIDENCE OF ALL TYPES OF CANCERS, THAT
- 23 WAS FOUR TIMES THAN THAT OF THE SURROUNDING AREAS. IT WAS MY
- 24 UNDERSTANDING AT THAT TIME THAT THEY DID WITHIN A FEW DAYS
- 25 THEREAFTER WITHDRAW THEIR APPLICATION AND THEY SAID THAT THEY



- 1 WERE GOING TO WITHDRAW FROM ALL FUTURE EXPANSION PROJECTS AT
- 2 THAT TIME. AS IT TURNS OUT, YOU DON'T HAVE TO LOOK ANY FURTHER
- 3 THAN THEIR DRAFT, PAGE 4.3, PAGE 19, THEY LIST-- THERE'S A
- 4 LIST OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND THE-- AS IT TURNS OUT, ABOUT A
- 5 THIRD OF THOSE, NEARLY A HALF, ARE CARCINOGENS SUCH AS
- 6 BENZENE, TOLUENE, XYLENE, AND THEIR DERIVATIVES. LOOK AT ANY
- 7 STANDARD BOOKS OF TOXICOLOGY AND YOU'LL FIND THAT THOSE ARE
- 8 CANCER-PRODUCING AGENTS. MORE DISTURBING, THE REPORT FAILS TO
- 9 MENTION THE FACT THAT THERE'S NO MENTION OF NITROUS OXIDE. I
- 10 HAVE AN ARTICLE FROM THE "L.A. TIMES" FROM JUNE 22ND OF 2001
- 11 WHEREBY MR. RUSCH, I BELIEVE HIS NAME IS SPELLED R-U-S-C-H. HE
- 12 TOLD THE "TIMES" THAT ON OCCASIONS, THEY HAD OF ADMISSIONS OF
- 13 NITROUS OXIDE, BUT AS MUCH AS OVER 300 TONS A YEAR OF NITROUS
- 14 OXIDE, ACCORDING TO THE ARTICLE. I HAVE THE ARTICLE WITH ME,
- 15 IF YOU'RE INTERESTED, AND IT'S JUST CURIOUS TO ME THAT NITROUS
- 16 OXIDE APPEARS NOWHERE ON THEIR LIST OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES. YET
- 17 AT THAT TIME, THEY SAID THEY WOULD DUMP OVER 300 TONS INTO THE
- 18 AIR.

19

- 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOUR TIME HAS EXPIRED.
- 21 WE WILL LOOK INTO THAT.

22

- 23 DR. DELANEY SMITH: I ALSO REPRESENT THE BALDWIN HILLS ALLIANCE
- 24 AND SO I HAVE ADDITIONAL TIME.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE JUST GAVE THE BALDWIN HILLS THE EXTRA
- 2 TIME.

3

4 DR. DELANEY SMITH: BUT THERE ARE EXTRA PEOPLE OUT THERE.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES, GO AHEAD, AND THEN WE HAVE TWO OTHER
- 7 PEOPLE.

- 9 DR. DELANEY SMITH: OKAY. MY CONCERN IS REALLY THE PERCEPTION
- 10 THAT DEALS WITH NEW PERMITS, IT'S MY POSITION AND I THINK OUR
- 11 POSITION SHOULD BE REALLY GIVEN THE HEALTH RISKS, THAT THERE
- 12 SHOULD BE A DISMANTLEMENT SCHEDULE AS OPPOSED TO NEW PERMITS.
- 13 WE SHOULD BE TALKING ABOUT WHAT WE SHOULD TAKING DOWN WHAT'S
- 14 GOING UP THERE, NOT HOW WE CAN CREATE MORE, GIVE THE
- 15 CIRCUMSTANCES. AND ALL YOU HAVE TO DO IS LOOK TO THE CUL-DE-
- 16 SAC OF 5400 WEATHERFORD DRIVE. THERE'S A VACANT LOT NOW WHERE
- 17 THERE USED TO BE A HOUSE. THE CITY TORE THE HOUSE DOWN BECAUSE
- 18 IT WAS MOVING TO THE STREET AT A RATE OF 12 INCHES PER YEAR,
- 19 SO THE HOUSE WAS DEMOLISHED. THERE'S A LOT OF PROBLEMS. BY
- 20 PUTTING STEAM AND WATER INTO THE GROUND, THERE'S A
- 21 LIQUEFACTION PROCESS. WHEN YOU HEAR EARTHQUAKE, DON'T THINK OF
- 22 THE TRADITIONAL EARTHQUAKE. SEISMIC ACTIVITY, AND THERE'S
- 23 VERTICAL, LATERAL SEISMIC ACTIVITY, THERE'S A LOT OF THAT
- 24 THAT'S GOING ON IN AN AREA THAT'S ACCOUNTING FOR THE CRACKS
- 25 AND SO WHEN YOU HEAR SEISMIC, WE SHOULDN'T THINK OF



- 1 NECESSARILY WHAT WE THINK OF AN EARTHQUAKE, BUT THE WORD JUST
- 2 MEANS THE MOVEMENT OF THE SURFACE OIL, AND THERE'S AN AWFUL
- 3 LOT OF THAT GOING ON AND THERE SHOULD BE A FUND CREATED, A
- 4 HEALTHCARE FUND, A FUNERAL FUND PERHAPS AS WELL AS A FUND FOR
- 5 THE HOMEOWNERS TO START THOSE REPAIRS, TO START TO COVER THOSE
- 6 REPAIRS.

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THERE IS GOING TO BE A PROCESS FOR
- 9 COMPLAINTS AND FOR A REVIEW OF ANY DAMAGE.

10

11 DR. DELANEY SMITH: OKAY. THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR BURKE.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. ANGUS ALEXANDER AND JEANETTE
- 14 VOSBURG. YES, PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.

15

- 16 MICHAEL BAUER.: MY NAME IS MICHAEL BAUER. I'M THE PRESIDENT OF
- 17 THE CULVER CREST NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION.

18

19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND JEFF KESSLER.

- 21 MICHAEL BAUER: AND I CAN'T HELP BUT THINK THAT WHEN YOUR
- 22 DISTINGUISHED PANEL OF EXPERTS WERE UP HERE, THAT IT WOULD
- 23 FLASHBACK ALL SUMMER, THE WASHINGTON ECONOMIC GURUS TELLING US
- 24 HOW GOOD THE ECONOMY STILL IS. I WOULD LIKE TO BRING TO
- 25 ATTENTION WHAT'S ETCHED UP ON YOUR WALL HERE. IT SAYS "THE



- 1 GOVERNMENT OF THE PEOPLE, BY THE PEOPLE AND FOR THE PEOPLE
- 2 SHALL NOT PERISH FROM THE EARTH." IF YOU WERE TO REMOVE THE
- 3 PEOPLE FROM THIS AUDITORIUM THAT WERE PAID HERE BY P.X.P. TO
- 4 BE HERE TODAY, I THINK WHAT YOU WOULD BE LEFT ARE PEOPLE WHO
- 5 WERE OPPOSED TO THIS CURRENT ACTIVITY THAT THIS BOARD SEEMS TO
- 6 BE HEADED TOWARD IN APPROVING. I WANT TO QUICKLY SAY THAT I
- 7 DON'T LIKE OUR NEIGHBORHOOD BEING A NEIGHBORHOOD OF LABORATORY
- 8 RATS, WHICH SOUNDS LIKE WHAT DR. PAUL SIMON UP HERE SUGGESTED,
- 9 THAT IN OCTOBER 2010, THERE'S GOING TO BE A REVIEW OF THE
- 10 HEALTH RISKS AND THEY WILL ASSESS AT THAT TIME WHAT HAS
- 11 HAPPENED HISTORICALLY. I DON'T THINK WE WANT TO SIT HERE AND
- 12 PROVE THAT THE OIL IS CAUSING THIS PROBLEM. I WOULD LIKE TO
- 13 PREVENT IT IN THE FIRST PLACE. THANK YOU.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. PLEASE STATE YOUR
- 16 NAME.

- 18 DAMIEN GOODMAN: MR. CHAIRMAN, DAMIEN GOODMAN SPEAKING FOR
- 19 MYSELF. P.X.P. IS A PUBLIC RELATIONS FIRM, DAKOTA
- 20 COMMUNICATIONS, IS NOTORIOUS FOR BUSING IN PEOPLE THEY PAY OFF
- 21 THE STREET TO PROVIDE THE APPEARANCE OF COMMUNITY SUPPORT FOR
- 22 PROJECTS THAT LACK COMMUNITY SUPPORT. IT WAS A TACTIC
- 23 HIGHLIGHTED IN THEIR P.R. STRATEGY MEMOS REGARDING A HOME
- 24 DEPOT IN THE VALLEY THAT WAS OPPOSED BY THE COMMUNITY AND
- 25 LEAKED TO THE MEDIA. LET ME READ EXCERPTS FROM THE MEMO. FOR



- 1 \$17,000 QUOTE, UNQUOTE : RECRUITERS AND ORGANIZERS WOULD BE
- 2 BUSED IN TO A HEARING, FOOD PROVIDED AND THEY WOULD SPEAK IN
- 3 SUPPORT OF THE HOME DEPOT. " SIMPLY, DAKOTA COMMUNICATIONS,
- 4 P.X.P.'S PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS PULLED PEOPLE OFF THE STREETS
- 5 TO ACT AS PROPS AND CLEANED UP THOSE THAT CAN COMPLETE A
- 6 SENTENCE, SAYING THEY SUPPORT THE PROJECT. WITH TACTICS SO
- 7 DECEPTIVE -- AND THEY'VE DONE IT TODAY, THEY'VE DONE IT IN THE
- 8 PUBLIC HEARINGS-- WITH TACTICS SO DECEPTIVE, HOW CAN ANYONE
- 9 BELIEVE A WORD P.X.P. SAYS? BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, YOU'RE
- 10 SMARTER THAN THIS. DON'T FALL VICTIM TO THESE ABHORRENT,
- 11 UNETHICAL, MANIPULATING TACTICS, TO THESE CROOKED OIL MEN.
- 12 REJECT THIS OIL COMPANY'S GIVE-AWAY AND CANCER CAUSER. SEND
- 13 THESE FRAUDS BACK TO THEIR DIRTY WELLS IN HOME DEPOT PARKING
- 14 LOTS, LISTEN TO THE COMMUNITIES, RECOGNIZE ELECTED LEADERS WHO
- 15 ARE ALL OPPOSED TO THIS AND WANT AN INDEPENDENT, HONEST
- 16 E.I.R.. AND THANK YOU, MA'AM. I AM PASSIONATE. I'M SURE IT'S
- 17 THE TYPE OF PASSION YOU HAD WHEN YOU WERE YOUNG AND ACTING
- 18 WITH MORE CLARITY.

- 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. LET ME JUST SAY ONE
- 21 THING TO YOU. YOU HAVE TO RECOGNIZE THAT THERE ARE ALSO
- 22 LANDOWNERS BUT THERE ARE MANY PEOPLE WHO HAVE AN INTEREST IN
- 23 THIS FOR PROBABLY FINANCIAL REASONS BECAUSE THEY DO RECEIVE
- 24 ROYALTIES, AND WE HAVE SAID TO THEM, DON'T BRING ALL THOSE
- 25 PEOPLE. SOMETIMES WE GET A STACK OF CARDS LIKE THIS FROM



- 1 PEOPLE WHO ARE SAYING, WE DON'T WANT YOU TO LIMIT THE DRILLING
- 2 BECAUSE WE DEPEND ON IT FOR OUR LIVELIHOOD, SO I UNDERSTAND
- 3 THAT THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO DO HAVE A FINANCIAL INTEREST.

4

- 5 DAMIEN GOODMAN: I WOULD AGREE, BUT WHEN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD
- 6 COUNCIL'S, WHEN YOUR HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATIONS, THESE ELECTED
- 7 BODIES ALL SPEAK ON ONE ISSUE AND THEN CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS ARE
- 8 PICKED OFF THE STREET AND SPEAK WITH ANOTHER, YOU HAVE TO TAKE
- 9 THAT INTO CONSIDERATION.

10

11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I DON'T ANYONE WAS PICKED OFF THE STREET.

12

- 13 DAMIEN GOODMAN: WELL, THEN ASK THE PEOPLE WHO COME IN SUPPORT,
- 14 THE PEOPLE ON THAT SIDE OF THE ROOM WHO DIDN'T STAND UP, AND
- 15 ARE NOT SPEAKING TODAY, BUT INSTEAD OF PROPS FOR P.X.P.,
- 16 WHETHER THEY WERE PAID TO BE HERE.

17

18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU, DAMIEN.

19

20 DAMIEN GOODMAN: THANK YOU.

21

22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES, SIR?

- 24 ANGUS ALEXANDER: NAME'S ANGUS ALEXANDER. I'M A RESIDENT OF
- 25 CULVER CITY. I'M ALSO A MEMBER OF THE SANTA MONICA BAY



- 1 RESTORATION COMMISSION GOVERNING BOARD. I'M HERE TODAY
- 2 FOLLOWING YOUR DIRECTIONS AND ADDRESSING ITEM NUMBER 2 WHICH
- 3 STATES IN ITEM NUMBER 2 THAT THE OIL OPERATIONS ARE CONDUCTED
- 4 IN A SAFE MANNER AND ARE COMPATIBLE WITH THE SURROUNDING USES.
- 5 CONGRESS IDENTIFIED SANTA MONICA BAY AS ONE OF 28 NATIONAL
- 6 ESTUARIES. IN SEPTEMBER 2008, CONGRESS AGREED TO OFFSHORE OIL
- 7 DRILLING GREATER THAN 50 MILES WITHIN THE COASTLINE. THE
- 8 REASON WHY THEY HAVE 50 MILES IS TO ENABLE CLEAN-UP CREWS IN
- 9 ORDER TO MITIGATE THE EFFORT BEFORE IT CAME ONSHORE. THIS OIL
- 10 FIELD IS ONLY FOUR MILES FROM THE SHORELINE AND IS WITHIN THE
- 11 WATERSHED OF THE SANTA MONICA BAY, THE NATIONAL ESTUARY. ON
- 12 MARCH 22ND, 2008, THE THIRD INCIDENT WHICH RELEASED CRUDE OIL
- 13 INTO THE CULVER CITY STORM DRAINS WHICH IS SUBJECTING CULVER
- 14 CITY TO A 10,000-DOLLAR A DAY VIOLATION BY THE N.P.D.S.
- 15 PERMITS FOR VIOLATING STORM WATER PERMIT USE IS IN EFFECT
- 16 HERE. THE E.I.R. STATES THAT THEY WOULD HAVE ONE OIL SPILL
- 17 EVERY 5,200 YEARS, YET SINCE MARCH 22ND, THEY'VE HAD THREE. MY
- 18 ISSUE'S ABOUT CLEAN WATER AND DOING THE RIGHT THING. I DON'T
- 19 FEEL THE E.I.R. IS SUFFICIENT TO PROTECT US ALL. PLEASE
- 20 RECIRCULATE IT, PLEASE LET YOUR DEPARTMENTS ANSWER THOSE
- 21 QUESTIONS.

22

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. PLEASE STATE YOUR
- 24 NAME, SIR.



- 1 JEFF KESSLER: MY NAME IS JEFF KESSLER I LIVED IN THE WINDSOR
- 2 HILL AREA FOR ABOUT SEVEN YEARS. AND I JUST HAVE A QUESTION,
- 3 ESPECIALLY TO YVONNE BURKE AND THE REST OF THEM, THE BOARD
- 4 HERE. THIS IS VERY INVASIVE. WE'RE GOING TO BE DOING SLANT
- 5 DRILLING, AND IT'S IS BEING DONE IN A VERY POPULATED PART OF
- 6 THE COUNTRY WITH A MILLION PEOPLE AROUND HERE. MY QUESTION TO
- 7 YOU IS, HAS THIS BEEN DONE IN ANOTHER PART OF THE COUNTRY WITH
- 8 SO MANY PEOPLE?

9

10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: BEVERLY HILLS.

11

12 **JEFF KESSLER:** BEVERLY HILLS? OKAY.

13

14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: HILLCREST COUNTRY CLUB ALSO.

15

- 16 JEFF KESSLER: OKAY. MY UNDERSTANDING IS BEVERLY HILLS, THAT
- 17 HAS BEEN SHUT DOWN AND PEOPLE HAVE-- THERE'S A BOOK--

18

19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IT HASN'T BEEN SHUT DOWN.

20

21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IT'S STILL GOING ON.

22

23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: HAS NOT BEEN SHUT DOWN.



- 1 JEFF KESSLER: BUT NOT TO THE LEVEL THAT IT'S BEING DONE HERE
- 2 IN BALDWIN HILLS, NOT TO ANY OF THAT LEVEL. OKAY, YES, IT'S
- 3 BEING DONE IN BEVERLY HILLS, BUT IS THERE ANY BEEN STUDIED--

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IF YOU LOOK, THERE'S A LIST OF-- THERE'S A
- 6 MAP SHOWING THE SIZE OF ALL OF THE OIL DRILLING THAT GOES ON
- 7 IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY AS PART OF THE C.S.D., IF YOU LOOK AT
- 8 IT, AND THIS IS ONE THAT'S SIZABLE, BUT NOT AS BIG AS MANY OF
- 9 THE OTHERS.

10

11 JEFF KESSLER: BUT THIS IS THE BIGGEST ONE.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NO, IT'S NOT THE BIGGEST ONE. TAKE A LOOK
- 14 AT THE MAP OF ALL OF THEM.

15

- 16 **JEFF KESSLER:** CAN YOU NAME ME ONE THAT'S BEEN DONE BIGGER THAN
- 17 THIS?

18

- 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: HAVE YOU BEEN TO LONG BEACH? [LAUGHTER AND
- 20 APPLAUSE.]

21

22 JEFF KESSLER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

- 24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I WON'T GO INTO ALL THE OTHERS, BUT THEY'RE
- 25 ALL SHOWN. OKAY. YOU KNOW WHAT? I THINK THAT RATHER THAN CALL



```
1 ON-- I'M GOING TO ASK ALL THE PEOPLE IN SUPPORT TO PLEASE
```

2 STAND. IN FAVOR OF IT, PLEASE STAND. [CHEERS AND APPLAUSE.]

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. WE WILL NOT HAVE CLAPPING, BUT--
- 5 WAIT A MINUTE. LET ME SAY THIS. [INTERJECTIONS.]

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY. WAIT A MINUTE. LET ME SAY THIS. ONE
- 8 OF THE THINGS THAT I THINK I WANT TO SAY, I DON'T THINK I WANT
- 9 TO CALL ALL THESE PEOPLE WHO ARE IN FAVOR, BUT SOME PEOPLE WHO
- 10 NEED TO RESPOND, THEY CAN COME UP. FOR INSTANCE, ROD HENLEY,
- 11 LADERA, CREST, CHARLES MOORE, IF YOU WANT TO COME FORWARD AND
- 12 RESPOND. ONE OF THE THINGS I WANT TO SAY IS THE IMPRESSION HAS
- 13 BEEN GIVEN THAT THERE IS NO ONE IN CULVER CITY WHO IS FOR
- 14 THIS, EVERYBODY IS OPPOSED. CULVER CITY WILL HAVE THEIR OWN
- 15 ORDINANCE THAT GOES FORWARD. MANY OF THE PEOPLE WHO ARE HERE
- 16 ARE PEOPLE WHO DO HAVE AN INTEREST. MANY PEOPLE WHO LIVE IN
- 17 THE COMMUNITY WORK IN THAT OIL FIELD. THERE'S NO QUESTION
- 18 ABOUT IT. I SEE SOME OF THE HANDS OVER THERE AND THEY LIVE IN
- 19 THE AREA AND THEY WORK IN THE FIELD. I HAVE HAD A CHANCE TO
- 20 SEE THEM AT VARIOUS TIMES, SO IF THE PEOPLE WHO SUPPORT WANT
- 21 TO COME FORWARD, I THINK IT WOULD BE BETTER FOR US TO HAVE A
- 22 RESPONSE TO SOME OF THE QUESTIONS THAT HAVE BEEN RAISED BY THE
- 23 PEOPLE.

24

25 **SPEAKER:** (OFF-MIC.)



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S TRUE. YOU WERE OUT OF THE ROOM WHEN
- 3 THAT HAPPENED.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SOMEONE WHO HAS BEEN OUT OF THE ROOM,
- 6 PLEASE COME UP AND SPEAK. WHY DON'T YOU COME UP.

- 8 PATRICIA MCPHERSON: THANK YOU. MY NAME IS PATRICIA MCPHERSON,
- 9 I'M PRESIDENT OF GRASSROOTS COALITION. WE'VE BEEN INVOLVED IN
- 10 OIL AND GAS FIELDS OVER THE PAST 15 YEARS INCLUDING LITIGATION
- 11 WITH THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA OPERATIONS IN THE PLAYA DEL RAY
- 12 OIL FIELD WHERE WE DID GET AN E.I.R. AND WE DID GET A HEALTH
- 13 RISK ASSESSMENT. I WOULD LIKE TO SAY THAT THERE HAVE BEEN A
- 14 BOTCHED TWO YEARS OF THIS PROCESS BECAUSE IT STARTS AND STOPS.
- 15 YOU HAVE CONTINUED TO SAY, SUPERVISOR BURKE, THAT YOU ARE
- 16 GOING TO DETERMINE THIS, GOING TO DETERMINE THAT. THAT'S A
- 17 VIOLATION OF C.E.Q.A.. YOU CANNOT DO FUTURE STUDIES FOR THE
- 18 APPROVAL OF AN E.I.R. THAT STUDY HAS TO HAVE ALREADY BEEN
- 19 DONE, SUCH AS THE SUBSIDENCE STUDY, A STUDY OF THE FIELD
- 20 ITSELF WHICH HAS NOT BEEN DONE. YOU PLEDGED, AND I'M QUOTING
- 21 "TO PREPARE AN E.I.R., TO ASSESS THE EFFECTIVE EXISTING AND
- 22 FUTURE PRODUCTION ACTIVITIES ON THE SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES."
- 23 THAT HAS YET TO OCCUR. THAT IS WHY ALL OF THESE GROUPS ARE
- 24 SAYING THAT YOU HAVE A FLAWED E.I.R.. INSTEAD WHAT YOU
- 25 SWITCHED IT TO WAS AN E.I.R. AND POLICY WHICH GAVE YOU A



- 1 SUPERFLUOUS VIEW OF THE FIELD. THE DIFFERENCE, FOR INSTANCE,
- 2 JUST BY WAY OF AN EXAMPLE, WAS KEN KUTCHER HAD TO DO PUBLIC
- 3 RECORD ACT REQUEST, WHICH IS A FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT TYPE
- 4 OF REQUEST UNDER THE STATE, TO GET THE INFORMATION OF WHAT
- 5 HAPPENED IN THOSE TOXIC INCIDENTS IN 2006. THAT IS THE ONLY
- 6 REASON WHY WE AS THE PUBLIC NOW KNOW WHAT ACTUALLY OCCURRED.
- 7 YOUR PROCESS, YOUR SO-CALLED E.I.R. ON A POLICY DID NOT
- 8 PROVIDE THAT INFORMATION. WHAT WE NEED TO DO IS TO KNOW WHAT
- 9 WE'RE DEALING WITH IN ORDER TO HAVE A POLICY. YOUR FEAR
- 10 TECHNIQUE OF TELLING PEOPLE THAT D.O.G.G.R. IS GOING TO GO
- 11 AHEAD IS FALSE. THERE IS ANOTHER AGENCY, A FEDERAL AGENCY WHO
- 12 IS ALSO INVOLVED IN UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL. THEY'VE
- 13 MONITORED D.O.G.G.R. YOU'VE EXCLUDED THEM, YOU SAID YOURSELF
- 14 THAT D.O.G.G.R. WAS EXCLUSIVE AND YET--

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: D.O.G.G.R. IS GOING TO BE A PART OF THIS
- 17 PROCESS. I'M NOT GOING TO ARGUE WITH YOU. YOUR TIME HAS
- 18 EXPIRED. YOUR TIME HAS EXPIRED. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

19

- 20 PATRICIA MCPHERSON: --DOES MONITOR D.O.G.G.R. YOU'RE CUTTING
- 21 ME OFF BECAUSE I--

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NO, YOUR TIME HAS EXPIRED. AND LET ME SAY
- 24 THIS, THAT D.O.G.G.R. WILL BE A PART OF THE MULTI-AGENCY
- 25 PORTION. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.



```
1
    SPEAKER: (OFF-MIC.)
2
3
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [ APPLAUSE ]
4
5
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. IF ANY OF THE PEOPLE WHO ARE--
6
    WHO ARE IN SUPPORT WOULD LIKE TO COME FORWARD. IF NOT, I'D
7
8
    LIKE TO ASK THAT, FIRST OF ALL, JOHN PEARSON, WOULD YOU START
    OFF AND RESPOND TO SOME OF THOSE ISSUES THAT HAVE BEEN RAISED
9
    AND WHAT'S INCLUDED IN THE C.S.D.. I'M ALSO TO ALSO ASK ROGAN
10
    LEE, AND CHARLES MOORE AND STEVE RUSCH TO COME UP BECAUSE WE
11
    NEED TO GET STATEMENTS FROM THEM IN TERMS OF THE TIME FRAME. I
12
    THINK WE SHOULD HAVE A GEOLOGIST HERE.
13
14
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN THEY ALSO ADDRESS THE ISSUE OF WHETHER
15
    THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT ADDRESSED THE ISSUE OF
16
17
    SUBSIDENCE?
18
19
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: RIGHT. WHILE YOU'RE HERE, THOUGH, I THINK
    THAT ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE NEED TO GET ON THE RECORD IS
20
    THAT THIS DOESN'T-- THIS COMES BACK NEXT WEEK. WE NEED SOME
21
    ASSURANCE THAT YOU'RE NOT GOING TO FILE ANY KIND OF
22
23
    APPLICATION WITH D.O.G.G.R. BETWEEN NOW AND NEXT WEEK.
```



- STEVE RUSCH: MADAM CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, MY NAME IS 1
- STEVE RUSCH. I'M VICE PRESIDENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH AND 2
- 3 SAFETY AND GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS FOR PLAINS EXPLORATION
- PRODUCTION COMPANY, OR P.X.P., AS WE CALL IT, AND WE HAVE 4
- 5 EVERY INTENTION OF COMPLYING WITH YOUR REQUEST THAT WE DELAY A
- WEEK PENDING THE APPROVAL OF THIS C.S.D. AND IF NECESSARY, 6
- UPON APPROVAL OF C.S.D. NEXT WEEK, WE WOULD BE WILLING TO 7
- 8 DEFER AN ADDITIONAL 30 DAYS BEFORE ORDINANCE COMES INTO EFFECT
- WHICH I BELIEVE ARE NOVEMBER 28. 9

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW DO WE MAKE THAT BINDING? 11

ELAINE LEMKE: WE COULD ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH P.X.P.. WE 13

- COULD PROBABLY PUT SOMETHING TOGETHER FAIRLY QUICKLY. BUT HE'S 14
- RIGHT. THE ORDINANCE, EVEN IF APPROVED, WON'T GO INTO EFFECT 15
- 16 FOR ANOTHER 30 DAYS. ANY AGREEMENT YOU WOULD NEED WOULD HAVE
- TO BE LONGER THAN THAT, THE WEEK. 17
- 19 STEVE RUSCH: AND THAT WOULD BE ACCEPTABLE.
- 21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. MAYBE WE SHOULD HAVE A LETTER
- 22 AGREEMENT. CAN WE HAVE COUNSEL PREPARE THAT?
- 24 ELAINE LEMKE: I CAN DO THAT.

25

23

10

12

18



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. WOULD YOU LIKE TO ADDRESS THE
- 2 SUBSIDENCE? DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I MAY, BUT STICK AROUND.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WOULD YOU ADDRESS THE WHOLE
- 7 ISSUE IN TERMS OF--

- 9 STEVE BURGER: YES. STEVE BURGER WITH PUBLIC WORKS AND MIKE
- 10 MONTGOMERY ALSO AVAILABLE IF THERE'S ANY OTHER QUESTIONS. I'VE
- 11 BEEN LISTENING TO THE CONCERNS REGARDING SUBSIDENCE AND DAMAGE
- 12 TO HOMES. HAVE BEEN LISTENING TO IT THROUGHOUT THE ENTIRE
- 13 PROCESS. ONE OF THE THINGS WE'RE ALL KIND OF SADDLED WITH
- 14 RIGHT HERE NOW, IS AS YOU PROBABLY ALL KNOW, HILLSIDE AREAS,
- 15 WHETHER THEY'RE ASSOCIATED WITH OIL FIELD OR NOT HAVE
- 16 HISTORIES OF CRACKING. THERE'S AN OLD ENGINEER'S SAYING, "ALL
- 17 SLABS CRACK." WITHOUT THE INFORMATION THAT THE C.S.D. GIVES
- 18 US, THERE'S REALLY NO WAY TO CONCLUSIVELY KNOW WHAT'S
- 19 HAPPENING OUT THERE. AND THE MONITORING THAT WE'RE ASKING FOR
- 20 IS VERY COMPREHENSIVE. FROM A GEOLOGIC STANDPOINT, IT'S
- 21 ESSENTIALLY REAL TIME MONITORING. AS THEY PRODUCE AND AS THEY
- 22 INJECT, WE ARE GOING TO BE ASKING FOR INFORMATION THAT
- 23 ASSESSES WHAT'S HAPPENING WITH THE GROUND OUT THERE AND
- 24 ASSESSES ANY DAMAGE CLAIMS THAT THE COMMUNITY HAS. THIS IS
- 25 GOING TO BE SOMETHING THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT, THAT THE STATE'S



- 1 LOOKING AT AND THE COMMUNITY IS GOING TO BE LOOKING AT. SO
- 2 REALLY I THINK MY ANSWER TO THE COMMUNITY IS THIS C.S.D. GIVES
- 3 US THE INFORMATION THAT EVERYONE, INCLUDING THEM, NEED TO KNOW
- 4 WHAT GOING ON OUT HERE, AND ANSWER THESE OUESTIONS.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT DOES IT SAY ABOUT SUBSIDENCE? DOES THE
- 7 E.I.R. ADDRESS SUBSIDENCE?

- 9 JOHN PEARSON: YES, THE E.I.R. IN THE GEOLOGY SECTION ADDRESSES
- 10 SUBSIDENCE. THERE'S A DISCUSSION ABOUT THE DAM AND THE SOME OF
- 11 THE STATE REPORTS THAT CAME TO THE CONCLUSION THAT IT WAS
- 12 LIKELY OIL OPERATIONS THAT LED TO THAT FAILURE AND THERE HAS
- 13 BEEN SUBSTANTIAL SUBSIDENCE, AND I THINK THEY WOULD AGREE OVER
- 14 TIME THERE HAS BEEN SUBSTANTIAL SUBSIDENCE AND THAT IS ALL
- 15 DISCUSSED IN THE DOCUMENT. AFTER THE DAM FAILURE, COUNTY
- 16 PUBLIC WORKS WAS CHARTERED WITH ACTUALLY ON AN ANNUAL BASIS, I
- 17 THINK IT WAS, TO ASSESS WHAT'S GOING ON WITH THE SUBSIDENCE
- 18 OUT THERE AND I THINK IT WAS IN '74, THEY FOUND NONE. IN '76,
- 19 IT STOPPED, AND THEN A PERIOD WHERE THERE WASN'T A LOT OF DATA
- 20 TO DETERMINE WHETHER THERE'S BEEN ADDITIONAL SUBSIDENCE, BUT
- 21 IN EARLY 2000, THE U.S.G.S., PART OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT,
- 22 USING THEIR L.I.D.A.R., WHICH IS THEIR LASER-BASED SATELLITE
- 23 SYSTEMS THAT THEY USED THROUGHOUT THE L.A. BASIN FOR LOOKING
- 24 AT SUBSIDENCE AND UPLIFT, HAVE BEEN LOOKING AT THE AREA IN THE
- 25 BALDWIN HILLS AND THIS IS ALL DISCUSSED IN THE DOCUMENT, AND



- 1 WHAT THAT SHOWED IS THAT THERE HAS BEEN SOME UPLIFT, SLIGHT
- 2 UPLIFT, NOT SUBSIDENCE, BUT THE REVERSE, UPLIFT. I THINK IT
- 3 WAS AROUND 6 MILLIMETERS THAT THEY HAD DETECTED IN PORTIONS OF
- 4 THE BALDWIN HILLS OIL FIELD, AND THAT IS ALL DISCUSSED IN THE
- 5 DOCUMENT. THE PLAN THAT THE--

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT IS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF 6 MILLIMETERS OF
- 8 UPLIFT?

9

- 10 JOHN PEARSON: WELL, LET ME JUST GIVE YOU A POINT OF REFERENCE.
- 11 WE DID A LOT OF TALKING. SUPERVISOR BURKE MENTIONED THE LONG
- 12 BEACH AND THE WILMINGTON OIL FIELD. THEY'VE HAD PROBABLY THE
- 13 LONGEST EXPOSURE TO SUBSIDENCE AND CONTROLLING OF SUBSIDENCE
- 14 OF ANYBODY IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. THE CITY OF LONG BEACH HAS
- 15 HAD A DIVISION THAT DEALS WITH THIS, THEY MONITOR ON A REGULAR
- 16 BASIS FOR SUBSIDENCE, THEY ADJUST, WORK WITH THE OPERATOR TO
- 17 ADJUST INJECTION AND FLUID RATES AND THEY ALLOW--

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ANSWER MY QUESTION. WHAT IS THE SIGNIFICANCE
- 20 OF IT, JUST IN THE INTEREST OF TIME.

- 22 JOHN PEARSON: TO US, THAT WAS NOT A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER. THAT
- 23 DOESN'T MEAN GOING DOWN THE ROAD THAT IT WAS GOING TO THEN BE
- 24 SIGNIFICANT. WHAT I WAS GOING TO SAY IS, LONG BEACH USES 6/10
- 25 OF AN INCH IN ANY GIVEN QUARTER AS BEING WHAT WOULD THEN



- 1 REQUIRE THEM TO MAKE ADJUSTMENTS TO PRODUCTION AND INJECTION
- 2 RATES, AND THAT'S LESS THAN THAT, SO, YOU KNOW, WE DID NOT
- 3 VIEW THAT THAT WAS A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER IN TERMS OF SUBSIDENCE
- 4 OR UPLIFT FROM THE E.I.R. BUT THAT COULD CHANGE AS YOU MOVE
- 5 FORWARD, AND THE BEST THING YOU CAN DO IS IMPLEMENT A PROGRAM
- 6 SIMILAR TO WHAT LONG BEACH HAS DONE, AND WHAT WE RECOMMENDED
- 7 AND WHAT'S IN THE C.S.D. IS NOT JUST MONITORING OF SUBSIDENCE
- 8 IN THE OIL FIELD BUT MONITORING THE OIL FIELD AS WELL AS THE
- 9 SURROUNDING AREAS.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DOES THE UPLIFT, THERE'S AN OLD ENGINEERING
- 12 TERM I'M FAMILIAR WITH, "WHAT GOES UP MUST COME DOWN." DOES
- 13 THE UPLIFT, IS THERE A REACTION AT SOME POINT? IS THAT THE
- 14 SIGNIFICANCE OF AN UPLIFT? DOESN'T STAY UPLIFTED, DOES IT?

15

- 16 CALVIN HOLLIS: IT'S A RESULT OF THE BALANCING WITH THE
- 17 WITHDRAWALS, WITH THE INJECTIONS. IF YOU INJECT A LITTLE TOO
- 18 MUCH, IT GOES UP. IF YOU DON'T INJECT ENOUGH, IT GOES DOWN.
- 19 THAT'S WHERE THE D.O.G.G.R.'S EXPERTISE LIES, AND THAT'S WHAT
- 20 THEY'VE BEEN DOING FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DOES THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT ON THE
- 23 ISSUE OF SUBSIDENCE AND RELATED ISSUES GIVE YOU A ROAD MAP OF
- 24 WHAT TO DO UNDER EACH SCENARIO, UNDER EACH CIRCUMSTANCE?



- 1 JOHN PEARSON: WHAT IT DOES IS IT PROVIDES MITIGATION THAT SAYS
- 2 YOU GO OUT AND ESTABLISH A BASELINE AND THEN ON AN ANNUAL
- 3 BASIS, YOU MEASURE AND IF YOU REACH A CERTAIN TRIGGER, THEN
- 4 YOU HAVE TO DO THIS, THIS AND THIS, AND THAT'S WHAT'S BEEN--

5

6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, THIS, THIS OR THIS--

7

- 8 JOHN PEARSON: IS D.O.G.G.R. TO MAKE ADJUSTMENTS INTO
- 9 PRODUCTION AND WITHDRAWAL RATES. THAT'S HOW YOU BASICALLY
- 10 CONTROL SUBSIDENCE.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHO DOES THE ANNUAL ANALYSIS? IS IT DONE BY
- 13 THE OIL COMPANY, BY D.O.G.G.R., BY THE COUNTY?

14

- 15 CALVIN HOLLIS: THE OIL COMPANY WILL LIKELY HIGHER A CONSULTANT
- 16 TO DO THE WORK. WE WILL REVIEW THE WORK AS WELL AS D.O.G.G.R..

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHO IS "WE"?

19

20 CALVIN HOLLIS: COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, PUBLIC WORKS.

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU DO THAT NOW?

23

24 CALVIN HOLLIS: NO, WE DO NOT.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THAT BECAUSE THERE'S NO COMMUNITY
- 2 STANDARDS DISTRICT THERE?

3

- 4 CALVIN HOLLIS: THAT'S PART OF THE REASON. WE DON'T HAVE THE
- 5 LEGAL AUTHORITY TO GO AND TAKE OUR OWN MEASUREMENTS AND
- 6 CURRENTLY THIS C.S.D. ALSO PROVIDES FUNDING TO REVIEW THIS AND
- 7 KEEP A WATCHDOG OVER THIS.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IF WE DON'T HAVE THE RIGHT TO DO THAT, WHAT
- 10 RIGHT DO WE HAVE TO IMPOSE IT NOW, THE C.S.D. NOW, AND WHAT
- 11 OBLIGATION DO THEY HAVE TO COMPLY WITH IT? MAYBE THAT'S A
- 12 LEGAL QUESTION.

13

- 14 ELAINE LEMKE: WE'RE AMENDING THE ZONING CODE AND THE COUNTY
- 15 HAS A RIGHT TO AMEND THE ZONING CODE TO PLACE RESTRICTIONS.
- 16 I'M SURE P.X.P. WOULD TAKE SOME DIFFERENCE WITH THAT. YOU'VE
- 17 KIND OF AVOIDED THE DISPUTE AS TO WHO WOULD BE RIGHT OVER THAT
- 18 BECAUSE THEY'VE AGREED TO GO FORWARD WITH THE C.S.D. PROCESS.
- 19 BUT WE HAVE A RIGHT TO CHANGE OUR ZONING CODE AND INSTALL
- 20 STANDARDS.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THEY HAVE A RIGHT TO BE GRANDFATHERED. THEY
- 23 HAVE AN EXISTING OIL FIELD. WE CAN'T SHUT THEM DOWN LEGALLY,
- 24 CAN WE?



- 1 ELAINE LEMKE: IF WE WERE TO PASS THE ZONING ORDINANCE TO
- 2 CHANGE IT TO PROHIBIT OIL, THE OIL OPERATIONS, THEN THEY WOULD
- 3 BE PROCEEDING UNDER OUR NON-CONFORMING STATUTES WHICH GIVE
- 4 THEM A PERIOD OF TIME TO CONTINUE THEIR OPERATIONS. FRANKLY I
- 5 HADN'T DONE THAT ANALYSIS AS TO HOW LONG. I BELIEVE IT'S FOR A
- 6 SUBSTANTIAL PERIOD OF TIME.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WE'VE GOT NONCONFORMING USES ALL OVER THE
- 9 PLACE THAT HAVE BEEN NONCONFORMING FOR DECADES. I GUESS MY
- 10 QUESTION THEN IS, DO WE NEED-- ASSUMING THIS IS APPROVED IN
- 11 SOME ITERATION, THAT A COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICT IS
- 12 APPROVED, ARE THEY-- ARE WE BELIEVING THAT THE LAW REQUIRES
- 13 THEM TO COMPLY OR ARE WE ALSO GOING TO GET THEM TO AGREE TO
- 14 COMPLY? IS THERE SOME BASIS UPON WHICH WE CAN CONTRACT WITH
- 15 THEM TO COMPLY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE C.S.D.?

16

- 17 ELAINE LEMKE: WELL, WITH THE ZONING CODE PASSED, THEY'LL
- 18 EITHER HAVE TO COMPLY WITH IT OR THEY'LL HAVE TO CHALLENGE IT.
- 19 IF THEY DON'T CHALLENGE IT, THE ZONING CODE WILL BE IN PLACE,
- 20 AND THEY'LL HAVE TO COMPLY WITH THE LAW.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DOES THE C.S.D. AFFECT IN ANY WAY WHAT THEY
- 23 ARE DOING NOW IN THE WAY OF PUMPING OIL OUT OF THE GROUND?



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, IT SETS UP A NUMBER OF MEASURES AND
- 2 MACHINERY THAT HAS TO BE BROUGHT IN IN ORDER TO ASSURE THAT
- 3 THERE IS SAFETY AND MR. PEARSON CAN GO THROUGH SOME OF THOSE.

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. QUICKLY.

6

- 7 JOHN PEARSON: THERE ARE A NUMBER OF PROVISIONS IN THE C.S.D.,
- 8 THAT WHETHER THEY DRILL ANOTHER WELL OR NOT, THEY WOULD HAVE
- 9 TO IMPLEMENT THAT WOULD AFFECT THEIR CURRENT OPERATIONS TO
- 10 INCREASE LEVELS OF SAFETY AND PUBLIC HEALTH AND REDUCE AIR
- 11 EMISSIONS. THE WAY THE C.S.D. IS WRITTEN, WHETHER THEY DRILLED
- 12 ANOTHER WELL OR NOT, IF THAT GOT ADOPTED, THEY WOULD HAVE TO
- 13 IMPLEMENT THOSE AND IT WOULD AFFECT THEIR CURRENT OPERATIONS.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND LET ME ASK YOU FROM THE OIL COMPANY,
- 16 WHAT IS YOUR NAME AGAIN?

17

18 STEVE RUSCH: STEVE RUSCH.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. RUSCH, ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE
- 21 CONDITIONS OF THE C.S.D. AS PROPOSED AT THIS POINT?

22

23 STEVE RUSCH: ABSOLUTELY.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY THAT YOU INTEND TO
- 2 COMPLY WITH ALL THE PROVISIONS OF THE C.S.D. AS IS NOW
- 3 PROPOSED?

4

5 STEVE RUSCH: ABSOLUTELY.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU WOULD NOT CHALLENGE THIS LEGALLY IN
- 8 COURT?

9

- 10 STEVE RUSCH: I DON'T KNOW WHAT FORM THE C.S.D. IS GOING TO BE
- 11 APPROVED IN, THE C.S.D. THAT'S BEFORE US, I'M VERY FAMILIAR
- 12 WITH IT, THERE WERE SOME QUESTIONS WE HAD ABOUT IT BUT IF
- 13 THOSE ARE--

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I DIDN'T MEAN TO PUT YOU ON THE SPOT.
- 16 ASSUMING THAT ONCE WE GET TO THAT POINT AND YOU KNOW-- AND YOU
- 17 NOD YOUR HEAD AFFIRMATIVELY IN AGREEMENT WITH THE CONDITIONS,
- 18 YOUR TESTIMONY WOULD BE AT THAT POINT THAT YOU WOULD NOT-- AND
- 19 YOU WOULD-- WOULD YOU BE WILLING TO ENTER INTO SOME KIND OF
- 20 LEGAL AGREEMENT WITH US THAT WOULD COMMIT YOU TO COMPLYING
- 21 WITH THOSE PROVISIONS AND AGREEING NOT TO CHALLENGE THE C.S.D.
- 22 IN COURT? ASSUMING YOU AGREE WITH IT.

- 24 STEVE RUSCH: YES. WE STARTED THE PROCESS WITH THE SECOND
- 25 DISTRICT OVER TWO YEARS AGO. AT THAT TIME WHEN WE ENTERED INTO



- 1 SOME VOLUNTARY MORATORIUMS, MY GOAL WAS TO END UP WITH A WIN-
- 2 WIN SOLUTION THAT DIDN'T END UP IN COURT, AND SO IT'S FULLY
- 3 OUR INTENT AT THE END, IF THE C.S.D. IS ACCEPTABLE TO US, THAT
- 4 WE WILL ABIDE BY IT, ABSOLUTELY.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. ARE WE BEING ASKED TODAY OR WILL IT BE
- 7 NEXT WEEK TO ACTUALLY CERTIFY THE E.I.R.?

8

- 9 ELAINE LEMKE: IF THE INTENT IS TO APPROVE THE ORDINANCE NEXT
- 10 WEEK, I THINK TODAY WE SHOULD CERTIFY THE E.I.R.. THAT HAS TO
- 11 HAPPEN BEFORE YOU APPROVE THE C.S.D..

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. COULD IT BE NEXT WEEK THAT WE APPROVE
- 14 THE-- CERTIFY THE E.I.R. AND THEN SUBSEQUENT TO THAT ON THE
- 15 SAME DAY, THE NEXT ITEM WOULD BE TO APPROVE THE ORDINANCE?

16

17 **ELAINE LEMKE:** YES.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I WOULD FEEL MORE COMFORTABLE IF WE DID THAT
- 20 BECAUSE I'D LIKE TO-- JUST LIKE TO DO THAT. UNLESS THERE'S A
- 21 REASON NOT TO.

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ONE OF THE REASONS WHY I'M ASKING TO DO IT
- 24 TODAY IS BECAUSE I DO HAVE THIS WHOLE ISSUE IN TERMS OF WHAT
- 25 THEY WILL DO IN TERMS OF APPLYING.



1

2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LET ME MAKE IT CLEAR WHAT I SAID. WHAT I

- 3 SAID WAS ASSUMING IT ALL GOES ACCORDING TO-- IT'S UNEVENTFUL,
- 4 THAT WE WOULD HAVE THIS E.I.R. BEFORE US THE NEXT WEEK TO
- 5 CERTIFY AND WE WOULD THEN HAVE THE ORDINANCE, YOU COULD
- 6 INSTRUCT THEM TO DRAFT AN ORDINANCE-- COULD YOU INSTRUCT AN
- 7 ORDINANCE BE DRAFTED WITH WHATEVER CONDITIONS HAVE BEEN
- 8 GLEANED BY THE BOARD AT THIS POINT WITHOUT CERTIFYING THE
- 9 E.I.R.?

10

- 11 ELAINE LEMKE: I THINK, SUPERVISOR, IF YOU TOOK A VOTE TODAY
- 12 INDICATING YOUR INTENT TO APPROVE IT NEXT WEEK, THEN YOU
- 13 SHOULD CERTIFY THE E.I.R. TODAY. IF YOU JUST BROUGHT THIS BACK
- 14 NEXT WEEK AND THE MOTION AT THAT TIME WAS TO APPROVE IT, YOU
- 15 COULD DO IT AT THE SAME TIME. MY RECOMMENDATION --

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: EXPLAIN TO ME WHAT THE LEGAL REASON WOULD
- 18 BE-- WHAT THE SUBSTANTIVE DIFFERENCE IS.

19

20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND THEN WE PUT IT OVER ANOTHER WEEK.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M NOT ASKING FOR IT TO BE PUT OVER ANOTHER
- 23 WEEK.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WAIT A MINUTE. IT TAKES A WEEK TO BE
- 2 APPROVED.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I KNOW, WELL THAT'S WHAT I'M TRYING TO FIND
- 5 OUT, WHETHER IT DOES. I HEAR YOU.

6

- 7 ELAINE LEMKE: YOU KNOW, THE BEST REASON I CAN GIVE YOU FOR
- 8 THAT IS, WE'VE BEEN CHALLENGED ON THAT BEFORE AND CRITICIZED
- 9 IN DOING IT IN THE SAME DAY. BECAUSE AN INDICATION OF AN
- 10 INTENT TO APPROVE HAS BEEN ARGUED BY SOME THAT THAT REALLY
- 11 ISN'T FACT TANTAMOUNT TO APPROVAL AND IF YOU DO THAT YOU HAVE
- 12 TO HAVE A CERTIFIED E.I.R.. THAT'S THE ARGUMENT THERE.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ONE COULD HAVE SAT THROUGH THE LAST THREE
- 15 HOURS OF THIS HEARING AND ASSUMED A LOT OF THINGS ABOUT WHERE
- 16 WE ALL ARE. SOME PEOPLE ASSERTED IT, AND IT JUST--

17

18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WOULD YOU LIKE TO HEAR MY MOTION FIRST?

19

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I GUESS THAT WOULD BE A GOOD IDEA.

21

22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOU CAN HEAR MY MOTION.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BEFORE YOU DO, ONE OTHER QUESTION TO THE
- 2 LAWYER, AND THAT IS-- I THINK IT JUST SLIPPED MY MIND. I'LL
- 3 ASK IT AFTERWARDS. GO AHEAD.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, I THINK THAT WE'LL HOLD OFF PASSING
- 6 IT OUT.

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: GO AHEAD.

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. I'M GOING TO READ THE MOTION AND
- 11 WE'LL PASS IT OUT. I THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD CLOSE THE
- 12 PUBLIC HEARING AND APPROVE THE F.E.I.R. PREPARED FOR THE
- 13 BALDWIN HILLS C.S.D., CERTIFY THAT IT HAS REVIEWED AND
- 14 CONSIDERED THE ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE
- 15 F.E.I.R., CERTIFY THAT F.E.I.R. HAS BEEN COMPLETED IN
- 16 COMPLIANCE WITH C.E.Q.A. C.E.Q.A. GUIDELINES AND COUNTY
- 17 C.E.Q.A. GUIDELINES AND REFLECT THE INDEPENDENT JUDGMENT OF
- 18 THE BOARD AS TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES OF THE PROPOSED
- 19 C.S.D., DETERMINE THAT THE MITIGATION MEASURES REQUIRED BY THE
- 20 C.S.D. ARE THE ONLY MITIGATION MEASURES THAT ARE FEASIBLE,
- 21 DETERMINE THAT THE REMAINING UNAVOIDABLE ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS
- 22 OF CONTINUING OPERATION AT THE OIL FIELD WILL BE REDUCED TO
- 23 THE EXTENT POSSIBLE BY THE C.S.D. AND ARE OUTWEIGHED BY SOCIAL
- 24 ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS PROVIDED BY THE C.S.D. AND
- 25 ADOPT THE FINDING OF FACTS AND STATEMENT OF OVERRIDING



- 1 CONSIDERATIONS FOR THE C.S.D.. DO YOU HAVE OVERRIDING
- 2 CONSIDERATIONS? A COPY OF IT? DO YOU HAVE A COPY?

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES.

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I FURTHER MOVE THAT THE BOARD INSTRUCT THE
- 7 COUNTY COUNSEL AND REGIONAL PLANNING DEPARTMENT TO PREPARE THE
- 8 FOLLOWING CHANGES TO THE ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING THE BALDWIN
- 9 HILLS COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICT AND SUBMIT THE REVISED
- 10 ORDINANCE TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR FINAL CONSIDERATION
- 11 ON OCTOBER 28TH. ADD A PROVISION SO THAT THE NUMBER OF NEW
- 12 WELLS DRILLED DURING THE FIRST YEAR SHALL BE LIMITED TO 24.
- 13 ADD A PROVISION CAPPING THE TOTALS NUMBER OF NEWLY DRILLED
- 14 WELLS OVER THE 20 YEARS TO 600 WELLS FOR AN AVERAGE OF 30
- 15 WELLS PER YEAR. REVISE THE PROVISION ON THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF
- 16 WELLS BEING DRILLED OR REDRILLED IN ONE YEAR UNDER THE
- 17 DIRECTOR'S REVIEW PROCEEDINGS TO 53 WITH A MAXIMUM OF 45 FOR
- 18 DRILLING NEW WELLS AND REMAINING WELLS THAT ANNUAL TOTAL
- 19 LIMITED TO REDRILLING OF EXISTING WELLS. I'D LIKE TO ALSO JUST
- 20 MAKE A COMMENT. P.X.P. AVERAGES 7 TO 8 ABANDONED WELLS PER
- 21 YEAR, SO THAT WILL END UP, IF IT CONTINUES AT THAT LEVEL OF AN
- 22 INCREASE OF 20 WELLS TO THE NET NUMBER OF WELLS. ADD A
- 23 PROVISION TO PREVENT OVERCONCENTRATION IN ANY ONE YEAR OF
- 24 DRILLING ACTIVITIES IN ANY ONE AREA IF LOCATED NEAR A
- 25 DEVELOPED AREA, AND A DEVELOPED AREA IS DEFINED IN THE C.S.D..



- 1 THE C.S.D. NOW REQUIRES PUBLIC WELLS TO REFER TO D.O.G.G.R.
- 2 THE RESULTS OF INVESTIGATIONS BY P.X.P. WHICH ARE REQUIRED
- 3 WHEN COMPLAINTS ARE MADE BY THE PUBLIC OR PUBLIC ENTITIES
- 4 ABOUT DAMAGE TO THEIR PROPERTIES POTENTIALLY CAUSED BY
- 5 SUBSIDENCE AND P.X.P. CONCURS WITH THAT ASSERTION. THAT
- 6 PROVISION SHALL BE CHANGED TO REQUIRE PUBLIC WORKS TO FORWARD
- 7 TO D.O.G.G.R. ANY CONCERNS PUBLIC WORKS HAS ABOUT SUBSIDENCE
- 8 CAUSING DAMAGE, REGARDLESS OF THE CONCLUSION OF THE P.X.P.
- 9 REPORT INVESTIGATING SUBSIDENCE DAMAGE CLAIMS. THUS THE
- 10 PROCESS WILL BE THE PUBLIC SUBMITS A CLAIM OF DAMAGE TO
- 11 P.X.P., WHICH IS THEN RIDER TO INVESTIGATE THOSE CLAIMS,
- 12 PREPARE A REPORT AND SUBMIT THAT REPORT TO PUBLIC WORKS.
- 13 PUBLIC WORKS WILL ANALYZE P.X.P.'S REPORT. IF EITHER P.X.P.
- 14 CONCURS THAT DAMAGE WAS CAUSED BY OIL FIELD OPERATIONS OR IF
- 15 PUBLIC WORKS BELIEVES THAT DAMAGE WAS CAUSED BY OIL FIELD
- 16 OPERATIONS, EVEN WHEN P.X.P. DISAGREES, THEN PUBLIC WORKS WILL
- 17 SUBMIT ITS CONCERNS TO D.O.G.G.R. WHICH HAS JURISDICTION TO
- 18 REOUIRE P.X.P. TO TAKE ACTIONS IN RESPONSE TO DAMAGE. INCLUDE
- 19 THAT RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING
- 20 REGARDING FINES FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE C.S.D. EXCEPT LEAVE IN A
- 21 PROVISION THAT ALLOWS FOR TIME TO CURE THE VIOLATION. INCLUDE
- 22 A MODIFICATION PROCEDURE SIMILAR TO THOSE IN OTHER
- 23 CONCLUSIONS. C.S.D. THIS C.S.D. INCLUDES A NUMBER OF TECHNICAL
- 24 REQUIREMENTS. MANY OF THESE ARE NOT IN WIDE USE, AND MAY BE
- 25 UNTESTED OR WHICH MAY BECOME OUTDATED. THUS, THE C.S.D. SHOULD



- 1 INCLUDE A PROCEDURE TO MODIFY PROVISIONS WHERE NECESSARY, ADD
- 2 A PROVISION TO C.S.D. THAT REQUIRES REGIONAL PLANNING TO
- 3 DEVELOP AN IMPLEMENTATION PLAN FOR THE C.S.D. THAT ADDRESSES
- 4 THE REQUIREMENT THAT MUST BE INCLUDED IN EACH OF THE PLANS
- 5 THAT ARE PREPARED BY THE OPERATOR AND APPROVED BY THE COUNTY.
- 6 THE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN SHALL INCLUDE ANY SPECIFIC PLANNED
- 7 ITEMS BEING NECESSARY BY THE E.I.R. TO REDUCE ENVIRONMENTAL
- 8 IMPACTS TO LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT, IN THOSE CASES WHERE IMPACT
- 9 CAN BE SO REDUCED, REQUIRE A UNIFORM TIME FRAME FOR REVIEW OF
- 10 PLANS NEEDED PRIOR TO NEW DRILLING. THE TIME FRAME FOR THE
- 11 DIRECTOR TO REVIEW THE AIR MONITORING PLAN SHALL MATCH THE 45-
- 12 DAY REVIEW PERIOD FOR THE ANNUAL DRILLING, REDRILLING AND WELL
- 13 ABANDONMENT AND WELL PAD RESTORATION PLAN. REQUIRE SHUT DOWN
- 14 OF OPERATIONS FOR EXCEEDING-- EXCEDANCE (SIC) OF HYDROGEN
- 15 SULFIDE AND HYDROCARBON THRESHOLDS UNLESS SHUT DOWN WOULD
- 16 CREATE A SAFETY HAZARD. REQUIRE THE OPERATOR TO CONDUCT THE
- 17 INITIAL COMMUNITY MEETING WITHIN 180 DAYS INSTEAD OF THE
- 18 CURRENT 60-DAY REOUIREMENT. 60 DAYS IS TOO SOON FOR A REPORT
- 19 BACK TO THE COMMUNITY ON ALL THE IMPORTANT WORK THE ORDINANCE
- 20 WILL REQUIRE IN THE FIRST YEAR. THE COMMUNITY DESERVES A FULL
- 21 UPDATE IN 180 DAYS. ALLOW THE EXISTING GAS PLANT FLARE TO
- 22 REMAIN ON SITE AS BACK UP IF SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY
- 23 MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, A.Q.M.D., DETERMINES IT MAY STAY. THE
- 24 C.S.D. REQUIRES NEW GAS FLARE, ESTIMATED COST \$2 MILLION, TO
- 25 MITIGATE VIBRATION IMPACTS AND THAT HAVE BEEN SO DISTRESSING



- 1 FOR THE COMMUNITY. IT MAY HOWEVER BE BENEFICIAL TO KEEP THE
- 2 EXISTING FLARE AS BACK UP AND A.Q.M.D. REVIEW AND PERMITTING
- 3 OF THE NEW FLARE WILL DETERMINE WHETHER THE EXISTING FLARE CAN
- 4 STAY. THE C.S.D. ESTABLISHES A MULTIPLE AGENCY COORDINATING
- 5 COMMITTEE TO ENSURE APPROPRIATE COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN THE
- 6 COUNTY AND OTHER AGENCIES WITH REGULATORY OVERSIGHT OF THE OIL
- 7 FIELD. MEMBERS OF THE M.A.C. WILL INCLUDE REGIONAL PLANNING,
- 8 COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT, PUBLIC WORKS AND COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF
- 9 PUBLIC HEALTH. ALSO S.C.A.Q.M.D., REGIONAL WATER BOARD,
- 10 D.O.G.G.R. AND CULVER CITY FIRE DEPARTMENT WILL BE INVITED TO
- 11 APPOINT REPRESENTATIVES FROM THEIR AGENCIES AS A MEMBER OF THE
- 12 M.A.C. THE M.A.C PROCESS COMBINED WITH REOUIREMENT FOR ON SITE
- 13 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE COORDINATOR, WILL PROVIDE THE
- 14 STRONGEST ASSURANCE TO THE COUNTY ON AN ONGOING BASIS IF THE
- 15 OIL FIELD COMPLIES WITH ALL LOCAL, STATE AND FEDERAL LAWS,
- 16 RULES AND REGULATIONS. THIS ONGOING REVIEW PROVIDES THE SAME
- 17 OR BETTER OVERSIGHT AS THE FIVE-YEAR THIRD-PARTY AUDIT TO BE
- 18 PAID FOR BY THE OPERATOR THAT WAS RIDER BY THE COMMISSION
- 19 RECOMMENDED IN THE C.S.D.. THUS THAT AUDIT PROVISION SHOULD BE
- 20 REMOVED FROM THE C.S.D. THIS SHOULD NOT BE CONFUSED WITH THE
- 21 COUNTY'S REVIEW TO ASSESS THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE C.S.D.
- 22 PROVISIONS. THE C.S.D. SHOULD ALLOW ALTERNATIVES FOR
- 23 CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT ENGINE TECHNOLOGY THAT REDUCES THE AIR
- 24 IMPACT EMISSIONS TO LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT. THE C.S.D. REQUIRES
- 25 THE INSTALLATION OF NEW TECHNOLOGY ON OFF-ROAD DIESEL



- 1 CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT AND DRILL RIG ENGINES TO REDUCE AIR
- 2 EMISSIONS. THE C.S.D. SHOULD INCLUDE A THIRD ALTERNATIVE TO
- 3 ALLOW FOR OTHER OPTIONS THAT RESULT IN LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT
- 4 IMPACT TO AIR QUALITY AND TO ALLOW FOR TECHNOLOGICAL
- 5 ADVANCEMENT. IMPLEMENT THE NEW CONCEPTUAL LANDSCAPE PLAN
- 6 DESIGNED BY ARCHITECTS OF THE BALDWIN HILLS MASTER PLAN TO BE
- 7 COMPLETED IN PHASES OVER A 2 TO 5-YEAR PERIOD. PROPOSE WELL BY
- 8 WELL LANDSCAPING PLAN AND C.S.D. IS CURRENTLY NOT THE IDEAL
- 9 APPROACH. INSTEAD THE OPERATOR WILL BE REQUIRED TO IMPLEMENT
- 10 THE OIL FIELD SCREENING AND LANDSCAPING PLAN DESIGNED BY THE
- 11 ARCHITECT FOR THE BALDWIN HILLS PARK MASTER PLAN. THE C.S.D.
- 12 INCLUDED IN THE BOARD PACKET INADVERTENTLY DELETED A SECTION
- 13 ON THE EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLAN IN THE DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS.
- 14 THAT SECTION SHOULD BE REINSERTED INTO THE C.S.D.. INCLUDE A
- 15 SINGLE UNIFORM PROCESS FOR RECORDING COMPLAINTS. ONE NEW
- 16 SECTION IS CREATED TO PRESCRIBE A UNIFORM PROCESS FOR
- 17 REPORTING COMPLAINTS. D.O.G.G.R. HAS MADE CLEAR THAT IT HAS
- 18 THE EXCLUSIVE REGULATORY PROVINCE TO DETERMINE WHETHER A WELL
- 19 SHOULD BE ABANDONED AND THE EXPRESSED CONCERN ABOUT THE
- 20 PROVISION REGARDING HEARINGS ON ULTIMATE SHUT DOWN OF THE
- 21 FACILITY WHEN DAILY PRODUCTION IS REDUCED TO A CERTAIN LEVEL.
- 22 IT IS ANTICIPATED THE COUNTY WILL INTERFACE WITH D.O.G.G.R.
- 23 THROUGH THE M.A.C. AND WILL UTILIZE AUTHORITY CREATED BY THE
- 24 C.S.D. TO REVIEW ALL IDLE WELLS AND REPORT TO D.O.G.G.R. ANY
- 25 WELLS THE COUNTY BELIEVES MAY MEET D.O.G.G.R.'S CRITERIA FOR



- 1 ABANDONMENT. THE WELL ABANDONMENT PROVISION OF THE C.S.D. WAS
- 2 MODIFIED AT THE COMMISSION LEVEL. PROVISION REGARDING
- 3 CONSIDERATION OF FACILITIES SHUT DOWN WHEN PRODUCTION
- 4 DECREASES TO A CERTAIN LEVEL SHOULD BE CHANGED TO REOUIRE
- 5 HEARING WHEN OUTPUT HAS BEEN REDUCED TO 630 BARRELS PER DAY
- 6 RATHER THAN 2,000 BARRELS PER DAY TO ADDRESS D.O.G.G.R.'S
- 7 CONCERN. ADD THE REVIEW REQUIREMENTS IN THE PERIODIC REVIEW
- 8 SECTION OF THE C.S.D. TO INCLUDE AT THE OPTION OF THE COUNTY
- 9 THE PUBLIC SURVEY ON VARIOUS QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES SIMILAR TO
- 10 THE SURVEY MY OFFICE IS FUNDING. ELIMINATE REDUNDANT
- 11 REQUIREMENTS FOR EQUIPMENT STORAGE. OIL FIELD WOULD BE
- 12 REQUIRED TO COMPLY WITH COUNTY CODE REQUIREMENTS FOR OUTDOOR
- 13 STORAGE WHICH WERE RESTATED IN THE C.S.D. REQUIRE PUBLIC
- 14 REVIEW OF AUDITOR-CONTROLLER REPORTS. THE C.S.D. SHOULD
- 15 INCLUDE A PROVISION OF THE COUNTY AUDITOR-CONTROLLER MAKES
- 16 AVAILABLE ITS REPORTS CONCERNING ADMINISTRATION OF DRAWDOWN
- 17 ACCOUNTS, ESTABLISHED TO IMPLEMENT AND ENFORCE THE ORDINANCE.
- 18 ONE OF THE THINGS I WAS SAYING TO YOU WAS THAT THEY HAVE TO
- 19 IMMEDIATELY DEPOSIT \$500,000 IN ORDER FOR SOME OF THESE THINGS
- 20 TO BE FUNDED.

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN I ASK A COUPLE QUESTIONS ON THIS? MR.
- 23 RUSCH, AS I UNDERSTAND THE PROPOSAL, IT WOULD BE AN AVERAGE OF
- 24 30 NEW WELLS A YEAR? IS THAT WHAT IT IS? BUT ON ANY GIVEN
- 25 YEAR, IT COULD BE AS HIGH AS 53?



1

2 STEVE RUSCH: CORRECT. THAT'S THE WAY I READ THIS.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S THE WAY I HEARD IT, BUT WHAT I HAD
- 5 THOUGHT WAS GOING TO HAPPEN, IT'S MY FAULT, I'M NOT BLAMING
- 6 ANYBODY ELSE, THE CONVERSATIONS WE'VE HAD WITH COMMUNITY FOLKS
- 7 AND MY STAFF HAS HAD WITH COMMUNITY FOLKS AND OTHERS WAS THAT
- 8 I WAS UNDER THE IMPRESSION THAT THERE WOULD BE 30 NEW. IT'S AN
- 9 AVERAGE OF 30 NEW, BUT THERE'S A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN-- YOU CAN
- 10 HAVE 10 IN ONE YEAR, 50 IN ANOTHER YEAR AND IT AVERAGES 30.

11

12 **STEVE RUSCH:** CORRECT.

13

14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: 45. 45 NEW, BUT REDRILLING OF 50.

15

- 16 STEVE RUSCH: THERE'S TWO CATEGORIES OF WELLS. AS I READ THIS
- 17 MOTION, IT WOULD BE A CAP AT 53 OF WHICH 45 COULD BE NEW
- 18 HOLES, BASICALLY NEW WELLS. THE OTHER BALANCE WOULD BE WHAT WE
- 19 CALL REDRILL WHERE YOU GO INTO AN EXISTING WELL AND REDO IT.

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND SO HOW DOES THE AVERAGE OF 30 WORK OUT?

22

- 23 STEVE RUSCH: WE WENT FROM 1,065 TO 600, ACCORDING TO THIS
- 24 MOTION, DIVIDED BY 20.



1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: 20 YEARS.

2

3 STEVE RUSCH: IS 30 WELLS AVERAGE PER YEAR.

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO IF YOU LOAD UP IN THE FIRST FEW YEARS
- 6 WITH 53 EACH YEAR, THEN IN THE OUT YEARS, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE
- 7 NEXT TO NOTHING.

- 9 STEVE RUSCH: OF COURSE THE FIRST YEAR COMMITMENT IN THIS
- 10 MOTION IS 24. SO THE 24-- A CAP OF 24 IN 2009, SO A SLOW RAMP-
- 11 UP AND CONDITIONS ARE SUCH THAT WE CAN FIND 53 WELLS TO DRILL
- 12 ECONOMICALLY, THEN THAT COULD HAPPEN IN 2010 AND CONTINUE ON.
- 13 ONE COMMENT I'D LIKE TO MAKE ABOUT THAT IS THAT THE E.I.R.
- 14 ACTUALLY MITIGATES ON A PEAK DAY OR PEAK YEAR 85 WELLS, THE
- 15 E.I.R. TOXIC SURVEY THAT WAS DONE CONFIRMED 53 WELLS A YEAR
- 16 WAS SATISFACTORY. IT WENT BELOW THE LEVELS, BUT IT COULD GO AS
- 17 HIGH AS 65. SO WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT HERE IN MY MIND, WE JUST
- 18 FUNDED A \$2 MILLION E.I.R. THAT WE ARE BEING ASKED TO MITIGATE
- 19 IN THIS HUNDRED-PAGE DOCUMENT PEAK CONDITIONS AND THIS MOTION
- 20 IS ACTUALLY LIMITING US GREATLY FROM WHAT WE'RE BEING REQUIRED
- 21 TO MITIGATE. IN ESSENCE, ALL OTHER OIL FIELDS IN CALIFORNIA,
- 22 I'M SURE THERE'S MANY IN YOUR DISTRICTS, THEY HAVE NO
- 23 REGULATIONS-- THE REGULATION THEY OPERATE UNDER IS TITLE 22,
- 24 WHICH WE WERE CURRENTLY OPERATING UNDER SO, WHAT WE'RE
- 25 AGREEING TO IN THE HUNDRED PAGES AND THEN AS MODIFIED BY THIS



- 1 MOTION, IS SIGNIFICANT. I MEAN, IT IS THE MOST STRINGENT
- 2 REGULATION IN THE U.S., BECAUSE WE OPERATE OFFSHORE U.S., WE
- 3 OPERATE ONSHORE U.S.. THIS IS SOMETHING TO STAND PROUD OF.
- 4 I'VE HEARD A LOT OF COMMENT TODAY ABOUT THE IMPACTS WHICH ARE
- 5 ADDRESSED IN THE E.I.R. THAT YOUR STAFF VERY ADEQUATELY
- 6 REVIEWED, AN E.I.R. THAT THEY PREPARED, P.X.P. DIDN'T PREPARE.
- 7 WE STARTED OUT WITH A 26-PAGE C.S.D. PROPOSAL. IT GOT
- 8 MODIFIED, AS WE EXPECTED, BY THE CONDITIONS OF THE E.I.R.
- 9 WHICH I THINK IT GREW TO 39 PAGES, AND AFTER SIX PUBLIC
- 10 HEARINGS AND MULTITUDES OF MEETINGS WITH THE PUBLIC, IT'S
- 11 GROWN TO 100 PAGES, AND I'VE STUCK BY THE PROCESS, I BELIEVE
- 12 IN THE PROCESS, AND WE'RE HERE TODAY WITH A WITH A MOTION--
- 13 I'D HAVE TO READ IT AGAIN-- WITH SOME MODIFICATIONS TO THE
- 14 C.S.D. BEFORE YOU THAT, AS I DISCUSSED WITH YOU, IF I READ
- 15 THROUGH IT AGAIN, THAT THE ANSWER BE "YES," WE WOULD COMMIT TO
- 16 ABIDE BY THE C.S.D. AS MODIFIED BY THIS MOTION.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I WANT TO JUST ASK A QUESTION OF THE
- 19 ATTORNEYS HERE FOR A SECOND. THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A C.S.D. IS
- 20 A ZONING ACTION. CORRECT? IT'S A ZONING ORDINANCE?

21

22 **ELAINE LEMKE:** YES, IT'S A ZONING ORDINANCE



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND A YEAR FROM NOW OR FIVE YEARS FROM NOW,
- 2 THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DECIDES IT WANTS TO AMEND THIS
- 3 ORDINANCE IN SOME FASHION. CAN IT DO SO?

4

- 5 ELAINE LEMKE: IT WOULD AMEND IT THE SAME WAY IT AMENDS ANY
- 6 OTHER ZONING ORDINANCE. YES, IT COULD.

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S WHAT I UNDERSTAND.

9

- 10 ELAINE LEMKE: UPON YOUR BOARD'S ACTION, IT WOULD HAVE TO BE
- 11 REVIEWED BY THE COMMISSION.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BY THE COMMISSION AND THE BOARD. IT'S NOT
- 14 EASY TO DO ANY MORE THAN IT'S BEEN EASY TO GET TO THIS POINT,
- 15 BUT IT'S DOABLE. I THINK ONE OF THE THINGS WE ALL OUGHT TO BE
- 16 AWARE OF, I WANTED TO BE AWARE OF IS THAT THIS IS NOT IN
- 17 CONCRETE FOR ALL TIME THAT IT DOESN'T HANDCUFF FUTURE BOARDS
- 18 OF SUPERVISORS IF THEY SHOULD DECIDE THEY WANT TO MAKE A
- 19 MODIFICATION OF SOME SORT OR THEY DISCOVER SOME NEXUS BETWEEN
- 20 THIS AND A DISEASE. I MEAN, WHATEVER.

- 22 STEVE RUSCH: IF I COULD MENTION ONE THING, TOO, SUPERVISOR, IS
- 23 THERE'S-- I THINK THERE'S OVER 30 PLANS THAT P.X.P. IS GOING
- 24 TO BE REQUIRED TO PUT TOGETHER, TO PREPARE. FOR INSTANCE, AN
- 25 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PLAN WHICH INCORPORATES AN



- 1 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITOR, AS WAS SAID, A PROACTIVE TYPE OF
- 2 ORDINANCE TO OVERSEE MY OPERATIONS, OUR OPERATIONS, AND THAT
- 3 OVERSIGHT AND THOSE PLANS CREATE, AGAIN, ONE OF THE MOST
- 4 SIGNIFICANT ORDINANCES EVER ACHIEVED. AND THE ONE POINT THAT I
- 5 WAS GOING TO MAKE WAS THAT THERE IS A PERIODIC REVIEW. IN
- 6 ADDITION TO THE NORMAL BOARD ABILITY TO CHANGE ORDINANCES, AS
- 7 LEGISLATIVE ACTIONS, THERE'S ACTUALLY A PERIODIC REVIEW EVERY
- 8 FIVE YEARS TO REVIEW ALL THE CONTENTS OF THE C.S.D. AND
- 9 ASCERTAIN WHETHER THE STANDARDS IN HERE ARE ADEQUATELY
- 10 MITIGATING THE IMPACTS. SO IN ADDITION TO THIS, THE NORMAL
- 11 COURSE OF THINGS, WE HAVE A 5-YEAR PERIODIC REVIEW, WE HAVE
- 12 ANNUAL MEETINGS, WE HAVE COMMUNITY ADVISORY PANEL, ANNUAL
- 13 NEWSLETTERS, AND THIS WILL BE--

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. THANKS. I WAS GOING TO RAISE THE
- 16 ISSUE ABOUT THE 5-YEAR ANNUAL REVIEW BECAUSE I THOUGHT THAT A
- 17 SHORTER PERIOD WOULD-- MIGHT BE MORE RATIONAL, TO WAIT FOR
- 18 FIVE YEARS UNTIL YOU GET TO THAT POINT, BUT WOULD YOU HAVE AN
- 19 OBJECTION TO A TWO-YEAR REVIEW?

- 21 STEVE RUSCH: THERE'S ALREADY A PROVISION THAT SHOULD THERE BE
- 22 ISSUES, WHICH IS WHAT I BELIEVE IT SHOULD BE PREDICATED ON,
- 23 NOT JUST AN ARBITRARY NUMBER, THAT IF THERE ARE MATERIAL
- 24 VIOLATIONS, THAT IT COULD BE NEXT YEAR, THE YEAR AFTER, SO
- 25 WE'RE STICKING WITH THE FIVE.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT YOU HAVE AN ARBITRARY NUMBER, WHICH IS
- 3 FIVE, AND I'M ASKING YOU IF YOU HAVE ANY SIGNIFICANT OBJECTION
- 4 TO HAVING THAT ARBITRARY NUMBER BE 2 OR 2 1/2?

5

6 STEVE RUSCH: YES, I THINK IT'S TOO SHORT.

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY IS IT TOO SHORT?

9

- 10 STEVE RUSCH: BECAUSE OF THE AMOUNT OF TIME IT'S GOING TO TAKE
- 11 TO GET OUR PLANS-- WE HAVE BETWEEN 180 DAYS AND 360, OR, SOME
- 12 CASES, TWO YEARS, SO WE'RE GOING TO BE TWO YEARS INTO IT
- 13 BEFORE WE EVEN KNOW HOW THE PLANS ARE BEING IMPLEMENTED SO,
- 14 IT'S FIVE YEARS REALISTICALLY BEFORE YOU HAVE SOME HISTORY
- 15 BEHIND YOU ON IMPLEMENTING THE 30 PLANS AND THE 50 ACTION
- 16 ITEMS I HAVE TO DO. SO IT'S MORE OF A PRACTICAL RATHER THAN
- 17 JUST ARBITRARY, IN MY MIND BECAUSE YOU ALLOW DATA TO BE
- 18 GATHERED, THE PROCESSES TO WORK, THE PROCESSES TO BE REVISED
- 19 BECAUSE WE HAVE TO GO THROUGH THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT, AGAIN,
- 20 TO GET ALL THESE PLANS APPROVED, AND IT'S GOING TO TAKE SOME
- 21 TIME-- THIS IS THE FIRST ORDINANCE LIKE THIS IN THE NUMBER OF
- 22 PLANS INVOLVED.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT IT'S NOT THE FIRST ORDINANCE WITH
- 25 CONDITIONS. WE DO THIS ALL THE TIME, AND WE DON'T DO A VERY



- 1 GOOD JOB OF IT, BUT MOST CITIES DO A MUCH BETTER JOB, BECAUSE
- 2 THEY ARE HELD MORE DIRECTLY ACCOUNTABLE BY THEIR
- 3 NEIGHBORHOODS, AND THEIR SMALLER JURISDICTIONS, SO THEY DON'T
- 4 FALL THROUGH THE CRACKS. I HONESTLY BELIEVE, MR. RUSCH, THAT
- 5 MAYBE TWO YEARS IS TOO SHORT IN THE FIRST INSTANCE. I DON'T
- 6 THINK IT'S THAT SHORT OF AN ONGOING BASIS, BUT MAYBE THREE
- 7 YEARS, THE FIRST THREE YEARS IT WOULD BE THE BENCHMARK AND
- 8 THEN EVERY 2 1/2 YEARS THEREAFTER. I JUST DON'T SEE, AND I
- 9 DON'T KNOW WHAT CONSTITUTES THE REVIEW, I DON'T KNOW WHAT A
- 10 REVIEW MEANS. IS IT ONE MEETING? IS THERE A BUNCH OF
- 11 CONSULTANTS HIRED TO SEE? IS ANY TOM, DICK OR HARRY WHO
- 12 COMPLAINS ABOUT SOMETHING, IS THAT A REVIEW? WHAT IS THE
- 13 REVIEW? GO AHEAD, MR. PEARSON.

- 15 JOHN PEARSON: SUPERVISOR, IT'S ON ITEM 7, THE PERIODIC REVIEW.
- 16 THERE IS A LIST OF REVIEW REQUIREMENTS AND IT TALKS ABOUT THAT
- 17 EACH REVIEW SHALL INCLUDE A REPORT BY HEARING OFFICER
- 18 DESIGNATED BY THE DIRECTOR WHICH SHALL BE PREPARED AFTER
- 19 PUBLIC NOTICE AND AN OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT, THE
- 20 REPORT WILL INCLUDE A COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS OF THE
- 21 EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS SECTION AND WILL REVIEW AND CONSIDER
- 22 ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITY, OPERATIONAL RECORDS AND ANY OTHER ISSUES
- 23 RELATED TO OIL OPERATION, AND IT GOES ON AND ON ABOUT MEETING
- 24 WITH THE C.A.P. AT PART OF THAT REVIEW PROCESS. BUT THE OTHER
- 25 THING I WANTED TO RAISE OUT IS, THERE IS A PROVISION FOR EARLY



- 1 REVIEWS. IT SAYS AT THE DISCRETION OF THE DIRECTOR, REVIEWS OF
- 2 THIS SECTION MAY BE CONDUCTED MORE FREQUENTLY THAN EVERY FIVE
- 3 YEARS. WITHOUT LIMITING SUCH DISCRETION, THE DIRECTOR SHALL
- 4 CONSIDER WHETHER AN EARLY REVIEW SHOULD BE UNDERTAKEN IF MORE
- 5 THAN THREE MATERIAL VIOLATIONS OCCUR WITHIN ANY 12-MONTH
- 6 PERIOD.

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M GOING TO SUGGEST THAT A THREE-YEAR-- I
- 9 THINK THAT'S A-- I THINK IT'S JUST A CONFIDENCE-BUILDING KIND
- 10 OF THING. IF I WAS A RESIDENT OF THIS NEIGHBORHOOD, I WOULD
- 11 BE-- YOU WOULDN'T WANT ME TO HAVE A MORE FREQUENT PERIODIC-- I
- 12 THINK A THREE-YEAR-- EVERY THREE-YEAR REVIEW OR ANALYSIS OF
- 13 THIS KIND DOES NOT SOUND UNREASONABLE. DIRECTOR OF PLANNING
- 14 CAN ALWAYS RAISE IT. ANY COUNTY SUPERVISOR CAN ALWAYS RAISE
- 15 IT. ANY CITY CAN START A REVOCATION PROCEEDING, I GUESS. I
- 16 DON'T KNOW HOW IT WORKS WITH THE C.S.D., BUT CERTAINLY IF IT
- 17 WAS A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT, IT COULD INITIATE SOMETHING, BUT
- 18 I THINK SOME KIND OF A FORMALIZED PROCESS, I WOULD ENCOURAGE
- 19 YOU TO CONSIDER A THREE-YEAR. SECOND TO LAST THING I WANT TO
- 20 SAY, MADAME CHAIR, IS I'D LIKE TO TO AMEND YOUR MOTION TO
- 21 INCLUDE PROVISIONS THAT THEY WOULD AGREE, AS MR. RUSCH HAS
- 22 AGREED, ASSUMING AFTER IT'S ALL DONE THAT HE STILL AGREES
- 23 BASED ON THE CONDITIONS THAT HE WILL NOT APPLY-- HE WILL NOT
- 24 APPLY FOR A PERMIT FROM D.O.G.G.R. UNTIL AFTER THE E.I.R.--
- 25 I'M SORRY, UNTIL AFTER THE C.S.D. IS EFFECTIVE, WHICH WOULD BE



- 1 30 DAYS AFTER WE ACT-- IF WE ACT NEXT WEEK. SECONDLY, THAT HE
- 2 WOULD ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE COUNTY AS A CONDITION
- 3 OF ALL OF THIS, THAT HE WILL COMPLY WITH THE C.S.D. AND NOT
- 4 SUE US, NOT CHALLENGE THE C.S.D., AND THAT HE WILL NOT FOLLOW
- 5 AN APPLICATION WITH D.O.G.G.R. UNTIL AFTER THE C.S.D. IS IN
- 6 EFFECT AND UNTIL THEY HAVE SIGNED SUCH AN AGREEMENT ON THE
- 7 BROADER ISSUE. ASSUMING YOU WOULD AGREE TO ALL THE PROVISIONS
- 8 OF THE-- ALL THE CONDITIONS HERE, I WOULD SAY THAT WHEN YOU
- 9 COME BACK NEXT WEEK, THAT YOU COME BACK WITH THOSE KINDS OF
- 10 AGREEMENTS. I WOULD MOVE THAT THE FIVE YEARS BE MODIFIED TO
- 11 THREE YEARS ON THE REVIEW.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL ACCEPT THAT. DO YOU HAVE
- 14 QUESTIONS?

15

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S FINE. BUT MR. BURGER, I HAVE A QUESTION
- 17 FOR YOU. ON THIS, YOU CAPPED IT AT 600 WELLS OVER THE NEXT 30
- 18 YEARS.

19

- 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: 20 YEARS. AVERAGE OF 30 WELLS, AVERAGE OF
- 21 30 WELLS.

22

- 23 SUP. MOLINA: OVER THE NEXT 20 YEARS, RIGHT. DOES THIS RETIRE
- 24 ANY WELLS AT ALL?



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES. WHAT I SAID, MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT
- 2 THEY ABANDON APPROXIMATELY 9 WELLS WHICH IS HISTORICALLY WHAT
- 3 THEY DO ABOUT NINE WELLS PER YEAR.

4

5 SUP. MOLINA: BUT IT'S NOT IN THIS

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE HAVE BEEN TOLD BY D.O.G.G.R., I HAVE THE
- 8 LETTER, THAT THEY HAVE EXCLUSIVE JURISDICTION OVER
- 9 ABANDONMENT. WHEN WE WERE PUTTING THAT IN, THEY OBJECTED TO
- 10 THAT.

11

12 SUP. MOLINA: WHY?

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THE STATE SAYS THEY HAVE TOTAL
- 15 JURISDICTION.

16

- 17 SUP. MOLINA: WHY? CAN'T WE MAKE AN ARRANGEMENT WITH THEM? WHY
- 18 SHOULD THEY HAVE JURISDICTION OVER IT? FOR EVERY ONE THEY PUT
- 19 UP, THEY MIGHT RETIRE SOME.

20

- 21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, THEY CAN INDICATE WHAT THEIR
- 22 INTENTION IS IN TERMS OF RETIREMENT.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: AND DO YOU ABANDON WELLS? IS THAT WHAT YOU DO? IS
- 2 THAT HOW YOU DO IT, OR DO YOU RETIRE THEM? IS THAT A TERM THAT
- 3 MAYBE GOES ON IN THE LANGUAGE, YOU ABANDON A WELL?

4

- 5 STEVE RUSCH: WE CALL IT P&A, OR PLUG AND ABANDONMENT, IS A
- 6 DIVISION OF OIL AND GAS, AND GEOTHERMAL RESERVES.

7

8 SUP. MOLINA: SO WE CANNOT CREATE ANY. WHY NOT?

9

10 STEVE RUSCH: I DEFER TO COUNSEL.

11

- 12 ELAINE LEMKE: I'VE ACTUALLY TOOK A LOOK AT D.O.G.G.R.'S LETTER
- 13 AND I CONCUR WITH THEIR CONCLUSIONS, THAT THEY HAVE
- 14 JURISDICTION OVER ABANDONMENT OF WELLS. WE CANNOT DIRECT
- 15 P.X.P. TO ABANDON A CERTAIN NUMBER OF WELLS.

16

- 17 SUP. MOLINA: WHY WOULD THEY HAVE THAT JURISDICTION AND WE
- 18 WOULD HAVE THE JURISDICTION TO DECIDE IF WE COULD MAKE--
- 19 CREATE MORE WELLS?

- 21 ELAINE LEMKE: IT'S UNDER THE PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE. BASICALLY,
- 22 THE WAY THE JURISDICTION HAS DIVIDED IT IS THAT WITH RESPECT
- 23 TO WHAT HAPPENS UNDERGROUND AND HOW A WELL IS DRILLED AND HOW
- 24 IT'S ABANDONED, THAT THAT JURISDICTION FALLS SOLELY WITH
- 25 D.O.G.G.R..



1

- 2 SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S A PROCESS OF ABANDONMENT. IT DOESN'T DEAL
- 3 WITH A NUMBER.

4

- 5 ELAINE LEMKE: AND D.O.G.G.R.'S DECISION ON THAT IS THAT IF YOU
- 6 SOMEHOW TRY TO REQUIRE ABANDONMENTS THROUGH SOME OTHER FORM,
- 7 THAT THAT'S AN END RUN AROUND THE STATE, THE STATE'S
- 8 DELEGATION OF THE AUTHORITY TO THEM AS HAVING JURISDICTION
- 9 OVER THAT PROCESS.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE REALLY DON'T HAVE JURISDICTION IN TERMS
- 12 OF THE NEW WELLS, BUT WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO SET A PROCESS
- 13 AND-- BUT ON ABANDONMENT, YOU SEE THE LETTER THERE, YOU CAN
- 14 TAKE A LOOK AT IT.

15

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: I GUESS WHAT I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND, SO YOU'RE
- 17 SAYING ON AVERAGE, ON AVERAGE THEY RETIRE ABOUT NINE WELLS A
- 18 YEAR. IS THAT --

19

20 STEVE RUSCH: 7 TO 8 ON AVERAGE.

21

22 SUP. MOLINA: ON AVERAGE, 7.

- 24 STEVE RUSCH: 7 TO 8, CORRECT. ONE POINT ON WELL ABANDONMENTS
- 25 IS TYPICALLY YOU ABANDON A WELL, IT'S SO COSTLY TO FIX THE



- 1 MECHANICAL PROBLEM THAT IT HAS OR TO REDRILL THE WELL THAT YOU
- 2 PLUG AND ABANDONMENT. HOWEVER, HAVING A RESERVE OF WELLS THAT
- 3 WE CALL IDLE, WHICH THERE'S A WHOLE DEFINITION OF IDLE WELLS,
- 4 TO TAP, TO DRILL INTO RATHER THAN CREATING A NEW WELL, YOU
- 5 REDUCE THE NUMBER OF NEW HOLES, NEW DISTURBANCES THAT YOU NEED
- 6 TO MAKE. A COMMENT ON THE 53 AND THE 45 AND THE CAP NUMBERS
- 7 ARE, 30-YEAR AVERAGES IS THE LESS WELLS WE CAN DRILL A YEAR,
- 8 THE LONGER IT'S GOING TO TAKE TO RETIRE THE OIL FIELD, SO
- 9 THERE'S TWO _____. BUT WE WOULD PROPOSE THAT-- WE DEFER TO
- 10 D.O.G.G.R. ON THE P&AS AND IT'S SOMETHING THAT ACTUALLY IS
- 11 PART OF THE ANNUAL DRILLING PLAN WE WILL HAVE TO SUBMIT IS, AS
- 12 YOU'RE SAYING, WHAT IS OUR PLANS FOR ABANDONING THE WELL,
- 13 WHERE, HOW, HOW ARE WE GOING TO CONSOLIDATE?

14

15 SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S GOING TO BE DRAWN UP IN THE NEXT YEAR.

16

- 17 STEVE RUSCH: THAT'S EVERY YEAR WE HAVE TO SUBMIT AN ANNUAL
- 18 DRILLING PLAN WHICH I BELIEVE WILL ADDRESS WHAT YOU'RE GETTING
- 19 AT.

20

- 21 SUP. MOLINA: AND EVERY NEW WELL IS GOING TO HAVE THE WHOLE NEW
- 22 MITIGATION ASPECTS OF IT AS FAR AS TECHNOLOGY AND THINGS OF
- 23 THAT SORT. RIGHT?



1 STEVE RUSCH: PART OF THE C.S.D. IS APPLYING THE TECHNOLOGY

2 THAT'S OUTLINED IN IT, YES.

3

4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND IF THERE'S NEW TECHNOLOGY, THEY WOULD

5 HAVE TO TAKE THE NEW TECHNOLOGY AS >FAR AS AIR EMISSIONS.

6

7 STEVE RUSCH: RIGHT. WE'RE USING THE STATE OF THE ART AIR

8 EMISSIONS ON EQUIPMENT THAT ONLY BY VIRTUE HAS BECOME A C.S.D.

9 WILL NEED TO COMPLY WITH. IF I MAY, I STILL TAKE EXCEPTION TO

10 THE THREE YEARS, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, BECAUSE I JUST DON'T

11 THINK IT'S PRACTICAL AT THIS POINT, HAVING GONE THROUGH THESE

12 PERMITS AND OTHER JURISDICTION WHERE IT TAKES-- WE'VE GOT 30

13 PLANS THAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO RUN THROUGH. WE HAVE AN

14 IMPLEMENTATION PLAN FOR THE PLANS THAT HAS TO BE DEVELOPED,

15 AND I BELIEVE THAT FOR THE FIVE YEARS IS MORE THAN ADEQUATE TO

16 GET US THROUGH THAT PERIOD, THAT SHAKEOUT PERIOD, AND I DO NOT

17 BELIEVE-- BECAUSE OTHERWISE WE ARE IN PERPETUAL REVIEW BY THE

18 TIME YOU DO THE STUDY, GET IT BEFORE THE PLANNING DIRECTOR,

19 IT'S JUST NOT A LONG ENOUGH TIME FRAME. WITH ALL THE OTHER

20 THINGS THAT ARE IN THIS DOCUMENT, WITH THE OVERSIGHT, WITH THE

21 ENFORCEMENT, MANDATORY FI-- THE LIST GOES ON AND ON AND ON,

22 WE'LL KNOW IF THERE'S AN ISSUE LONG BEFORE FIVE YEARS COMES

23 UP, GUARANTEE, AND LIKE YOU SAY, YOU ALREADY HAVE THE ABILITY

24 AS A BOARD TO CHANGE THE ORDINANCE.



```
SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, LET ME ASK YOU THIS. THERE'S GOING TO
1
    BE A HEALTH ASSESSMENT DONE. IS THAT CORRECT?
2
3
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES, YES.
4
5
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND WHEN IS THAT SUPPOSED TO BE DONE? IN THE
6
7
    FIRST 18 MONTHS? ANYBODY KNOW? OCTOBER 2010? THAT'S TWO YEARS
8
    FROM NOW. THAT'S WHEN IT'S SUPPOSED TO BE COMPLETED?
9
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: RIGHT.
10
11
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DONE. SO WHEN DOES IT COMMENCE? HOW LONG
12
    DOES IT TAKE TO DO A HEALTH ASSESSMENT?
13
14
15
    SPEAKER: (OFF-MIC.)
16
    SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S THE CHOICE YOU HAVE. RIGHT? YOU CAN MAKE
17
18
    IT TIGHTER NOW?
19
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT'S THAT?
20
21
22
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: (OFF-MIC.).
23
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT IS THE HEALTH ASSESSMENT? IS IT A
24
25
    TELEPHONE SURVEY? WHAT IS THE HEALTH ASSESSMENT?
```



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, THERE'S THE ASSESSMENT THAT'S BEEN
- 3 MADE IN TERMS OF THE E.I.R. BUT WHAT WAS REQUESTED WAS A
- 4 SURVEY OF THE COMMUNITY TO DETERMINE HEALTH ISSUES.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I SEE. SO JUST A SURVEY OF PEOPLE WHO LIVE
- 7 IN THE SPHERE OF INFLUENCE OF THE OIL FIELDS.

8

9 ELAINE LEMKE: I THINK DR. SIMON CAN--

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY.

12

- 13 DR. NEIL SIMON: WHAT I HAD COSTED OUT WAS A TELEPHONE SURVEY
- 14 THAT WOULD BE DONE AND THAT WOULD ALLOW FOR A LARGE ENOUGH
- 15 SAMPLE, A THOUSAND, AND IT WOULD BE A REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLE SO
- 16 THAT THE RESULTS WOULD BE SOMEWHAT REFLECTIVE OF HOW THE
- 17 GENERAL COMMUNITY FEELS.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT IS THE NATURE OF THE SURVEY? YOU CALL
- 20 SOMEBODY UP. I SAY "HELLO," AND WHAT DO YOU SAY? "HOW ARE YOU
- 21 FEELING?: I DON'T MEAN TO BE FACETIOUS, BUT WHAT ARE YOU
- 22 ASKING ME?

- 24 DR. PAUL SIMON: I THINK THAT WE WOULD WANT TO TALK WITH THE
- 25 C.A.P. AND WITH OTHERS ABOUT WHAT THE CONTENT COULD BE. WE



- 1 HAVE SOME FLEXIBILITY. MY PRIORITIES WOULD BE TO ASSESS THINGS
- 2 LIKE NOISE AND ODORS AND PEOPLE'S LEVELS OF CONCERN ABOUT THE
- 3 OPERATIONS, PEOPLE'S PERCEPTIONS OF THE LEVEL OF
- 4 COMMUNICATION.

5

6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S NOT A HEALTH ASSESSMENT.

7

- 8 DR. PAUL SIMON: I'VE NOT CALLED IT A HEALTH STUDY. IN THE
- 9 MOTION IT'S CALLED A QUALITY OF LIFE SURVEY. WE WOULD INCLUDE
- 10 SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT HEALTH, BUT I JUST WANT TO CAUTION PEOPLE
- 11 THAT WE'RE NOT GOING TO GET HEALTH STATISTICS THAT THEN WILL
- 12 ALLOW US TO ATTRIBUTE CERTAIN ILLNESSES TO THE OPERATIONS.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. THAT CLARIFIES IT. BUT A QUALITY OF
- 15 LIFE STUDY-- IF YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE THAT OUALITY OF LIFE
- 16 STUDY DONE BY OCTOBER 2010, TWO YEARS FROM NOW, THEN I DON'T
- 17 UNDERSTAND WHY YOU WOULDN'T AGREE TO A TWO-YEAR. LET THAT BE
- 18 THE TRIGGER, OR LET'S SAY IT'S DIFFERENT THAN THE 5-YEAR
- 19 REVIEW? YOU HAVE YOUR 5-YEAR REVIEW, BUT LET'S SAY THAT ON OR
- 20 BEFORE OCTOBER 10TH-- OCTOBER 2010, THAT THIS QUALITY OF LIFE
- 21 SURVEY SHOULD BE DONE AS A CONDITION OF THIS THING AND THAT
- 22 THAT REPORT BE BROUGHT TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AT THAT
- 23 TIME, AND HAVE A-- THE NEXT SUPERVISOR--

24

25 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: (OFF-MIC.).



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M TRYING TO FIND MIDDLE GROUND. HE DOESN'T
- 3 WANT TO DO THE FIVE-YEAR REVIEW AND I THINK I UNDERSTAND,
- 4 AFTER LISTENING TO WHAT IT IS, IT IS EXTENSIVE AND MAYBE TWO
- 5 OR THREE YEARS IS TOO-- IS TOO MUCH OF A STRETCH, BUT IF I
- 6 LIVED IN THIS NEIGHBORHOOD, I WOULDN'T WANT TO HAVE TO DEPEND
- 7 ON THE DIRECTOR OF PLANNING OR THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO
- 8 BRING THAT ISSUE HERE FOR FIVE YEARS. THEY DON'T KNOW WHAT'S
- 9 COMING, OR MAYBE THEY DO KNOW WHAT'S COMING. NONE OF US KNOW
- 10 EXACTLY WHAT'S COMING, SO IF YOU GIVE THEM SOME VEHICLE AND
- 11 GIVE US-- I SPEAK FOR MYSELF, I WANT A VEHICLE TO BE ABLE TO
- 12 ASSESS THIS IN A SHORTER PERIOD THAN FIVE YEARS, MAYBE THIS IS
- 13 THE WAY THE QUALITY OF LIFE SURVEY COMES TO US AND WE CAN HAVE
- 14 A STATUS HEARING OF SORTS.

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND YOU WOULD WANT THAT TO COME IMMEDIATELY
- 17 AFTER THE SURVEY, AFTER THE ASSESSMENT?

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LET'S SAY WITHIN 60 DAYS OF THE COMPLETION
- 20 OF THE SURVEY, BUT IN NO CASE LATER THAN DECEMBER 2010.

21

22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WAIT. DECEMBER 2010.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT'S WHAT MR. BULKY JUST SAID, IN 2010 IT
- 25 WILL BE COMPLETED, SO I'M GIVING YOU AN EXTRA 60 DAYS.



1

- 2 DR. PAUL SIMON: LET ME JUST CLARIFY, WHAT I HAD HEARD, WHAT I
- 3 WAS TOLD WAS THAT WE WOULD INITIATE THE SURVEY IN OCTOBER 2010
- 4 BECAUSE THE FUNDING WOULD BE PUT INTO OUR 2010--

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE CAN PUT-- THE FUNDING CAN BE AVAILABLE
- 7 FOR ANY DATE THAT YOU WANT TO START.

8

- 9 DR. PAUL SIMON: I WAS JUST TOLD BY OUR CONTRACTOR THAT IT
- 10 WOULD BE A THREE TO FOUR-MONTH PROCESS COLLECTING THE DATA AND
- 11 THEN ANALYZING THE DATA.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I HAD HEARD THAT IT WAS GOING TO BE
- 14 COMPLETED IN 18 MONTHS, SO MAYBE PUTTING ALL THIS
- 15 MISINFORMATION TOGETHER, I THINK WE HAVE A PLAN. MAYBE THE
- 16 IDEA WAS THAT IT WOULD COMMENCE IN 18 MONTHS AND IT WOULD BE
- 17 DONE WITHIN 24 MONTHS AND I THINK THAT GIVES THEM PLENTY OF
- 18 TIME

19

20 STEVE RUSCH: AS AN ALTERNATIVE.

21

22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WHY DON'T YOU LEAVE IT AS IT IS.

- 24 STEVE RUSCH: GO AHEAD. TO ME, THE SIMPLER SOLUTION, THERE'S
- 25 SOMETHING THE DIRECTOR WOULD HAVE TO DO, BUT IF YOU MAKE IT NO



- 1 SOONER THAN THREE YEARS, THEN IF THERE'S SO MUCH GOING ON THAT
- 2 THREE YEARS DOESN'T MAKE SENSE TO EVERYBODY THAT'S INVOLVED IN
- 3 THIS PROCESS, THEN MAYBE IT'S THE 3 1/2 YEARS, FOUR YEARS,
- 4 FIVE, I DON'T KNOW. JUST AS A WAY TO ADDRESS YOUR ISSUE OF
- 5 SOMETHING A LITTLE BIT SOONER BUT OFFER SOME LATITUDE THAT IF
- 6 WE END UP IN A SITUATION THREE YEARS FROM NOW AND WE DON'T
- 7 HAVE ENOUGH DATA TO MAKE A COLLECTIVE ASSESSMENT--

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S FINE. LET ME JUST ASK YOU THE
- 10 QUESTION. DO YOU HAVE A PROBLEM WITH HAVING THE QUALITY OF
- 11 LIFE ASSESSMENT DONE BY OCTOBER 2010, COMMENCED 18 MONTHS FROM
- 12 NOW AND COMPLETED IN OCTOBER 2010 AND HAVING IT BROUGHT TO THE
- 13 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WITHIN 60 DAYS THEREAFTER FOR A HEARING,
- 14 FOR A REPORT? I WOULDN'T CALL IT A HEARING. A REPORT TO THE
- 15 BOARD.

16

17 STEVE RUSCH: WHICH HEALTH SURVEY ARE WE TALKING ABOUT.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M TALKING ABOUT QUALITY OF LIFE SURVEY.
- 20 THE ONE HE WAS JUST TALKING ABOUT.

21

22 STEVE RUSCH: WHICH IS THE ONE THAT COUNTY AGREED TO DO OR--

23

24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THE COUNTY AGREED TO DO.



- 1 STEVE RUSCH: I'M NOT SURE I CAN TELL THE COUNTY WHAT TO DO ON
- 2 THAT HEALTH SURVEY.

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S FINE.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: COME BACK NO LATER THAN 60 DAYS AFTER IT'S
- 7 COMPLETED IN OCTOBER 2010.

8

9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S FINE. SO THAT WOULD--

10

11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THAT'S THREE MONTHS.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT WOULD COMMENCE IN 18 MONTHS. YOU'D HAVE
- 14 TO GET IT TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS BY THE END OF NOVEMBER,
- 15 BEGINNING OF DECEMBER 2010. THAT'S WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT.
- 16 IT'S ESSENTIALLY TWO YEARS FROM NOW PLUS A COUPLE OF MONTHS ON
- 17 THE QUALITY OF LIFE. IT GIVES EVERYBODY SOMETHING TO BE POINT
- 18 TO, EVERYBODY WILL HAVE ALL THEIR ANECDOTAL AND OTHER
- 19 INFORMATION, AND IF THERE'S SOME BIG ISSUE, THAT WILL BE THE
- 20 TIME THAT IT WILL GET HEARD, AND THEN YOU CAN TAKE IT FROM
- 21 THERE, BUT I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT NOT TO WAIT FIVE YEARS.
- 22 OKAY. SO I WOULD ASK--

23

24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THAT'S YOUR AMENDMENT?

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S ONE OF THEM.



2 3 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND WHAT'S THE OTHER? 4 5 STEVE RUSCH: TAKE BACK THE FIVE YEARS? 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU SAID NO SOONER THAN THREE YEARS, OKAY, 7 8 SO--9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NO SOONER THAN--10 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ON THE TOTAL REVIEW, AND THAT WOULD BE AT 12 THE DISCRETION OF THE BOARD, I WOULD THINK. 13 14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THERE'S ALSO A PERSON WHO IS GOING TO BE 15 16 APPOINTED, AN INDEPENDENT MONITOR TO MONITOR ALL OF THIS.

22

17

18

19

20

21

- 23 ELAINE LEMKE: I THINK I DO. I WAS GOING TO ASK BEFORE WE MOVED
- 24 ON THIS TO HAVE IT RESTATED SO THAT, UNLESS THE CLERK HAS--

ME TO ASK YOU, BUT YOU KNOW WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT.

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT WE ARE TALKING NOW ABOUT THAT ONE

REVIEW. WE DON'T HAVE TO DRAFT THE ACTUAL WORDING NOW, WE'LL

HAVE THAT NEXT WEEK, BUT YOU GET THE IDEA. STUPID QUESTION OF



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THERE WOULD BE A
- 2 QUALITY OF LIFE ASSESSMENT THAT WOULD BE COMMENCED OCTOBER
- 3 2010--

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, COMMENCED 18 MONTHS FROM THE EFFECTIVE
- 6 DATE OF THE ORDINANCE, SO THAT WOULD BE ABOUT MAY OF 2010.

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND WOULD BE REPORTED TO THE BOARD WITHIN
- 9 60 DAYS OF THE COMPLETION OF THE REPORT, AND IN NO EVENT LATER
- 10 THAN --

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DECEMBER 1ST OF 2010.

13

14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IS THAT CORRECT?

15

16 **ELAINE LEMKE:** NOW I'VE GOT IT.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. THE SECOND THING IS THAT THE REVIEW,
- 19 THE COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW THAT IS NOW A FIVE-YEAR DEAL WOULD
- 20 HAPPEN NO SOONER THAN THREE YEARS. NOW, I DON'T KNOW WHAT
- 21 WOULD TRIGGER IT, WHETHER IT WOULD BE THE DIRECTOR OR-- DO YOU
- 22 HAVE ANY IDEAS?



- 1 ELAINE LEMKE: MY SUGGESTION IS IF YOU WANT ONE TO OCCUR, NOT
- 2 ONLY TO SAY NO SOONER THAN THREE YEARS, BUT NO LATER THAN-- NO
- 3 LATER THAN A CERTAIN PERIOD OF TIME.

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. LET'S DO THAT. BUT IT WOULD BE
- 6 THE COUNTY'S CALL, NOT THE OIL COMPANY'S CALL. AND THEN THE
- 7 OTHER TWO, DID YOU GET THE CONDITIONS THAT MRS. BURKE IS OKAY
- 8 WITH, THAT THEY WOULD AGREE TO LIVE BY THE C.S.D., THAT THEY
- 9 WOULD NOT SUE US AND CHALLENGE THE C.S.D. AND THAT THEY WOULD
- 10 AGREE BETWEEN NOW AND NEXT WEEK NOT TO APPLY TO D.O.G.G.R.
- 11 UNTIL THIS THING IS EFFECTIVE.

12

13 **ELAINE LEMKE:** I UNDERSTAND.

14

15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY.

- 17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WITH THAT I MOVE IT. DO WE HAVE
- 18 A SECOND? SECONDED? IS THERE OBJECTION? NO OBJECTION? SO
- 19 ORDERED. I'D LIKE TO TAKE A MOMENT TO SAY I THINK IT'S ONLY
- 20 APPROPRIATE THAT I TAKE A MOMENT TO THANK THE MULTITUDE OF
- 21 PEOPLE WHO HAVE WORKED SO HARD TO BRING THE C.S.D. EFFORTS TO
- 22 FRUITION. FIRST I NEED TO THANK OUR PLANNING STAFF, DR.
- 23 RUSSELL FRICANO, HIS SUPERVISOR, ROSE HAMILTON, AND THEIR
- 24 STAFF, SUSANNAH FRANKLE ROGAN, ELIZABETH SINCLAIR, VERONICA
- 25 SYRAZONIAN AND PAUL MCCARTHY. THEN THERE'S JOHN PEARSON AND



- 1 HIS STAFF, AND I WANT TO SAY THAT HE HAS SPENT HOURS AND HOURS
- 2 AND HOURS WORKING ON THIS. HE IS A RENOWNED EXPERT, HE'S
- 3 WORKED ON SANTA BARBARA, HE'S WORKED ON ALL THE OFFSHORE, HE'S
- 4 WORKED ON ALL THE NUCLEAR PLANTS AND WE CERTAINLY APPRECIATE
- 5 HIS EXPERTISE AND THAT YOU WOULD BE WILLING TO SPEND THIS KIND
- 6 OF TIME AND WORK ON THIS. I HOPE THAT YOU'RE COMMITTED THAT
- 7 YOU MIGHT STAY AROUND AND KEEP LOOKING AT IT. I ALSO WANT TO
- 8 THANK THE STAFF OF PUBLIC WORKS, FIRE, PUBLIC HEALTH AND
- 9 COUNTY COUNSEL, ELAINE, I WANT TO THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THE
- 10 COUNTLESS HOURS THAT YOU PUT IN. I DON'T THINK ANYBODY
- 11 RECOGNIZES HOW MANY HOURS IT HAS TAKEN TO PUT THIS TOGETHER,
- 12 AND ADDITIONALLY I WANT TO EXTEND MY GRATITUDE TO THE
- 13 COMMITMENT AND HARD WORK FROM MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY, THE
- 14 GREATER BALDWIN HILLS ALLIANCE, WHO HAS SPENT HOURS ALSO. KEN
- 15 KUTCHER AND JOHN KUECHLE, BOTH OF WHOM SPENT HUNDREDS OF HOURS
- 16 REVIEWING DOCUMENTS, MEETING WITH STAFF AND SUBMITTING
- 17 RECOMMENDATIONS. AND I WANT TO THANK MIKE BULKY OF MY STAFF
- 18 FOR ALL OF HIS HOURS AND TIME AND I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR
- 19 WORKING WITH US THAT, IN THE LONG RUN, IT'S GOING TO BE BETTER
- 20 FOR EVERYONE. THANK YOU.

21

23

22 STEVE RUSCH: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR.

- 24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE HAVE A LACERA SET
- 25 MATTER THAT WAS SET FOR 11:00 THIS MORNING.



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: COULD WE DO THE PROP 1-A? NUMBER 3? 2 3 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ON ITEM NUMBER 3, WHAT DO WE HAVE ON THAT? 4 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YOU HAD FOUR PEOPLE THAT HAD SIGNED UP. 6 7 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OH, THAT'S RIGHT. ITEM NUMBER 3. COULD WE HAVE THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE SIGNED UP? VALARIE MARTINEZ, DAN 9 TEMPELIS, ARNOLD SACHS, TIM GABRIEL AND DAMIEN GOODMAN. PLEASE 10 11 COME FORWARD. 12 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT ITEM IS THIS ON? 14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THIS IS ON THE --15 16 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: HOW MANY MINUTES PER SPEAKER? 17 18 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOU'VE WAITED SO LONG, TWO MINUTES PER PERSON. WHAT DID I DO WITH IT? YOU HAVE MY E.I.R.. I GAVE 20 GLORIA MY D.O.G.G.R. LETTER. MY E.I.R. IS NOT IN THERE? WAIT A 21 22 MINUTE. WHAT DID I DO WITH IT? OH, HERE IT IS. PLEASE STATE

24

23

YOUR NAME.



- 1 VALARIE MARTINEZ: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. MY NAME IS VALARIE
- 2 MARTINEZ AND I'M HERE REPRESENTING THE CALIFORNIA HIGH SPEED
- 3 RAIL AUTHORITY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY TO
- 4 ADDRESS YOU. THE HIGH SPEED TRAIN SYSTEM IS, MIND YOU, WE'RE
- 5 MISSING A COUPLE OF PEOPLE SO I'M GOING TO TRY TO CONSOLIDATE
- 6 A FEW DIFFERENT. I TOTALLY UNDERSTAND AND I NOW FEEL THAT I'M
- 7 AN EXPERT ON OIL DRILLING, SO THANK YOU FOR THAT. THE HIGH
- 8 SPEED RAIL IS STATEWIDE 800-MILE SYSTEM THAT IS STEEL-ON-STEEL
- 9 TRAVELING AT SPEEDS OF 220 MILES PER HOUR AT ITS GREATEST
- 10 SPEEDS. IT WILL CONNECT THE CITY'S URBAN CENTERS INCLUDING SAN
- 11 FRANCISCO, SACRAMENTO, LOS ANGELES, RIVERSIDE, SAN DIEGO AND
- 12 ORANGE COUNTY. THE FINANCIAL PLAN THAT HAS BEEN DEVELOPED TO
- 13 HELP PAY FOR THE HIGH SPEED RAIL SYSTEM INCLUDES PROPOSITION
- 14 1-A WHICH IS ON THE BALLOT. THE RESOLUTION BEFORE YOU
- 15 ADDRESSES PROPOSITION 1-A. THE HIGH SPEED TRAIN SYSTEM IS THE
- 16 ECONOMIC STIMULUS PACKAGE CALIFORNIA NEEDS TO JUMP-START OUR
- 17 ECONOMY. AN ECONOMIC ANALYSIS ON THE IMPACT OF THE CALIFORNIA
- 18 HIGH SPEED TRAIN SYSTEM IN LOS ANGELES HAS DETERMINED THAT THE
- 19 PROJECT WILL BE AN IMMEDIATE STIMULUS TO THE ECONOMY AND ADD 2
- 20 TO 4 PERCENT TO THE REGION'S ECONOMIC GROWTH NOW AND INTO THE
- 21 FUTURE. THE INCREASE ALONE WILL BE MORE THAN THE ENTIRE G.D.P.
- 22 OF 20 CALIFORNIA COUNTIES AND WILL LAST THROUGHOUT THE
- 23 OPERATING LIFETIME OF THE H.S.R. SYSTEM. THE PROJECT'S
- 24 REGIONAL ECONOMIC MOBILITY AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS ARE
- 25 OUTLINED IN THE RECENTLY COMPLETED STUDY, UNLOCKING THE



- 1 GRIDLOCK IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY'S TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM, THE
- 2 LOCAL ECONOMIC BENEFITS OF HIGH SPEED RAIL. BY DR. PHILLIP
- 3 ROMERO DEAN, A PROFESSOR OF ECONOMICS AT CAL. STATE L.A.. DR.
- 4 ROMERO'S FINDINGS ILLUSTRATE THE POTENTIAL REGIONAL IMPACT OF
- 5 PROP 1-A ON THE NOVEMBER BALLOT WHICH WOULD PROVIDE FUNDING TO
- 6 START CONSTRUCTION OF A HIGH SPEED TRAIN SYSTEM AS A NEW
- 7 TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVE TO EXPANDING FREEWAYS AND AIRPORTS.
- 8 NOT ONLY WILL THE HIGH SPEED TRADE SYSTEM ENHANCE MOBILITY, IT
- 9 WILL ALSO INFUSE BILLIONS OF DOLLARS INTO THE ECONOMY WHICH
- 10 WILL BE FELT AT EVERY HOUSEHOLD IN THE REGION. THE CALIFORNIA
- 11 HIGH SPEED TRAIN PROJECT WILL PUT L.A.'S CONSTRUCTION
- 12 INDUSTRY, THE CORE OF OUR CURRENT RECESSION BACK TO WORK.
- 13 WHAT'S MORE, BY TAKING THOUSANDS OF CARS OFF L.A. HIGHWAYS IT
- 14 WILL ELIMINATE A MAJOR HANDICAP TO OUR COMPETITIVENESS AND
- 15 ATTRACT GREEN EMPLOYERS WITH JOBS FOR DECADES TO COME.
- 16 SPECIFICALLY THE HIGH SPEED TRAIN SYSTEM WILL PROVIDE AN
- 17 INCREASE IN HOUSEHOLD INCOMES EQUAL TO MORE \$800 PER FAMILY OF
- 18 FOUR BY 2020. IT WILL REDUCE UNEMPLOYMENT BY ONE TO TWO
- 19 PERCENT REGARDLESS OF WHETHER, IN FACT, THERE IS A SYSTEM, IN
- 20 FACT, THIS IS ON TOP OF WHATEVER ELSE IS HAPPENING. SPECIFIC
- 21 TO L.A. COUNTY WILL ADD \$348 MILLION PER YEAR TO L.A. COUNTY
- 22 TAX REVENUES, AGAIN BY 2020, 626 MILLION BY 2035. IN TERMS OF
- 23 JOBS, JUST VERY QUICKLY, TO PLAN, DESIGN AND BUILD THE 800-
- 24 MILE NETWORK WILL CREATE AS MANY AS 160,000 NEW JOBS
- 25 THROUGHOUT CALIFORNIA, MORE THAN 400,000 ADDITIONAL PERMANENT



- 1 JOBS ARE EXPECTED TO BE CREATED AS A RESULT OF ECONOMIC GROWTH
- 2 THE NEW SYSTEM WILL BRING. I'M PRIMARILY HERE TO ANSWER
- 3 QUESTIONS IF YOU HAVE ANY.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ARE THERE QUESTIONS? THANK YOU. I'M
- 6 SUPPORTING IT. ARNOLD SACHS.

- 8 ARNOLD SACHS: YES. THANK YOU. THERE WAS QUITE A PRESENTATION.
- 9 \$9 BILLION YOU WANT TO SPEND ON HIGH-SPEED RAIL FOR THE COUNTY
- 10 OF L.A., THAT'S A QUARTER OF WHAT THE PROPOSITION R WOULD
- 11 RAISE. COUNTY OF L.A. TAKING THOUSANDS OF CARS OFF THE
- 12 STREETS, YOU CAN'T GET A COMPLETED RAIL SYSTEM DONE IN L.A.,
- 13 BLUE LINE WAS NEVER COMPLETED, ALTHOUGH IT'S UNDER A NEW NAME.
- 14 THE DOWNTOWN CONNECTOR WILL PROBABLY FOLLOW THE ORIGINAL BLUE
- 15 LINE SEGMENT THAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN BUILT 28 YEARS AGO. THE RED
- 16 LINE IS AN 800-MILE SEGMENT SYSTEM FOR THE HIGH-SPEED RAIL. WE
- 17 CAN'T GET SIX, SEVEN, EIGHT MILES OUT TO SANTA MONICA, EVEN
- 18 WITH THE NEW INFLUX OF PROPOSITION R FUNDING, IF IT'S PASSED,
- 19 WILL BE ONLY GO TO WESTWOOD, SO YOU'RE GOING TO BUILD A HIGH-
- 20 SPEED RAIL LINE THROUGH THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. THAT'S
- 21 DISNEYLAND TALKING. IT'S JUST BASED ON WHAT WE SEE HERE. YOU
- 22 DON'T EVEN HAVE TO DREAM ABOUT IT. YOU'VE GOT THE REALITY
- 23 HERE. BLUE LINE NOT FINISHED, GOLD LINE NOT FINISHED,
- 24 EXTENSION NOT FINISHED, RED LINE NOT FINISHED, PURPLE LINE NOT
- 25 FINISHED, EXPO LINE, MAYBE, AND WE'RE GOING TO TAKE-- IT WOULD



- 1 BE NICE TO DREAM IT, BUT IF YOU HAD SOMETHING COMPLETED, THEN
- 2 YOU COULD SAY, : YOU KNOW WHAT, FOLKS, WE DID THIS AND IT
- 3 WORKED.: YOU HAVE NOTHING COMPLETED. THERE'S NOTHING YOU CAN
- 4 POINT TO AND SAY IN L.A. COUNTY, EVEN THE ALAMEDA CORRIDOR
- 5 WASN'T COMPLETED. YOU GO TO THE PORT OF LONG BEACH, IT STOPS
- 6 SHORT OF NORTH OF PACIFIC COAST HIGHWAY. NOTHING IS COMPLETED.
- 7 THE DREAM, I'D VOTE FOR PROP R, BUT I WOULD SAY NO, NOT WITH
- 8 THE FIVE OF YOU SITTING HERE, IT CAN'T HAPPEN. NOT WITH THIS
- 9 LEADERSHIP. IT'S 28, 30 YEARS WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IN THIS
- 10 LEADERSHIP. JUST CAN'T HAPPEN. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND
- 11 YOUR ANSWERS AND YOUR ATTENTION.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I'M SORRY
- 14 THAT YOU HAD TO WAIT SO LONG. AND TELL THE OTHER PEOPLE WHO
- 15 WAITED A LONG TIME. THANK YOU. MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED
- 16 BY YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ALL RIGHT.
- 17 SUPERVISOR MOLINA, DID YOU HAVE YOUR ADJOURNMENTS?

18

19 SUP. MOLINA: (OFF-MIC.)

20

- 21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I'LL DO MY ADJOURNMENTS AND THEN WE'LL DO
- 22 THE SET MATTER. I MOVE--

23

24 SUP. MOLINA: WE HAVE A SET MATTER?



1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AT 11:00.

- 3 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I MOVE THAT WHEN WE ADJOURN TODAY, THAT WE
- 4 ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF LEVI STUBS, LEAD SINGER OF THE
- 5 LEGENDARY MOTOWN GROUP, THE FOUR TOPS WHO PASSED AWAY RECENTLY
- 6 AFTER A LENGTHY ILLNESS. HE LEAVES TO CHERISH HIS MEMORY HIS
- 7 WIFE OF 48 YEARS, CLINEICE, AND FIVE CHILDREN ALONG WITH A
- 8 HOST OF FAMILY AND FRIENDS, AND WILHELMINA JOYCE COLEMAN,
- 9 LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF THE PASSED AWAY ON OCTOBER 17TH. SHE
- 10 LEAVES TO CHERISH HER MEMORY HER HUSBAND FLETCHER COLEMAN, HER
- 11 DAUGHTER ANNETTE DURHAM, TWO SONS, GREGORY AND RANDY COLEMAN
- 12 ALONG WITH A HOST OF FAMILY AND FRIENDS AND VICKY DEJOS CEDRO,
- 13 SISTER-IN-LAW OF L.A. COUNTY DEPARTMENT EMPLOYEE ADRIENNE
- 14 HAMENT, WHO PASSED AWAY OCTOBER 11TH, 2008. AT THE AGE OF 59.
- 15 SHE LEAVES TO CHERISH HER MEMORY HER HUSBAND JOSE CEDRO, HER
- 16 CHILDREN, BECK AND JOEY AND SISTER-IN-LAW ADRIENNE HAMENT,
- 17 ALONG WITH A HOST OF FAMILY AND FRIENDS. AND JACK TENNER, A
- 18 RETIRED L.A. SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE WHO PASSED AWAY ON OCTOBER
- 19 13TH AT THE AGE OF 88. HE WAS INVOLVED IN MANY OF THE CITY'S
- 20 CIVIL RIGHTS STRUGGLES OVER THE LAST 60 YEARS INCLUDING
- 21 FIGHTING RESTRICTIVE HOUSING COVENANTS IN THE '40S, AND I HAVE
- 22 A VERY PERSONAL GRATITUDE TO HIM FOR ALL THE YEARS HE HELPED
- 23 ME. I STARTED MY CAMPAIGN IN HIS LIVING ROOM. WE TALKED A LOT
- 24 ABOUT PEOPLE STARTING CAMPAIGNS IN LIVING ROOMS. MINE WAS
- 25 STARTED IN JACK TENNER'S, WHO HELPED ME RAISE THE MONEY TO GET



- 1 STARTED, AND WHO WROTE MY SPEECHES FOR ALL OF THE IMPORTANT
- 2 THINGS THAT HAPPENED IN MY LIFE, AND HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS
- 3 WIFE, GEORGEANNE, AND HIS SON SCOTT, HIS DAUGHTER MONICA AND
- 4 BROTHER ALVIN ALONG WITH A HOST OF FAMILY AND FRIENDS AND HE
- 5 WAS INDEED STALWART. AND I THINK SOMEONE DID RANDALL LEE. DID
- 6 YOU DO RANDALL LEE? ALL RIGHT. SO ORDERED. WE WILL NOW HAVE
- 7 THE SET ITEM. LACERA. I HOPE YOU WENT TO LUNCH. ARE THERE
- 8 OTHER PEOPLE HERE ON 59? THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR BEING HERE
- 9 AND I'M SORRY.

- 11 GREGG RADEMAKER: IT REAFFIRMS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS BOARD
- 12 HAS A VERY BROAD SET OF RESPONSIBILITIES IN GOVERNING OUR
- 13 COUNTY SO, THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON, SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS
- 14 GREG RADEMAKER. I'M THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FOR LACERA,
- 15 AND MY COLLEAGUE WHO'S JOINING ME IS LISA MAZZOCCO, OUR CHIEF
- 16 INVESTMENT OFFICER. AT THE OCTOBER 7TH, 2008 MEETING, THE
- 17 BOARD REQUESTED A REPORT FROM LACERA ON THE COUNTY FINANCIAL
- 18 RETIREMENT SYSTEM GIVING THE ONGOING FINANCIAL CRISIS AND
- 19 POTENTIAL DOUBLE EXPOSURE TO EMPLOYEES. THANK YOU FOR THE
- 20 OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THIS BOARD ON THESE VERY SERIOUS
- 21 MATTERS. FOR THOSE WHO MAY NOT BE FAMILIAR WITH LACERA, LACERA
- 22 IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ADMINISTERING THE RETIREMENT PLAN ON BEHALF
- 23 OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, 150,000 ACTIVE AND RETIRED
- 24 PARTICIPANTS AND PARTICIPATING AGENCIES. AT YOUR ON THE 7TH,
- 25 2008 MEETING, THE TREASURER AND TAX COLLECTOR PROVIDED A



- 1 COMPREHENSIVE REPORT ON THE CURRENT FINANCIAL CRISIS ON THE
- 2 COUNTY TREASURY. ATTACHED TO THE TREASURE'S REPORT WAS A
- 3 MEMORANDUM FROM THE LACERA C.I.O. TO THE LACERA BOARD OF
- 4 INVESTMENTS THAT RAISED OUESTIONS AND CONCERNS WITH YOUR
- 5 BOARD. THIS ATTACHED MEMORANDUM WAS VERY SPECIFIC INFORMATION
- 6 WITH REGARD TO JUST A COUPLE OF FINANCIAL COMPANIES, NAMELY
- 7 A.I.G., LEHMAN AND MERRILL LYNCH. I BELIEVE IT WAS SUPERVISOR
- 8 YAROSLAVSKY WHO ACTUALLY STATED THAT THE BROADER INVESTMENT
- 9 MARKET IS A GREATER CONCERN TO LACERA IN THE FUNDING OF
- 10 RETIREMENT BENEFITS. BEFORE MISS MAZZOCCO SPEAKS TO THE
- 11 BROADER ISSUES FACING LACERA INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO IN THIS
- 12 FINANCIAL CRISIS, I WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS WHAT I CALL TWO
- 13 BOTTOM LINE OUESTIONS RAISED AT THE OCTOBER 7TH MEETING.
- 14 FIRST, MS. BURKE'S QUESTION REGARDING LACERA'S ABILITY TO PAY
- 15 BENEFITS TO RETIREES AND THOSE ABOUT TO RETIRE. YES, LACERA
- 16 HAS THE ABILITY TO PAY RETIREMENT BENEFITS, TO PAY THE
- 17 PROMISED RETIREMENT BENEFITS TO OUR PLANNED PARTICIPANTS.
- 18 LACERA REMAINS A WELL FUNDED RETIREMENT PLAN ABLE TO MEET ITS
- 19 LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS. THAT'S THE VERY GOOD NEWS. THE SECOND
- 20 QUESTION, WHAT IS THE EXPECTED IMPACT TO THE EMPLOYER
- 21 CONTRIBUTION RATE? THIS IS WHERE THE CONVERSATION TAKES A
- 22 LITTLE BIT MORE SERIOUS TURN. THE LATEST ACTUARIAL REPORT
- 23 COMMUNICATED TO YOUR BOARD IN MARCH OF THIS YEAR SHOWS THE
- 24 RETIREMENT FUNDED STATUS INCREASING FROM 90.5 PERCENT TO 93.8
- 25 PERCENT. THE PLANNED ACTUARY IS WORKING ON THE CURRENT



- 1 VALUATION AS OF JUNE 30TH, 2008 THAT BE USED FOR THE
- 2 EMPLOYERS' FISCAL YEAR 2009/2010 CONTRIBUTION RATES. WE
- 3 ESTIMATE THE FUNDED STATUS WILL INCREASE APPROXIMATELY TO 95
- 4 PERCENT. THIS SEEMS A LITTLE BIT COUNTER INTUITIVE WITH
- 5 REGARDS TO THE CURRENT FINANCIAL CRISIS BUT THE ANSWER COMES
- 6 FROM ACTUALLY THE ACTUAL RATE-SETTING PROCESS. THE ACTUAL
- 7 PROCESS DELAYS RECOGNIZING LACERA PORTFOLIO GAINS AND LOSSES
- 8 OVER A THREE-YEAR PERIOD IN AN EFFORT TO PROVIDE THE EMPLOYER
- 9 STABLE CONTRIBUTION RATES. IT'S THE HOPE THAT THE SHORT-TERM
- 10 MARKET FLUCTUATIONS WILL BALANCE OUT OVER THIS TIME TO PROVIDE
- 11 A MORE STABLE INVESTMENT MARKET RETURN. MR. FUJIOKA CORRECTLY
- 12 STATED DURING OUR OCTOBER 7TH, 2008 MEETING THAT STRONG LACERA
- 13 INVESTMENT RETURNS FROM 2006/2007, WHICH WERE 13.2 PERCENT AND
- 14 19.1 PERCENT RESPECTIVELY ARE GOING TO OFFSET RECOGNIZING A
- 15 PORTION OF THE FISCAL YEAR 2008 INVESTMENT LOSS THAT LACERA
- 16 EXPERIENCED NEGATIVE 1.4 PERCENT AS SUCH, THE LACERA ACTUARY
- 17 ESTIMATES THE EMPLOYER RATE WILL ACTUALLY DECREASE THE
- 18 PHYSICAL YEAR 2009/2010 BY LESS THAN ONE-HALF OF ONE PERCENT
- 19 OF PAYROLL OR ABOUT \$25 MILLION. HOWEVER, THE DEFERRED
- 20 INVESTMENT LOSSES FROM FISCAL YEAR 2008 WILL BE RECOGNIZED
- 21 OVER THE FOLLOWING TWO EVALUATIONS AND WILL ACROSS THE
- 22 EMPLOYER COST BY APPROXIMATELY 2 PERCENT OF PAYROLL, OR ABOUT
- 23 \$120 MILLION PER YEAR BY THE YEAR 2011, 2012. WHAT IS
- 24 UNCERTAIN IS THE INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO RESULTS EIGHT MONTHS
- 25 FROM NOW WHEN WE TAKE THE NEXT FINANCIAL PICTURE, THE NEXT



- 1 SNAPSHOT WHEN WE DO OUR EVALUATION FOR THE JUNE 30TH, 2009
- 2 EVALUATION. NOW WITH THE ASSUMPTION THAT LACERA'S ASSET VALUES
- 3 AS OF SEPTEMBER 30TH, 2008 EQUALS THE ASSET VALUE THAT WE WILL
- 4 HAVE ON JUNE 30TH, 2009, WE EXPECT THE UNFUNDED CONTRIBUTION
- 5 TO INCREASE TO APPROXIMATELY 5 PERCENT OF PAYROLL, OR BY ABOUT
- 6 \$300 MILLION BY THE YEAR 2010, 2011. ASSUMING THE MARKETS
- 7 STABILIZE IN FUTURE YEARS, THE 2009 LOSS WILL INCREASE THE
- 8 U.A.L. PAYMENTS BY THE EMPLOYERS DURING THE NEXT TWO
- 9 EVALUATIONS TO APPROXIMATELY 11 PERCENT OF PAYROLL. THIS WILL
- 10 RAISE THE EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTION TO THE 9-FIGURE NUMBER MR.
- 11 YAROSLAVSKY REFERRED TO AT THE OCTOBER 7TH MEETING. THE
- 12 EMPLOYER COST FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30TH, 2008, WERE
- 13 APPROXIMATELY 790 MILLION. PROJECTING THE JUNE 30, 2008
- 14 VALUES, IT IS POSSIBLE TO APPROACH \$1 BILLION PER YEAR DURING
- 15 FISCAL YEAR 2010. EXCUSE ME?

16

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: TOTAL COST.

18

19 GREGG RADEMAKER: YES, TOTAL COST.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I DIDN'T MEAN TO INTERRUPT. WHAT IS THE
- 22 INCREMENTAL -- A BILLION TOTAL AND HOW MUCH MORE THAN-- WHAT'S
- 23 THE INCREASE?



- 1 GREGG RADEMAKER: CURRENTLY THE NORMAL COST PAID BY THE
- 2 EMPLOYER IS 10.16 PERCENT AND THE PROJECTED U.A.L. INCREASE IS
- 3 1.83 PERCENT. LOOKING OUT THE NUMBER OF YEARS WE SEE IN 2011,
- 4 2012, ASSUMING THE SAME NORMAL COST OF 10.16, THE U.A.L.
- 5 PAYMENT CAN GO UP TO 8.63 PERCENT AND THE FOLLOWING YEAR UP TO
- 6 10.83 PERCENT, SO IN DOLLAR AMOUNTS, WE'RE LOOKING AT A 10
- 7 PERCENT COST ON A LET'S SAY PAYROLL REMAINS LEVEL OF 6
- 8 BILLION, A LITTLE OVER 6 BILLION, TO ABOUT 600 MILLION AND THE
- 9 U.A.L. COST WOULD EQUAL THAT OF ABOUT 600 MILLION, SO LOOKING
- 10 OUT AT 2012, 2013, THAT'S ABOUT \$1.2 BILLION.

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND SO THE INCREMENTAL INCREASE IS?

13

14 GREGG RADEMAKER: ABOUT \$600 MILLION.

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: TO US, THE EMPLOYER.

- 18 GREGG RADEMAKER: TO THE EMPLOYER, BASED ON THE PROJECTED
- 19 CURRENT JUNE 30TH, 2008 MARKET VALUE ASSUMING THAT THE MARKET
- 20 STAYS WHERE IT IS EIGHT MONTHS FROM NOW. THESE PROJECTIONS ARE
- 21 SPECULATIVE. HOWEVER, I BELIEVE THEY'RE WITHIN A REASONABLE
- 22 SET OF OUTCOMES. UNDER THE SCENARIO, LACERA WOULD REMAIN A
- 23 WELL FUNDED PLAN WITH A FUNDING RATIO OF APPROXIMATELY 80 TO
- 24 85 PERCENT. HOWEVER, THE EMPLOYER COST, AS WE JUST DISCUSSED,
- 25 WOULD INCREASE SIGNIFICANTLY AS WE WORK THROUGH THIS FINANCIAL



- 1 CRISIS. WITH REGARD TO THE POTENTIAL FOR DOUBLE EXPOSURE TO
- 2 EMPLOYEES, THE ACTIVE AND RETIRED EMPLOYEES PARTICIPATING IN
- 3 THE RETIREMENT PLAN WILL NOT HAVE THE RETIREMENT BENEFITS
- 4 INCREASED OR DECREASED DUE TO THE OVERALL INVESTMENT MARKET
- 5 DECLINE. HOWEVER, SHOULD THE CURRENT FINANCIAL CRISIS PERSIST,
- 6 IT IS POSSIBLE THE RETIREMENT PLAN WOULD FIND IT NECESSARY TO
- 7 ADJUST LONG-TERM FINANCIAL OUTLOOK BY LOWERING THE 7 3/4
- 8 EXPECTED LONG TERM RATE OF RETURN ON INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO.
- 9 THIS ACTION WOULD INCREASE EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEE CONTRIBUTION
- 10 RATES. I WOULD BE GLAD TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU HAVE OR ASK
- 11 MY COLLEAGUE, MISS MAZZOCCO TO ADDRESS THE FINANCIAL CRISIS
- 12 IMPACT ON THE INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO.
- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DO YOU HAVE A WRITTEN COPY OF THAT?
- 16 GREGG RADEMAKER: YES, I DO.
- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MAKE SURE THAT WE GET A COPY OF THAT.
- 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE SHOULD GET A COPY. NOW, I THOUGHT THAT
- 21 YOU WERE AT-- ACTUARILY SOUND AT 8 1/2. IT'S 7-3/4?
- 23 GREGG RADEMAKER: WE HAVE-- YES. OUR LONG-TERM INVESTMENT
- 24 PORTFOLIO RETURN WAS ADJUSTED 2005 TO 7 3/4 PERCENT.

25

13

15

17

19



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHEN WE ENTERED OUR LAST GO AROUND DIP IN
- 2 THE ECONOMY AND MARKET SEVERAL YEARS AGO, EARLY PART OF THE
- 3 DECADE, HOW DID THAT DIP COMPARE TO WHAT YOU ANTICIPATE THIS
- 4 DIP TO BE IN REAL DOLLARS?

5

- 6 GREGG RADEMAKER: WELL, WHEN WE HAD THE FINANCIAL CRISIS IN
- 7 2002, 2003, OUR INVESTMENT RETURNS DECREASED. DO YOU HAVE THAT
- 8 FIGURE IN FRONT OF YOU, LISA?

9

- 10 LISA MAZZOCCO: FISCAL YEAR 2001. FIRST, I'M LISA MAZZOCCO AND
- 11 I'M THE C.I.O. GOOD AFTERNOON. THE FISCAL YEAR 2001 WE WERE
- 12 DOWN NEGATIVE 7.1, AND FOR FISCAL YEAR 2002, NEGATIVE 6.9
- 13 PERCENT.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW DOES THAT COMPARE? REMIND ME AGAIN, WHAT
- 16 IS IT NOW?

17

- 18 GREGG RADEMAKER: CURRENTLY I ESTIMATE WE'RE DOWN BY ABOUT 9.5
- 19 PERCENT. IF I LOOK TO SEE WHERE WE WERE AS OF JUNE 30TH TO
- 20 WHERE WE CAME TO SEPTEMBER 30TH. SO FOR THOSE TWO YEARS I
- 21 WOULD SAY WE ACTUALLY HAD A GREATER DECREASE IN VALUE, BUT AS
- 22 WE KNOW THE MARKETS ARE VOLATILE AND WE STILL HAVE EIGHT
- 23 MONTHS TO GO WHICH MAY EITHER BE A POSITIVE EXPERIENCE OR
- 24 FURTHER NEGATIVE EXPERIENCE TO JUNE 30TH, 2009.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHEN YOU CALCULATE THE LOSSES NOW, IS THAT
- 2 BASED ON THE FULL IMPACT OF THE LOSSES WE'VE SEEN IN THE
- 3 MARKET IN THE LAST COUPLE MONTHS?

4

- 5 GREGG RADEMAKER: FROM JUNE 30TH, 2008 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30TH,
- 6 2008.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WAS IT OCTOBER THAT IT REALLY TOOK A DIVE?
- 9 WHEN DID IT GO DOWN A THOUSAND POINTS?

10

- 11 GREGG RADEMAKER: THE MARKETS HAVE DECREASED ANOTHER
- 12 APPROXIMATELY 14 PERCENT SINCE OCTOBER 1ST.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO IF YOU THROW THAT INTO THE MIX, WHAT DOES
- 15 THAT DO TO YOUR PERCENTAGE LOSS?

16

- 17 LISA MAZZOCCO: WE WOULD BE DOWN ANOTHER 7 PERCENT ON THE
- 18 PORTFOLIO LEVEL IN TERMS OF DOLLARS, I WOULDN'T KNOW. WE WOULD
- 19 THEORETICALLY BE DOWN ANOTHER 7 PERCENT IN THE PORTFOLIO.

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ANOTHER 7 PERCENT?

22

23 LISA MAZZOCCO: YES.

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ON TOP OF 9 PERCENT. SO 16 PERCENT?



12 LISA MAZZOCCO: YES.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND WHEN YOU LOOK AT THAT 16 PERCENT, WHAT
- 5 HAPPENED IN 2001/2002 IS NOT AS EXTREME AS THIS. 16 PERCENT
- 6 TODAY IN TERMS OF VALUE AND IMPACT ON OUR SYSTEM ARE MUCH MORE
- 7 SIGNIFICANT.

8

- 9 GREGG RADEMAKER: IT'S MORE SIGNIFICANT THAN IT WAS IN
- 10 2001/2002.

11

12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE DON'T KNOW WHAT HAPPENS BY JUNE.

13

14 **GREGG RADEMAKER:** CORRECT.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: RIGHT. BUT WE DO KNOW WHAT HAPPENED IN
- 17 OCTOBER SO FAR. HE HASN'T FACTORED THAT INTO IT BECAUSE
- 18 OCTOBER ISN'T DONE YET.

19

20 GREGG RADEMAKER: THAT'S CORRECT.

- 22 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: BUT HE IS TAKING A SNAPSHOT NOW, ASSUMING THAT
- 23 IT'S MORE OF A FLATLINE PROJECTION, ASSUMING IT STAYS AT THIS
- 24 LEVEL. BACK IN 2002, YOU GAVE US THE PERCENTAGES, HOW ABOUT



- 1 THE DOLLAR AMOUNTS? WAS THERE A SIMILARITY IN THE INCREASE IN
- 2 OUR CONTRIBUTION?

3

- 4 GREGG RADEMAKER: I BELIEVE I HAVE SOME OF THAT INFORMATION, IF
- 5 YOU'LL BEAR WITH ME FOR JUST ONE SECOND. FOLLOWING THE
- 6 DOWNTURN, AGAIN, THE ASSETS ARE SMOOTH OVER A PERIOD OF TIME
- 7 WHERE THE ACTUARY TRIES TO SMOOTH IN THE EXPERIENCE, SO THEY
- 8 DON'T LET YOU RECOGNIZE THE GAIN RIGHT AWAY AND THEY DON'T LET
- 9 YOU RECOGNIZE ALL THE PAIN RIGHT AWAY. THERE WAS NO U.A.L.
- 10 CONTRIBUTION FROM 1994 THROUGH 2001, BUT WITH THE MARKET
- 11 DOWNTURN, WE INCREASED THE U.A.L. CONTRIBUTION UP TO
- 12 APPROXIMATELY 6 1/2 PERCENT IN 2004, AND THEN IT BEGAN TO
- 13 DECREASE AS THE MARKET REBOUNDED. SO IN 2005, THAT U.A.L.
- 14 PAYMENT WAS DOWN TO 3.3 PERCENT OF PAYROLL, FALLING TO 3.49
- 15 PERCENT OF PAYROLL IN 2006, 2.24 PERCENT CURRENTLY AND IS
- 16 PROJECTED TO GO DOWN TO 1.83 IN THE COMING YEAR, BUT AS WE
- 17 SAID WITH THE MARKET LOSSES IT'S GOING TO START TO CLIMB BACK
- 18 UP AGAIN.

19

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: U.A.L. STANDS FOR?

21

- 22 GREGG RADEMAKER: EXCUSE ME, THAT'S THE UNFUNDED ACTUARIAL
- 23 ACCRUED LIABILITY.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU'RE TALKING NINE FIGURES, YOU SAID YOU'RE
- 2 TALKING AROUND 600 MILLION?

3

4 GREGG RADEMAKER: THAT'S CORRECT.

5

6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BY TODAY'S ASSUMPTIONS.

7

- 8 GREGG RADEMAKER: YES, YOUR CURRENT ONGOING COSTS, THE ACTUARY
- 9 ESTIMATES WILL BE WILL 10 PERCENT OF YOUR PAYROLL.

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: PAYROLL IS 6 BILLION.

12

- 13 GREGG RADEMAKER: RIGHT. AND IF THE CURRENT COST GOES THROUGH
- 14 THE ACTUARIAL SMOOTHING PROCESS, IT COULD RAISE THE U.A.L. UP
- 15 ANOTHER 11 PERCENT, SO DOUBLING THE COUNTY'S COST.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: JUST TO REFRESH OUR MEMORY, HOW DO WE MAKE
- 18 UP THE--WHAT HAPPENS WHEN THAT HAPPENS? IS IT THE COUNTY'S
- 19 RESPONSIBILITY TO MAKE UP THE DIFFERENCE?

- 21 GREGG RADEMAKER: IT'S THE COUNTY'S RESPONSIBILITY TO FUND IT.
- 22 IF IT'S NOT DOABLE IN ONE YEAR, IT'S BASICALLY LIKE A 30-YEAR
- 23 MORTGAGE. WHAT THEY DO IS, THE ACTUARY RECOGNIZES THAT THERE'S
- 24 A SHORTFALL AND THEY PROVIDE A FUNDING PLAN TO BRING THE FUND
- 25 BACK UP TO 100 PERCENT FUNDING.



1 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THROUGH WHAT PERIOD OF TIME? 3 GREGG RADEMAKER: 30 YEARS CURRENTLY. 4 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: BECAUSE BEFORE, REMEMBER, WE HAD TO DO IT 6 7 IN FIVE YEARS. REMEMBER THAT? 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M NOT SURE. MAKE SURE HE GETS A COPY OF 9 10 YOUR PRESENTATION. 11 GREGG RADEMAKER: WE'LL BE CERTAIN TO DO THAT. 12 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND GOOD LUCK. I HOPE 14 15 THINGS TURN AROUND. 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: GREGG, ONE LAST COMMENT-- OR QUESTION. ON 17 18 THAT 30-YEAR DEAL, THAT'S OUR RESPONSIBILITY, NOT YOURS. 19 CORRECT? NOT THE RETIREMENT QUANTITIES BUT OURS? 20 GREGG RADEMAKER: I'M SORRY. CAN YOU RESTATE THAT? 21 22

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ON THE FUNDING OF THE DIFFERENCE, IS THAT

25

23

24

OUR RESPONSIBILITY?



- 1 GREGG RADEMAKER: YES. THE ACTUARY WILL ACTUALLY INCLUDE THAT
- 2 INTO THE ACTUARIAL RATES THAT ARE PRESENTED TO YOUR BOARD FOR
- 3 ADOPTION, SO THOSE WOULD BE INCLUDED IN THOSE ACTUARIAL
- 4 EVALUATIONS.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DO EXISTING EMPLOYEES HAVE THEIR
- 7 CONTRIBUTION RATES INCREASED AS WELL? DO THE EXISTING
- 8 EMPLOYEES, DO I AS AN EMPLOYEE HAVE MY RATES-- MY RATES HAVE
- 9 GONE UP.

10

- 11 GREGG RADEMAKER: THE EMPLOYEE RATES WILL BE IMPACTED BY
- 12 LONGEVITY, IF EMPLOYEES LIVE LONGER, THEIR RATES WILL GO UP.
- 13 IF THE EARNINGS ASSUMPTION THAT WE DISCUSSED IS 7-3/4, IF THAT
- 14 CHANGES UP OR DOWN, THAT WILL DRIVE THE EMPLOYEE RATES UP OR
- 15 DOWN AND ALSO THE SALARY ASSUMPTION. IF WE BELIEVE THAT THE
- 16 EMPLOYEES WOULD BE MAKING MORE MONEY, THAT COULD CHANGE THE
- 17 RATES. THERE WERE SOME CHANGES WHEN WE DID THE 2007 ACTUARIAL
- 18 INVESTIGATION STUDY WHERE THEY CHANGED SOME OF THE SALARY
- 19 ASSUMPTIONS WHICH CHANGED THE EMPLOYEE RATES.

20

21 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IT'S A RECENT CHANGE, TOO.

22

23 GREGG RADEMAKER: YES. THAT ONE IS FROM THE ASSUMPTION CHANGE.

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THANKS.



1 2 GREGG RADEMAKER: THANK YOU. 3 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I MEAN, WE WOULD NOT PAY ANY MORE. 4 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT ELSE DO WE HAVE? 6 7 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ITEM 59. 9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 59, AND IF I COULD JUST READ THE SHORT 10 11 TITLE IN FOR THE RECORD. THIS IS THE HEARING TO APPROVE THE ALLOCATION OF COUNTY GENERAL FUNDS CASA DOMINGUEZ L.P. IN THE 12 AMOUNT UP TO \$832,311 FOR ADDITIONAL CONSTRUCTION AND 13 PERMANENT FINANCING COSTS FOR THE CASA DOMINGUEZ APARTMENTS 14 LOCATED AT 15787 SOUTH ATLANTIC AVENUE IN THE UNINCORPORATED 15 16 COMMUNITY AT EAST RANCHO DOMINGUEZ IN THE CITY OF COMPTON. THERE IS NO DEPARTMENT STATEMENT ON THIS MATTER AND NO 17 18 CORRESPONDENCE WAS RECEIVED. 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: HAS ANYONE ASKED TO SPEAK ON THIS? 20 21 22 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: NO, THERE IS NOT. 23

25

24

SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I'LL MOVE IT.



1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: TO CLOSE THE HEARING?

2

- 3 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES, I'LL MOVE TO CLOSE THE HEARING.
- 4 SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY, AND THEN MOVE-- THE HEARING IS
- 5 CLOSED. I'LL MOVE THE ITEM. SECONDED BY MOLINA. WITHOUT
- 6 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

7

- 8 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AND THEN WE HAVE ITEM 53, WHICH WAS A
- 9 REPORT BY THE C.E.O.'S OFFICE ON A BUDGET MATTER.

10

11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: HOW MUCH PUBLIC COMMENT?

12

13 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THIS IS A 4-VOTE MATTER.

- 15 LARI SHEEHAN: MADAME CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, LARI SHEEHAN
- 16 WITH THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE. THIS IS A PUBLIC WORKS ITEM
- 17 BUT IT DOES INCLUDE A BUDGET MATTER BECAUSE IT IS MOVING \$6.7
- 18 MILLION WHICH-- FROM P.F.U. TO THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT FOR
- 19 THE STORM WATER AND URBAN RUN-OFF PROGRAM IN UNINCORPORATED
- 20 AREAS AND WE ARE RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF THAT AMOUNT. PLUS
- 21 THERE ARE SOME CONTRACTUAL ITEMS THAT THE PUBLIC WORKS
- 22 DEPARTMENT CAN SPEAK TO WHICH WILL ACTUALLY ENCUMBER THE FUNDS
- 23 THAT ARE BEING MOVED PLUS FUNDS WHICH ARE ALREADY IN THE
- 24 PUBLIC WORKS BUDGET. HAPPY TO ANSWER QUESTIONS, AND MR. MARK
- 25 PASTRELLA--



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ARE THERE QUESTIONS? MOVED BY ANTONOVICH,
- 3 SECONDED BY MOLINA. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

4

5 LARI SHEEHAN: THANK YOU.

6

7 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: OKAY. PUBLIC COMMENT.

8

- 9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IT HAPPENED BEFORE WE GOT HERE. OH, YOU
- 10 WERE HERE?

11

12 SUP. MOLINA: ABSOLUTELY.

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WILL SHERMAN, ELIZABETH ARENAS, FRANK GUY
- 15 AND KATHLEEN AUSTRIA, PLEASE COME FORWARD. PLEASE STATE YOUR
- 16 NAME.

- 18 ELIZABETH ARENAS: YES. GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS ELIZABETH
- 19 ARENAS AND I HAVE BEEN A REGISTERED ENVIRONMENT HEALTH
- 20 SPECIALIST SINCE 1993. UNFORTUNATELY, MY WHOLE DEPARTMENT WAS
- 21 HERE BUT BECAUSE THE DAY WAS VERY LONG, SOME OF THEM LEFT AND
- 22 SOME OF THE LOYAL PARTNERS ARE HERE WITH US. WE ARE PART OF A
- 23 MULTI-DISCIPLINARY TEAM OF HEALTH FACILITY EVALUATORS TRAINED
- 24 BY THE CENTER FOR MEDICARE MEDICAID C.M.S. TO CONDUCT
- 25 INSPECTIONS OF PRIVATE AND SOME HEALTHCARE FACILITIES IN THE



- 1 COUNTY SUCH AS SKILLED NURSING FACILITIES, ACUTE CARE
- 2 HOSPITALS, DIALYSIS CENTERS, AMBULATORY SURGICAL CENTERS AND
- 3 THE CLINIC FOR THE DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED. OUR ROLE IS TO
- 4 SAFEGUARD THE HEALTH, SAFETY AND WELFARE OF THE PUBLIC BY
- 5 ENFORCING LOCAL, STATE AND FEDERAL PUBLIC HEALTH LAWS AND
- 6 REGULATIONS. WE PERFORM COMPLAINT INVESTIGATIONS AND TAKE
- 7 CORRECTIVE ACTION WHEN IDENTIFIED VIOLATIONS AND ABUSES GO
- 8 UNCORRECTED. SUPERVISORS, WE ARE HERE TODAY TO BRING TO YOUR
- 9 ATTENTION A GROWING ISSUE IN OUR DIVISION. WE HAVE OBSERVED AT
- 10 THE REGISTERED ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SPECIALISTS ARE BEING
- 11 DELIBERATELY LIMITED FROM PERFORMING OUR FULL SCOPE OF DUTIES.
- 12 OUR ROLE IS VITAL, PROTECTING THE HEALTH, SAFETY AND WELFARE
- 13 OF THE PUBLIC, IT'S ABOUT THE ENVIRONMENT IN WHICH PATIENTS
- 14 ARE CARED FOR, THE FOOD, THE WATER, LAUNDRY AND HEALTHCARE
- 15 SERVICES. FOR EXAMPLE, RECENTLY I RECEIVED A COMPLAINT FROM A
- 16 VERY WELL KNOWN, WELL FUNDED HOSPITAL ABOUT MAGGOTS COMING OUT
- 17 OF THE PATIENT'S MOUTH. THESE PATIENTS WERE IN A COMA AND HAD
- 18 TO KEEP THEIR MOUTH OPEN TO BREATHE. FLIES ENTERED THE
- 19 HOSPITAL AND LAID EGGS IN THE PATIENT'S MOUTH. WHEN I
- 20 INTERVIEWED THE STAFF, THEIR ANSWER WAS, "MAYBE FAMILY MEMBER
- 21 BROUGHT THE FLY IN WITH THEM." THIS FLOOR THAT I WENT TO WAS
- 22 ON THE THIRD AND FOURTH FLOOR. THE FACILITY STAFF HAD NO WAY
- 23 TO REMOVE THE FLIES. WHEN WE ENTERED-- WHEN WE GO INTO THE
- 24 FACILITY, AND BECAUSE OF OUR EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE, WE
- 25 IDENTIFY HOW FLIES WERE ENTERING THE HOSPITALS SUCH AS NO FLY



- 1 EXCLUSION DEVICES. IT IS A GRUESOME EXAMPLE BUT THE POINT IS
- 2 YOU HAVE TO PROTECT PATIENTS BECAUSE NOBODY ELSE WILL PROTECT
- 3 THEM AND YOU HAVE TO PROTECT THEM IN ALL WAYS, INCLUDING THEIR
- 4 ENVIRONMENTS. THAT IS OUR ROLE. WHEN OUR SCOPE OF DUTIES ARE
- 5 BEING LIMITED WHEN WE DO NOT HAVE STAFF TO DO THE WORK, WHO
- 6 WILL SAFEGUARD THE PATIENTS? DURING OUR SURVEY PROCESS, WE
- 7 HAVE TO INSPECT EVERYTHING. ON A ROUTINE FIRE INSPECTION,
- 8 WHICH I JUST DID LAST WEEK, I ENTERED THE SKILLED NURSING
- 9 LAUNDRY AREA AND I NOTICED HOW DIRTY EVERYTHING WAS, THE WALLS
- 10 AND CEILING WERE DIRTY, MOLDY, THE PAINT AND PLASTER WERE
- 11 PEELING. THESE ARE FROM PATIENTS THAT USE ADULT DIAPERS, THEY
- 12 SOIL THEIR LINENS, THEY HAVE DIABETES, THEY HAVE ALL THESE
- 13 ILLNESSES AND EVERYTHING THROWN INTO ONE MACHINE, SO THE
- 14 NURSING HOME HAD-- THE NURSING HOME HAS NOT BEEN INSPECTED BY
- 15 REGISTERED ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SPECIALISTS FOR THE LAST FEW
- 16 YEARS. WHEN I RETURNED TO MY OFFICE, I SPOKE TO MY SUPERVISOR
- 17 AND EXPLAINED TO HIM THAT I DID NOT FEEL RIGHT AND I HAD TO
- 18 ASK PERMISSION TO ADDRESS THIS ISSUE BECAUSE MY INITIAL JOB
- 19 WAS TO JUST EVALUATE THE LIFE SAFETY PORTION OF IT. BECAUSE OF
- 20 THE POTENTIAL IMPACT ON THE HEALTH AND WELFARE OF THE PATIENT,
- 21 STAFF AND FAMILY MEMBERS, I WAS ALLOWED TO WRITE IT UP. AT
- 22 ANOTHER MAJOR HOSPITAL, THE MAIN SEWER LINES GOT PLUGGED AND
- 23 RAW SEWAGE ENTERED THE ENTIRE BASEMENT AND INTO THE MAIN
- 24 KITCHEN, CAFETERIA AND TREATMENT AREAS. DOCTORS, COOKS AND THE
- 25 PATIENT WERE GOING ABOUT THEIR BUSINESS THINKING THAT



- 1 EVERYTHING WAS OKAY. DUE TO OUR EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE, WE
- 2 WERE ABLE TO WORK WITH THE HOSPITAL STAFF AND THEY WERE ABLE
- 3 TO TAKE EFFECTIVE MEASURES TO CORRECT THE PROBLEM. WHEN WE
- 4 IDENTIFY HEALTHCARE ISSUES, THE FACILITY IS MANDATED TO
- 5 PROVIDE A LONG-TERM PERMANENT SOLUTION TO IDENTIFY PROBLEMS.
- 6 BECAUSE OF OUR EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE IN THE TOTAL
- 7 ENVIRONMENT OF HEALTH FACILITIES SUCH AS ACUTE HOSPITALS TO
- 8 AMBULATORY SURGICAL CENTERS, WE HAVE THE BACKGROUND TO ONLY
- 9 APPROVE A REALISTIC PLAN OF CARE. SUPERVISORS, WE ARE ALL
- 10 RESPONSIBLE FOR PROTECTING THE HEALTH AND SAFETY OF THE
- 11 PUBLIC. IT TAKES A MULTI-DISCIPLINARY TEAM TO DO THIS WORK.
- 12 IT'S HEALTHCARE SERVICES CARE, IT IS THE ENVIRONMENT, THE
- 13 VERMIN CONTROL, THE FOOD, LAUNDRY, WALLS, AIR CONDITIONING,
- 14 PIPING, ELECTRICITY, EVERYTHING.

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOUR TIME HAS EXPIRED.
- 17 I GAVE YOU ADDITIONAL TIME BECAUSE I KNOW YOU WAITED SO LONG.
- 18 WOULD YOU STATE YOUR NAME.

- 20 **DEBORAH BERNAL:** GOOD AFTERNOON. I'M DEBORAH BERNAL. I'M A
- 21 REGISTERED ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SPECIALIST, STARTED IN THE
- 22 COUNTY IN 1982 AS A REGISTERED ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
- 23 SPECIALIST, ENFORCING INVESTIGATING HOUSING AND RELATED
- 24 HEALTHCARE FACILITIES, EVALUATING THE DIETARY PRACTICES OF
- 25 EMPLOYEES, INFECTION CONTROLS, BUILDING AND HEALTH SAFETY. IN



- 1 1987, I WAS PROMOTED TO A HEALTH FACILITY EVALUATOR WITH THE
- 2 HEALTH FACILITIES DIVISION. THAT JOB POSTING WAS THE SELECTION
- 3 REQUIREMENTS FOR HEALTH EVALUATOR WAS EITHER AN R.E.H.S. OR AN
- 4 R.N. BOTH SHARED THE SAME JOB DESCRIPTION. I HAVE CONDUCTED
- 5 WORK FOR THIS DIVISION FOR 22 YEARS AS A HEALTH FACILITY
- 6 EVALUATOR AND YOU CAN SAY THAT I'M AN EXPERT IN MY FIELD,
- 7 HIGHLY SKILLED, HIGHLY TRAINED. I HAVE WORKED ALONGSIDE WITH
- 8 R.N.S AS A TEAM, CONDUCTING SURVEYS OF HEALTHCARE FACILITIES
- 9 IN A MULTI-DISCIPLINARY APPROACH. MY ROLE AS A TEAM MEMBER AND
- 10 TEAM LEADER INVOLVE ASSIGNING WORK TASKS TO R.N.S, R.E.H.S OF
- 11 A SAMPLE OF RESIDENTS WHO LIVE IN THE SKILLED NURSING
- 12 FACILITY. FOR EXAMPLE, IF THERE ARE 24 SAMPLE RESIDENTS AND
- 13 THERE ARE THREE TEAM MEMBERS, EACH MEMBER WILL GET EIGHT
- 14 RESIDENTS TO OBSERVE THEIR CARE, INTERVIEW THE RESIDENTS AND
- 15 FAMILY MEMBERS AND STAFF AND CONDUCT RECORD-- CLINICAL RECORD
- 16 REVIEWS AS WELL AS DOCUMENT REVIEWS OF POLICIES AND
- 17 PROCEDURES. IN ADDITION, THERE ARE OTHER TASKS ASSIGNED, SUCH
- 18 AS DIETARY SERVICES AND PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT, BUILDING SAFETY
- 19 AND LIFE SAFETY. EACH DAY WE MEET AS A TEAM TO DISCUSS OUR
- 20 FINDINGS, FOCUS ON SPECIFIC IDENTIFIED CONCERNS, CONSIDER
- 21 IMMEDIATE JEOPARDY SITUATION AS OUR FINDINGS BUILD. R.E.H.S.S
- 22 MYSELF, AS WELL AS THE REST OF US HERE, REVIEW CLINICAL
- 23 RECORDS TO ENSURE CARE THAT IS ORDERED BY THE PHYSICIANS IS
- 24 BEING RENDERED TO THE PATIENTS. WE ALSO REVIEW THE POLICIES
- 25 AND FACILITY DOCUMENTS. WE CONDUCT ALSO COMPLAINT



- 1 INVESTIGATIONS REGARDING PATIENT ABUSE, QUALITY OF CARE
- 2 ISSUES, NEGLECT SUCH AS FALLS, SHORTAGES OF STAFF ISSUES. WE
- 3 ALSO LOOK AT THE WHOLE PERSON, SUCH AS THEIR MEDICAL CARE,
- 4 MENTAL STATUS, WE LOOK AT PATIENT AS WELL AS STAFF EDUCATION,
- 5 WE OBSERVE THE CARE, WE INTERVIEW THE RESIDENTS, WE DO RECORD
- 6 REVIEWS. I CAN'T EMPHASIZE THAT ENOUGH. THAT IS OUR SURVEY
- 7 PROCESS. WE ALSO DO AUTOPSY-- REVIEW OF AUTOPSY REPORTS FOR
- 8 PATIENTS WHO HAVE DIED IN FACILITIES AND THIS COULD TAKE WEEKS
- 9 OR MONTHS BEFORE WE GET THOSE REPORTS BEFORE WE CAN COMPLETE
- 10 OUR INVESTIGATIONS. THE DEPARTMENT DOES NOT HAVE ENOUGH
- 11 R.E.H.S.S AT THIS TIME TO GET THE JOB DONE. THE COUNTY HAD
- 12 2,600 HEALTHCARE FACILITIES AND THERE ARE ONLY 120 OF US
- 13 HEALTH FACILITY EVALUATORS. THE POPULATION IS GROWING IN THE
- 14 COUNTY AS WELL AS THE NUMBER OF FACILITIES CONTINUES TO GROW
- 15 AND THE COUNTY, UNFORTUNATELY, HAS NOT HIRED HEALTH FACILITY
- 16 EVALUATORS, REGISTERED ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SPECIALISTS SINCE
- 17 2000, AND AS A CONSEQUENCE OF THIS SHORTAGE OF STAFF, THERE IS
- 18 A BACKLOG OF HEALTH INSPECTIONS AND COMPLAINT INVESTIGATIONS.

20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOUR TIME HAS EXPIRED.

21 YES. WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME?

19

- 23 FRANK GUY: MADAME CHAIRPERSON, AND ALL THE BOARD MEMBERS.
- 24 SUPERVISOR, I'M FRANK GUY. I'M A HEALTH FACILITY EVALUATOR,
- 25 AND I'M AT THE FERGUSON COMPLEX, BUT AND MY JURISDICTION



- 1 COVERS THE ENTIRE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. I'VE BEEN AN
- 2 EVALUATOR FOR THE LAST 19 YEARS. ONE OF THE POINTS I WANTED TO
- 3 MAKE IS THAT THE COUNTY HAS NOT HIRED RESIDENT ENVIRONMENTAL
- 4 HEALTH SPECIALISTS SINCE 2000 AND THERE HAS BEEN AN ATTRITION
- 5 OF R.E.H.S.S FAR AS NOT HIRE RESIDENT ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
- 6 SPECIALISTS AS HEALTH FACILITY EVALUATORS, AND ONE OF THE
- 7 DUTIES OF THE HEALTH FACILITY EVALUATORS DIVISION IS TO ENSURE
- 8 THAT HEALTHCARE FACILITIES PROVIDE PROPER CARE TO PATIENTS AND
- 9 ENSURE THEIR HEALTH AND SAFETY. HEALTH FACILITY EVALUATORS ARE
- 10 CERTIFIED, ARE TESTED BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT THROUGH
- 11 MEDICARE MEDI-CAL TO ENSURE THAT THEY'RE ABLE TO DO THE FULL
- 12 SCOPE OF THEIR JOBS, SO ALL HEALTH EVALUATORS WITH R.E.H.S.
- 13 BACKGROUND ARE CERTIFIED DUE TO FULL SCOPE OF THE JOB. THE
- 14 COUNTY HAS BEEN BEHIND IN THEIR SURVEY PROCESS, AND THIS IS
- 15 SOMETHING THAT HAS BEEN CONTINUOUSLY GOING ON. THEY'VE BEEN
- 16 RELYING UPON OVERTIME, ROUTINE USE OF OVERTIME, AND
- 17 SUPERVISORS AND MANAGERS TO GO OUT AND DO INSPECTIONS OF
- 18 HEALTHCARE FACILITIES. THIS IS THE JOB OF THE BASED EMPLOYEE.
- 19 THE VISION HAS INDICATED RECENTLY THAT THEY INTEND TO LIMIT
- 20 THE DUTIES OF THE R.E.H.S. WHO-- HEALTH FACILITY EVALUATORS
- 21 WHO ARE R.E.H.S., AND WE SEE THIS ATTEMPT TO DIMINISH OUR ROLE
- 22 AS A BAD POLICY, AND IT'S OUR HOPE THAT THIS BOARD, THE BOARD
- 23 OF SUPERVISORS, WILL LOOK INTO THIS MATTER AND WORK WITH US,
- 24 BECAUSE IT DOESN'T MAKE FISCAL SENSE THAT YOU ARE PHYSICALLY
- 25 RESPONSIBLE TO HAVE THE GROUP OF EMPLOYEES WHO ARE CAPABLE OF



- 1 DOING THE JOB, WHO ARE TRAINED TO DO THE JOB, WHO HAVE
- 2 KNOWLEDGE AND YEARS OF EXPERIENCE TO TURN AROUND AND LIMIT
- 3 THEIR ABILITIES, OR LIMIT THEIR ROLES AS RELATES TO THEIR JOB,
- 4 ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT WHERE WE'RE NOT GETTING THE JOB DONE, OR
- 5 WE'RE BEHIND IN THE SURVEYS. IN SKILLED NURSING FACILITIES,
- 6 THERE'S USUALLY A ONCE A YEAR-- OR ONCE EVERY 12 MONTHS THAT
- 7 THESE FACILITIES ARE EXPECTED TO BE SURVEYED. HOWEVER, A FEW
- 8 YEARS AGO, THEY INCREASED TO A 15-MONTH OPENING ONLY TO-- ONLY
- 9 TO WHERE WE WOULDN'T COME IN EVERY DECEMBER ON A PARTICULAR
- 10 FACILITY. NOW ALL THE SKILLED NURSING FACILITIES ARE NOT
- 11 INSPECTED WITHIN A 12-MONTH GAP BUT THEY ARE PUSHING AGAINST
- 12 THAT 15-MONTH GAP WHERE THEY HAD TO TAKE RESOURCES FROM
- 13 INDIVIDUALS OUT FROM ONE PARTICULAR LOCATION TO SURVEY FOR
- 14 DIFFERENT DISTRICT OFFICE. AGAIN, IF WE'RE HAVING THIS KIND OF
- 15 PROBLEMS MEETING OUR CONTRACT AGREEMENT WITH THE STATE, WHY
- 16 ARE WE LIMITING OUR-- PROPOSED TO LIMIT THOSE DUTIES BY HEALTH
- 17 FACILITIES, REGISTERED ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SPECIALISTS?

19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. WE'RE GOING TO ASK

- 20 THE C.A.O. TO RESPOND AFTER I FINISH AND WE HEAR FROM
- 21 EVERYONE. STATE YOUR NAME, PLEASE.

18

- 23 KATHLEEN AUSTRIA: KATHLEEN AUSTRIA, TREASURER OF S.E.I.U. 721
- 24 AND CHAIR OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND MENTAL HEALTH POLICY. THIS IS
- 25 THE PROBLEM. THE PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT HAS INTENDED TO USE



- 1 ONE DISCIPLINE, WHICH IS REGISTERED NURSES, TO DO HEALTH
- 2 FACILITY SURVEYS WHEN, IN FACT, C.M.S., THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
- 3 SAYS THIS IS A MULTI-DISCIPLINARY JOB AND IT LISTS 13
- 4 DIFFERENT POSITIONS THAT CAN DO THIS JOB PLUS IT GIVES YOU
- 5 FLEXIBILITY TO HIRE MORE. SO THE PROBLEM IS, WHY ARE WE USING
- 6 ONE POSITION THAT IS HARD TO FILL WHEN WE SHOULD BE USING MANY
- 7 DIFFERENT DISCIPLINES TO DO THIS JOB? WE'RE BEHIND ON DOING
- 8 INSPECTIONS. YOU'VE HEARD SOME OF THE PROBLEMS AND HOW AWFUL
- 9 IT IS FOR THE PATIENTS THAT LIVE IN THIS COUNTY, BECAUSE THEY
- 10 REMAIN IN UNINSPECTED FACILITIES AND, IN FACT, WE NEED TO MAKE
- 11 THIS A MULTI-DISCIPLINARY JOB, WE NEED TO HIRE AND MAXIMIZE
- 12 THE SCOPE OF THEIR DUTIES. THEY HAVEN'T HIRED R.E.H.S.S SINCE
- 13 2000 BECAUSE THEY INTENDED TO RED TAG THEM. WE WORKED LAST
- 14 YEAR. THEY FINALLY JUST OPENED UP THE JOB SO THEY WOULD HIRE
- 15 R.E.H.S.S. NO ONE HAS BEEN HIRED. WITH THE BUDGET CONSTRAINTS
- 16 THAT ARE IN PLACE AND THE FACT THAT PATIENTS ARE IN FACILITIES
- 17 THAT REMAIN UNINSPECTED, WE WANT YOU TO TAKE A LOOK AT THE
- 18 BUDGET AND TO GO AHEAD AND HIRE AND MAKE THIS A MULTI-
- 19 DISCIPLINARY POSITION ONCE AGAIN. IN ADDITION, THE DEPARTMENT
- 20 WILL TELL YOU THAT THE STATE IS REQUIRING THAT. THERE IN FACT
- 21 HAS BEEN NO POLICY IN PLACE AT THE STATE SINCE 2000 THAT SAID
- 22 IT SHOULD BE NURSES ONLY AND THEY MAY HAVE CONTRACTED WITH THE
- 23 STATE AT THIS POINT TO DO THAT AND SAY WE SHOULD HIRE NURSES
- 24 ONLY. AGAIN, IF THAT'S THE CASE AND WE THINK YOU SHOULD GO
- 25 BACK TO THE STATE AND NEGOTIATE BECAUSE THERE WAS A STATE



- 1 AUDIT THAT SAID, REALLY, CALIFORNIA, YOU'RE MAKING A MISTAKE
- 2 IF YOU'RE JUST USING ONE DISCIPLINE ONLY. AND SO, AGAIN, WE
- 3 THINK YOU SHOULD GO BACK TO THE STATE AND WORK THIS OUT AND,
- 4 AGAIN, PUT OUR PATIENTS FIRST AND THAT'S WHAT WE ASK. MAKE
- 5 SURE THAT PATIENTS GET-- THE NURSING HOMES AND OTHER
- 6 FACILITIES ARE INSPECTED IN A TIMELY WAY, WORK WITH THE STATE
- 7 TO ENSURE THAT THE RIGHT POLICIES ARE IN PLACE AND IN
- 8 COMPLIANCE WITH C.M.S. RECOMMENDATIONS. THANK YOU.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. IS THERE ANYONE ELSE WHO HAD
- 11 SIGNED UP FOR THIS? ELIZABETH ARENAS SPOKE ALREADY. RIGHT?
- 12 YES. OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. C.A.O., YOU MAY GO BACK AND
- 13 I'M GOING TO CALL WILL SHERMAN, DAMIEN GOODMAN, EDGARDO RIVAS
- 14 FRATTI

15

- 16 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: SUPERVISOR, ON THAT PARTICULAR ISSUE, IT IS A
- 17 COLLECTIVE BARGAINING ISSUE. I'LL PROVIDE AN UPDATE AT OUR
- 18 MEETING NEXT WEEK.

19

- 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SO WE'LL HAVE AN UPDATE NEXT WEEK ON THE
- 21 ISSUE. IT'S A LABOR ISSUE.

22

- 23 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IT'S A LABOR ISSUE, SO I'LL PROVIDE AN UPDATE
- 24 IN OUR CLOSED SESSION NEXT WEEK.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ARNOLD SACHS, LENGANJI CHASE SIAME AND
- 2 REVEREND TILLMAN. PLEASE COME FORWARD.

- 4 ARNOLD SACHS: GOOD EVENING. ARNOLD SACHS OF SHOULD BE A QUIET
- 5 MEETING AT THE M.T.A. BOARD THIS WEEK AS YOU ALL WAIT FOR THE
- 6 ELECTION TO OCCUR. BUT AS I MENTIONED IN COMMENT ABOUT THE
- 7 HIGH SPEED RAIL SYSTEM, \$9 BILLION, YOU'RE ALL FOR IT, BUT
- 8 FUNDING FOR THE COUNTY, THREE OF YOU ARE AGAINST IT. WHAT
- 9 BENEFITS, USING YOUR LOGIC, THAT HALF CENT SALES TAX WILL ONLY
- 10 BENEFIT A CERTAIN PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE. WHAT LOGIC DO YOU USE
- 11 TO ALLOW HIGH-SPEED RAIL? IT ONLY WOULD BENEFIT A SMALL
- 12 PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE. 90 PERCENT OF THE COUNTY OF L.A. WOULD
- 13 BE AFFECTED BY THE HIGH-SPEED RAIL, IF THAT LOW AMOUNT, BUT
- 14 YOU'RE ALL FOR IT. AGAIN, AS I MENTIONED, ANY PROJECTS BASED
- 15 ON YOUR TRACK RECORD, ANY PROJECTS, ANY FUNDING THAT COULD
- 16 POSSIBLY BE USED, THERE'S NO-- THERE'S NO LISTING OF PROJECTS
- 17 FOR THE PROPOSITION 1-H FUNDING THAT WAS JUST PASSED. WE JUST
- 18 GOT SOME MONEY IN FOR WIDENING THE 405 FREEWAY AND YOU'RE
- 19 GOING TO DO A HIGH-SPEED RAIL SYSTEM OVER THE-- NOT IN THIS
- 20 WORLD. MAYBE ON MARS, BUT NOT IN THIS WORLD. TWO SOURCES OF
- 21 FUNDING, TWO DIFFERENT PROJECTS. WE'VE SEEN THAT HAPPEN HOW
- 22 MANY TIMES? HOW MANY TIMES HAVE WE HAD A PROJECT START, HOW
- 23 MANY TIMES HAS IT BEEN COMPLETED? WE DID THIS, TOO. BLUE LINE,
- 24 OUT. RED LINE, OUT. PURPLE LINE, OUT. GOLD LINE, OUT. UNTIL
- 25 THERE'S A MAJOR CHANGE IN THE SEATS THAT ARE OCCUPIED NOW,



- 1 CAN'T GIVE ANY MORE FUNDING TO THE M.T.A. BECAUSE THIS IS
- 2 WHERE THE ACTION HAPPENS, THIS IS THE BEHIND-THE-SCENES.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SHE'S NOT KEEPING TRACK, BUT YOUR TIME HAS
- 5 EXPIRED.

6

7 ARNOLD SACHS: NO, IT'S HERE. 51 SECONDS. SORRY, MA'AM.

8

9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY.

10

11 ARNOLD SACHS: IT HAS BEEN A LONG DAY FOR EVERYONE.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SOME OF US HAVE VERY SHORT NERVES. HAVE YOU
- 14 EVER HEARD THAT, MY LAST NERVE? OKAY. GO AHEAD.

15

- 16 ARNOLD SACHS: YOU STILL HAVE ANOTHER COUPLE OF MEETINGS. I'LL
- 17 CLOSE. BOTH KNOW I'M PROBLEM R NOT BECAUSE WE DON'T NEED IT,
- 18 BECAUSE WITH THE LEADERSHIP WE HAVE, NOTHING WILL GET
- 19 ACCOMPLISHED.

20

21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. REVEREND TILLMAN.

- 23 REV. C. TILLMAN: MADAM CHAIRWOMAN, THANK YOU VERY KINDLY.
- 24 SUPERVISOR, ESPECIALLY MY SUPERVISOR, I'M GOING TO BE BRIEF
- 25 BECAUSE I CERTAINLY DON'T WANT TO GET ON YOUR LAST NERVE.

3

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY. NOT A PLEASANT THING. [LAUGHTER.]

4 REV. C. TILLMAN: FIRST, I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE

5 AND THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO SPEAK ONCE AGAIN. I'M GOING

6 TO DEVIATE FROM MY NORMAL PRESENTATION, I'M GOING TO ASK THE

7 SUPERVISOR TO CONSIDER HAVING -- EACH SUPERVISOR HAVING A

8 WORKSHOP IN THEIR DISTRICT. I WENT TO UPLAND JUST RECENTLY AND

9 THEY HAD A FORECLOSURE PREVENTION SEMINAR AND I WAS REALLY

10 TOUCHED BY WHAT I SAW AND WHAT WAS GOING ON AND ALSO WHAT I'VE

11 BEEN READING IN THE NEWSPAPER RECENTLY ABOUT THE PEOPLE WHO

12 ARE IN SUCH DISTRESS ABOUT FORECLOSURE, COMMITTING SUICIDE, ET

13 CETERA, AND I THOUGHT MAYBE I'LL TELL MY SUPERVISOR AND ONE OF

14 THEM MIGHT BE TOUCHED ENOUGH TO HAVE SOMETHING SIMILAR

15 THROUGHOUT L.A. COUNTY SO WE CAN DO LIKE PREPARATION FOR THIS

16 GROUND SWELL THAT'S HAPPENING RIGHT NOW AND MAYBE SOMEONE

17 MIGHT BE SPARED, THERE MIGHT BE A POSITIVE THING OUT OF IT,

18 BUT FAIR MARKET CAN TAKE PLACE. THEN BACK TO MY AGENDA, AS YOU

19 KNOW, I'M THE REPRESENTATIVE FOR FARM FRESH RANCH MARKET. THEY

20 HAVE ACCEPTED THEIR ROLE OF MOVING ON, BUT THERE STILL IS SOME

21 HOUSECLEANING THAT STILL NEEDS TO BE LOOKED AT, AND ONE OF THE

22 HOUSECLEANING ITEMS IS HOW DID THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

23 SUPERVISOR THE ISSUANCE OF AN OCCUPANCY CERTIFICATE FOR THEIR

24 MARKET, BECAUSE ONE OF THE REASONS THAT THE ATTORNEY AGREED TO

25 CLOSE THE MARKET WAS THAT THEY DIDN'T HAVE AN OCCUPANCY



- 1 CERTIFICATE AND THAT BEGS THE OUESTION, HOW CAN YOU BE
- 2 OPERATING A SUPERMARKET FOR TWO YEARS AND NOT HAVE AN
- 3 OCCUPANCY CERTIFICATE? SO I HAVE TO ASK THE SUPERVISOR THAT
- 4 QUESTION, HOW CAN THE PUBLIC WORKS HAVE NO OCCUPANCY
- 5 CERTIFICATE? IT BEGS THE OUESTION. THAT WAS ONE OF THE REASONS
- 6 WHY THEY CLOSED THE MARKET DOWN. THERE WAS OTHER REASONS AS
- 7 WELL, BUT THAT WAS ONE OF MY REASONS. WE'VE TALKED TO THE
- 8 DIRECTOR AND HE HASN'T GIVEN US A SATISFACTORY EXPLANATION, SO
- 9 MAYBE THAT'S SOMETHING THAT COULD BE FLUSHED OUT, AND WHEN
- 10 I'VE HEARD TODAY THERE'S SEVERAL PEOPLE WHO ARE CONCERNED
- 11 ABOUT HOW THE WEAK CITIZENS ARE TREATED IN THIS SOCIETY, AND
- 12 THAT'S A DEMONSTRATION OF HOW THIS SOCIETY IS OPERATING.
- 13 PRESIDENT BUSH ISSUED A DIRECTIVE JUST RECENTLY ASKING THAT WE
- 14 TREAT EACH OTHER WITH DIGNITY AND RESPECT, AND OUR VICE
- 15 PRESIDENT HOPEFUL, PALIN, SAID RECENTLY THAT A MEASURE OF
- 16 SOCIETY IS HOW YOU TREAT THE WEAKER LINK. EACH CITIZEN TAKES
- 17 COURAGE TO COME UP HERE AND SPEAK AND GIVE YOU THEIR THOUGHTS
- 18 AND IDEAS AND THEY'RE WEAK AND YOU'RE POWERFUL. AND WE'RE
- 19 ASKING THAT YOU TREAT US WITH RESPECT AND LISTEN TO WHAT WE
- 20 HAVE TO SAY, AND THEN YOU ACT ACCORDINGLY. AND WITH THAT, I
- 21 HAVE NINE SECONDS, AND I THANK YOU FOR YOUR PATIENCE. HAVE A
- 22 GOOD EVENING.

23

24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MADAM CHAIR, ON ITEM C.S.-1, C.S.-2 AND

25 C.S.-3, IF WE COULD CONTINUE THOSE ONE WEEK TO OCTOBER 28TH.



1										
2	SUP. BURKE,	CHAIR:	ALL RI	GHT.	WITH	OUT (OBJECT:	ION.		
3										
4	CLERK SACHI	HAMAI:	THANK	YOU.	THAT	COM	PLETES	OUR	ITEMS.	
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										
11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										



1	I, JENNIFER A. HINES, Certified Shorthand Reporter							
2	Number 6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of							
3	California, do hereby certify:							
4	That the transcripts of proceedings recorded by the							
5	Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors October 21, 2008							
6	were thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my							
7	direction and supervision;							
8	That the transcript of recorded proceedings as							
9	archived in the office of the reporter and which							
10	have been provided to the Los Angeles County Board of							
11	Supervisors as certified by me.							
12	I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor							
13	related to any party to the said action; nor							
14	in anywise interested in the outcome thereof.							
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this							
16	24th day of October 2008 for the County records to be used							
17	only for authentication purposes of duly certified transcripts							
18	as on file of the office of the reporter.							
19								
20	JENNIFER A. HINES							
21	CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR							
22								
23								
24								
~ ~								